ONTARIO

Superior Court of Justice

Plaintiff's Claim

Form 7A Ont. Reg. No.: 258/98

[SEAL]	Burlington	SC-25-00000945-0000		
	Small Claims Court	Claim No.		
	2021 Plains Rd E			
	Burlington, Ontario L7R	4M3		
	Address			
	905-637-4125			
	Phone number			
Plaintiff No. 1	Additional plaintiff(s) listed	on attached Form 1A. Under 18 years of age.		
Last name, or name of company				
Romero Hategan First name	Cocond name	Alea known ea		
	Second name	Also known as		
Elisa	L			
Address (street number, apt., unit)	-			
City/Town	Province	Phone no.		
Oity/ Town	ON	THORE NO.		
Postal code	Email address			
i detai dede	elisa@elisahateg	ian.com		
Representative		Law Society of Ontario no.		
Address (street number, apt., unit)				
, , , ,				
City/Town	Province	Phone no.		
Postal code	Email address	Email address		
Defendant No. 1	Additional defendant(a) list	and an attached Form 1A		
	Additional defendant(s) list	ed on attached Form 1A. Under 18 years of age.		
Last name, or name of company Hancock				
First name	Second name	Also known as		
Michelle	occord flame	Mitch		
Address (street number, apt., unit)		WITCH		
Address (street namber, apt., anit)				
City/Town	Province	Phone no.		
Oity/ Town	ON	THORE NO.		
Postal code	Email address			
1 Ostal Code	Linali address	@gmail.com		
Representative		Law Society of Ontario no.		
Representative		Law Society of Official of No.		
Address (street number, apt., unit)				
Address (street number, apt., unit)				
City/Town	Province	Phone no.		
Oity/ Town	Trovince	Thore no.		
Postal code	Email address			
1 00101 0000	Linali addiess			

Les formules des tribunaux sont affichées en anglais et en français sur le site www.ontariocourtforms.on.ca. Visitez ce site pour des renseignements sur des formats accessibles.

FORM 7A	PAGE 2	
		Claim No.

REASONS FOR CLAIM AND DETAILS

Explain what happened, including where and when. Then explain how much money you are claiming or what goods you want returned.

If you are relying on any documents, you **MUST** attach copies to the claim. If evidence is lost or unavailable, you **MUST** explain why it is not attached.

What happened? See Schedule A and Schedule B. Where? When?

Claim No.

How much?	\$ 35,000.0 (Principal amount claimed)	00	
⊠ ADDITIONA	AL PAGES ARE ATTACHED BECA	USE MORE ROOM W	/AS NEEDED.
The plaintiff also c	claims prejudgment interest from	August 12, 2025 (Date)	under:
· · · · · · · —	e Courts of Justice Act		
one box) 🔲 an	agreement at the rate of	% per year	
and postjudgment	interest, and court costs.		Matigun
Prepared on: Augu	ust 12 , 20 25		U
		(Signat	ure of plaintiff or representative)
Issued on:	, 20		
			(Signature of clerk)
CAUTION TO DEFENDANT:	IF YOU DO NOT FILE A DEFENCE Service (Form 8A) or a Lawyer or court within twenty (20) calendar digudgment may be obtained without materials are available at the Small www.ontariocourtforms.on.ca.	Paralegal's Certificate ays after you have been notice and enforced a	of Service (Form 8B)) with the en served with this Plaintiff's Claim, against you. Forms and self-help
CAUTION TO PARTIES:	Unless the court orders or the rule: AUTOMATICALLY DISMISSED if years after it was commenced and not been requested.	it has not been dispos	sed of by order or otherwise two (2)

- 1. The Plaintiff, Elisa Hategan ("Ms. Hategan"), claims against the Defendant, Michelle Hancock ("Ms. Hancock"):
 - (a) General and aggravated damages in the amount of \$35,000 for online harassment, defamation and loss of reputation;
 - (b) Pre- and post-judgment interest pursuant to the Courts of Justice Act, RSO 1990, c C.43, as amended;
 - (c) Costs of this action in the maximum amount permitted under Rule 19 of the Rules of the Small Claims Court, O Reg 258/98; and
 - (d) Such further and other relief as this Honourable Court deems just.

The Parties

2. The Plaintiff, Elisa Romero Hategan ("Ms. Hategan") is a writer, freelance journalist and public speaker who resides in the greater Toronto area. Her articles have been published by Maclean's Magazine, Global News, Canadian Jewish News, NOW Magazine, and other outlets. The winner of multiple professional writer grants from Canada Council for the Arts, Ontario Arts Council, and Toronto Arts Council, her writing has appeared in literary magazines such as The Fiddlehead, Grain, and Contemporary Verse (CV2). In 2019 she was nominated for a RTDNA award for a Global News article; the RTDNA awards honour the best Canadian journalists, stations and news organizations broadcasting in audio, video and digital media. As a consultant on terrorism, radicalization and political extremism, she has been interviewed by news media including but not limited to the CBC, BBC, Newsweek Magazine, The Guardian, Toronto Sun, Toronto Star, Globe and Mail, Maclean's Magazine, Canadaland, Huffington Post and others. She operates the X account @elisahategan.

- 3. Elisa Hategan emigrated from communist Romania as a child, in 1986. In 1991, at age 16, she was recruited by Canada's largest white supremacist group in modern Canadian history, the Heritage Front, and became its female spokesperson. Two years later, at only 18 years old, Elisa defected from the group after spying on them for months. She filed over 30 affidavits with the Ontario Provincial Police, and testified against three group leaders in court. Her testimony was cited by the trial judge, Mme. Danielle Tremblay-Lamer, as being central to their conviction and jail sentences. Elisa's experiences were portrayed in the 1998 CBC film White Lies, starring actress Sarah Polley.
- 4. The Defendant, Michelle "Mitch" Hancock ("Ms. Hancock") is a resident of Burlington, ON. She is a recipient of ODSP and self-describes herself as a "mentally disabled" individual with a "learning disability". Ms. Hancock is also engaged in various sundry jobs on the side, such as being an Uber driver. While the particulars of Ms. Hancock's disability are unknown to the Plaintiff, the condition does not appear grave enough to constitute having a guardian, or someone with Power of Attorney, involved in her affairs.
- 5. Circa mid-to-late 2024, Ms. Hancock began identifying herself as a "journalist" and "content creator". Ms. Hancock does not appear to have any journalism credentials or publication bylines. As of July 2024, Hancock's profile description on X/formerly Twitter was: "I'm just here to make jokes and have fun, Because girls just wanna have fun ... A non binary biological lesbian who's on #ODSP". By 2025, Ms. Hancock had revamped herself as a "journalist". Her foray into "journalism" appears to consist mainly of accompanying her new friend Caryma Sa'd and/or Sa'd's boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman to street demonstrations and public protests, filming the protesters on her cell phone, and subsequently uploading the clips to her X social media account, which she operates under the handle @mitch6669. Her account is found at the URL https://x.com/Mitch6669.

6. Both Ms. Hategan and Ms. Hancock identify as lesbian. Ms. Hategan runs a Gay and Lesbian Writers group and has given presentations in the LGBT community, locally and internationally. Her writing has appeared in LSTW Magazine, a lesbian and queer publication. In 2018 she was a presenter at the EGALE Canada's National Conference. In 2019, she was a featured speaker at the 2019 ILGA (International Lesbian and Gay Association) biennial conference in Wellington, New Zealand.

Background and Context

7. The common denominator between Ms. Hategan and Ms. Hancock is their friendship with Toronto lawyer and social media influencer Caryma Sa'd ("Ms. Sa'd"). It is that relationship that underscores Ms. Hancock's defamatory comments and abusive online behavior toward Ms. Hategan. For that reason, the background and history of both parties' relationships with Ms. Sa'd is essential to understanding the basis of the defamations that precipitated this lawsuit.

The History between Elisa Hategan and Caryma Sa'd

- 8. Ms. Sa'd initially reached out to Ms. Hategan on X/formerly Twitter in July 2021, following an incident where Ms. Sa'd's attempt to host a public comedy show featuring controversial anti-mask, anti-lockdown public figure Chris Sky was met with outrage and backlash in the community. The ensuing brawl outside Sa'd's Chinatown Mall law office on Spadina Avenue inspired an article published by the Canadian Anti-Hate Network ("CAHN") that Sa'd believed was defamatory.
- 9. Ms. Hategan had previously engaged in civil litigation with CAHN Chair and co-founder Bernie Farber and another CAHN member, but lost the lawsuit. At the time Sa'd contacted her with questions about her legal adversaries in hopes of getting information about her critics, Ms. Hategan was in the midst of trying to appeal the dismissal of her appeal due to time delays. She was also bound by a civil court-imposed gag order that prevented her from speaking about one of the litigants involved in the case. The only exception to the gag order was speaking with lawyers about the matter.

- 10. Ms. Sa'd, by virtue of being a lawyer, assured Ms. Hategan through written Direct Messages ("DMs") and verbally that everything she shared was bound by solicitor-client privilege. Ms. Sa'd pressed Ms. Hategan for details about her legal adversaries, asking for information that was not available online but was known to Ms. Hategan. At first Ms. Hategan hesitated, but Ms. Sa'd's reassurances convinced her that everything she told Sa'd would be kept confidential. Ms. Sa'd used some of the "dirt" she obtained to wage a campaign against CAHN, which culminated in her own lawsuit, filed in July 2023. Sa'd's action against CAHN was dismissed in September 2023 as having "no reasonable cause of action and therefore no reasonable prospect of success". Sa'd's scandalous service attempt of CAHN Chair Bernie Farber at his house, which was filmed and posted on Sa'd's X account, resulted in a March 2024 finding by the Law Society of Ontario ("LSO") that Sa'd had:
 - a) failed to act with honour and integrity
 - b) engaged in harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct, and
 - c) engaged in conduct that tends to bring discredit upon the legal profession.
- 11. The connection between Elisa Hategan and Caryma Sa'd quickly progressed into a close friendship and working relationship. From 2022 to September 30, 2023, they were best friends who co-authored 12 articles that were published on Crier Media and in NOW Magazine, with 3 additional articles in progress. Hategan also published a positive op-ed about Sa'd. The majority of the articles were published under Sa'd's name only, with Hategan acting as ghostwriter and/or editor without a byline.
- 12. The understanding between Sa'd and Hategan was that Hategan was building Sa'd's "brand" as a "journalist" and social media influencer, and they would split future profits and donations. At the time, Sa'd was reticent to call herself a "journalist". Hategan mentored Sa'd on how to rebrand herself as a "journalist", giving her advice and guidance on dealing with editors, sending out queries, and the general editorial process, while also serving as an informal motivational coach. By mentoring

Sa'd as a "journalist" and building up her "brand" to become profitable, Ms. Hategan believed that she was investing in her own future. In May 2023, Sa'd text-messaged her, "You are a big part of whatever success we have."

- 13. On September 11-12 2023, Ms. Sa'd and Ms. Hategan published a longform, 85-page investigative article titled "The Hategate Affair", which featured both their names as co-authors. The article was read by hundreds of thousands of people, if not millions, across Canada and internationally. Hategan authored two-thirds of the piece and edited the rest, with the express understanding that Sa'd would split all contacts and 50% of all donations and moneys that came from the article. Hategan reserved the Hategate domain url and pointed it to a website Sa'd commissioned, which featured "Make a Donation" buttons. Sa'd used her popular social media accounts to solicit funding for "journalism". Sa'd collected thousands of dollars as a result of the article. The money was collected through donation buttons, direct e-transfers to Sa'd's bank and PayPal accounts, GoFundMe pages, and other sources unknown to Ms. Hategan.
- 14. Despite Ms. Sa'd's promises, Ms. Hategan did not receive a cent of her share of the money. She was also not reimbursed for travel expenses after she accompanied Ms. Sa'd and her boyfriend on work-related trips, despite Ms. Sa'd having promised to do so, verbally and in writing. She was not even given the #Hategate tee-shirt that Sa'd promised she would receive Sa'd made-to-order only one tee-shirt, which she wore during podcast interviews and media appearances.
- 15. On September 30, 2023, with no warning and without cause, Ms. Hategan was unexpectedly blocked on Ms. Sa'd's social media accounts, cell phone, and email, by Sa'd's boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman ("Wasserman"). An ex-convict with a history of uttering threats, Wasserman has a history of seizing control of Ms. Sa'd's communications and issuing ultimatums. Ms. Hategan has witnessed Wasserman become verbally abusive to Sa'd, and to both of them, including (but not

limited to) an explosive outburst in a North Bay hotel room that shook her. For two months in the summer of 2023, Ms. Hategan and Sa'd were forced to communicate behind Wasserman's back, after he intercepted (by hacking Sa'd's email) a confidential letter Ms. Hategan sent to Sa'd, in which she outlined her concerns over privacy and Wasserman's controlling behaviour.

- 16. Wasserman jointly owns Sa'd's principal X/Twitter account @carymarules, and has unrestricted access to Sa'd's social media accounts and email addresses. As her law firm manager, he intercepts all phone calls that come into Sa'd's law practice, decides who they take on as clients, and has used Sa'd's law firm business email to send derogatory emails to Ms. Hategan. Hategan also believes he controls who Sa'd can communicate with on platforms and email addresses that bear her name.
- 17. Prior to engaging in a relationship with Ms. Sa'd in 2016 and becoming the manager of her law office, Wasserman operated the prostitution-related business Copycraigs, which enabled johns and sex workers to get hotel rooms without paying with their own credit cards. He then used bitcoin to buy the prostitution review board SP411.cc and became listed as its CEO, using the alias "Chase". SP411 was described in a February 2020 Toronto Life Magazine article as "The TripAdvisor of sex work". As SP411 CEO, Wasserman was repeatedly accused of extorting, blackmailing, and threatening sex workers.
- 18. Originally a resident of Montreal, Wasserman moved to Toronto in or around 2007 or 2008. Prior to this move, he served multiple-year prison sentences in Quebec for theft, robbery with disguise, conspiracy to steal, criminal harassment, and uttering threats. The full extent of Wasserman's criminal record is not known to the Plaintiff.
- 19. On October 7, 2023, Wasserman published a defamatory Substack blog article under the monicker "The Gatekeeper", which breached solicitor-client privilege and disclosed personal details about Ms. Hategan and Ms. Sa'd's personal affairs and friendship. In his article, he admitted that he blocked

- Ms. Hategan and gave Sa'd the ultimatum to choose between him or Ms. Hategan, after describing his jealousy over their closeness and the fact that they had exchanged "I love yous".
- 20. After being unexpectedly blocked, Ms. Hategan read the defamatory Gatekeeper article by Wasserman, which contained a plethora of egregious lies and insults, and attempted to contact Sa'd by email. Sa'd had responded only once, stating that she no longer wished to work with Ms. Hategan. However, she did not inform Ms. Hategan that her emails were unwanted; nor did she respond to questions about the moneys owed to Hategan, or the breach of confidentiality caused by Wasserman's publication. Given their history of communicating behind Wasserman's back every time he threw a hissy fit and seized control of Sa'd's accounts against both of their wills, Ms. Hategan had no reason to believe that this instance was different. She emailed Sa'd again, not knowing if Sa'd was getting her emails or if Wasserman was intercepting or deleting the messages.
- 21. Between September and November 2023, Ms. Hategan sent approx. 15 emails to Ms. Sa'd. The contents of those emails were predominantly related to: a) Wasserman's defamatory Substack blog article; b) questions about money and credit related to the articles co-authored by Ms. Hategan, which were still drawing money for Sa'd, and 3) questions about Sa'd's safety and Wasserman's control (i.e. are you okay, what's happening, are you getting my messages, are you seeing this, etc.). She never received a response, nor the money owed to her.
- 22. Ms. Hategan now believes that Ms. Sa'd defrauded her, with Sa'd making false promises and "love bombing" her as a way to elicit free labour for an entire year, on the promise that she would eventually be compensated. What initially began as Ms. Hategan generously offering to write one favourable article about Sa'd, after Sa'd contributed \$100 to her legal costs fundraiser, turned into a year-long exploitation. In order to keep Ms. Hategan invested in labouring for her, Ms. Sa'd:
 - 1) promised to help Ms. Hategan find a place to stay,

- 2) promised to temporarily sublet one of her vacant office spaces to Hategan at reduced cost,
- 3) promised to produce a podcast that would showcase Hategan's life story and correct factual errors in the lawsuit she had lost
- 4) promised to help her and others deal with cyberbullying by taking legal measures,
- 5) promised to start a non-profit organization with Ms. Hategan in order to help her generate an income through grant-writing, public speaking, and fundraising campaigns, and
- 6) promised to split "journalism" earnings with her.

None of Sa'd's promises came to fruition.

- 23. Ms. Sa'd's promises, combined with affectionate exchanges, strung Ms. Hategan along for an entire year. Sa'd used Elisa not only as a ghostwriter and editor of articles, but as a mentor and personal advisor on a number of sensitive matters, fondly referring to her "my consigliere". With Sa'd often calling her "brilliant" and using her as a sounding board in her quest to rebrand as a social media influencer and journalist, Elisa fielded Sa'd's worst ideas, advising her not to publish video footage captured by her boyfriend, who had filmed unsuspecting women from a distance, and to refrain from posting videos mocking a woman who had just been assaulted, or interviews with neo-Nazis.
- 24. Sa'd used Hategan's ideas and words (culled from emails and text messages) beyond just utilizing them in articles that garnered GoFundMe and e-transfer donations for her ghostwritten "journalism". She also repurposed them for social media captions, and regurgitated Hategan's lines in podcast interviews. Then she enlisted Ms. Hategan to do research for her, to review and opine on various Libel Notices and Statements of Claim, and to help with a Law Society Bencher Campaign.
- 25. At the time Ms. Hategan realized she had been defrauded and robbed of her earnings, she did not know Wasserman's real name, nor that he had been convicted of robbery with disguise and

conspiracy to steal, and was accused of theft and robbery by others, including an ex-girlfriend who is convinced that he robbed her parents' house and stole her mother's jewelry. Despite not knowing his apparent history of threats and criminal harassment, Ms. Hategan feared for her safety – in January 2023, Wasserman had expressed a desire to harm one of Sa'd's critics. Between 2022-2023, Ms. Hategan and Ms. Sa'd exchanged text messages about Wasserman's relentless impulse for revenge against their critics. In one September 23, 2023 iMessage, Sa'd wrote, "Revenge is just the garnish for me. Lee could make it the whole course lol".

- 26. Ms. Hategan's fear was compounded by the fact that she had knowledge of illicit activity conducted by Wasserman and Sa'd, which included stalking and harassing their critics (Sa'd sent Hategan videos of Wasserman photographing and filming female critics from a distance), and trespassing onto a suspected critic's property at 4 AM to film her house and vehicle scarcely a harmless act given Wasserman's convictions and jailtime for robbery and criminal harassment.
- 27. In March 2024, Ms. Hategan reported Wasserman to York Regional Police, along with threats made by Sa'd's client MaryAnn Watson, a resident of Victoria, BC who incited the public to carve a swastika into Ms. Hategan's face. Watson appeared to work in concert with Sa'd and/or Wasserman, using her X account to cyberbully Hategan, going so far as to publish intimate details and facts that Hategan had communicated only to Sa'd, which weren't posted anywhere on the internet.
- 28. Ms. Sa'd had confided to Ms. Hategan in text messages her decision to sue critics according to race and sex, for optics, sometimes without a cause of action, sometimes to extract "humiliation" or to ensure "libel chill" from her critics (in and around 2023 alone, Sa'd sued approx. ten people).
- 29. Ms. Sa'd also confessed to Ms Hategan that she wanted to enlist others in "a letter-writing campaign" to a critic's workplace in an attempt to have him fired, and told her that she had done it before. She also planned to ambush the same critic and his wife during a New Year's Eve dinner, in a plot to

cause a public disturbance intended to ruin their memorable event. Ms. Hategan pleaded with Sa'd (in two emails and by phone) to refrain from carrying out a plan that could lead to mischief charges and LSO sanctions, before Sa'd ultimately relented. Sa'd initially filed a baseless peace bond application against the same critic, and thereafter sued him for defamation in Small Claims court, claiming the man was a threat because he operated libelous, anonymous sock puppet accounts critical of her. The matter was settled in June 2025, with Sa'd <u>issuing a retraction that contained the admission that she had "caused harm" to the man and his wife.</u>

- 30. Ms. Sa'd also convinced Ms. Hategan to share her Schedule III prescription medication, telling her that she had "undiagnosed ADHD". After Hategan shared her Ritalin with her, Sa'd was disappointed that Hategan had not been prescribed Adderall, and encouraged Hategan to go to her doctor and switch her prescription to Adderall, which Sa'd preferred, calling it an "upgrade" in text messages.
- 31. Starting in October 2023, soon after Wasserman and Sa'd blocked her without explanation and remuneration, Ms. Hategan began posting on her own X/Twitter page about her experience of being defrauded and exploited by Sa'd. She also wrote about Wasserman's unrestricted access to Sa'd's accounts, his hacking of private, solicitor-privileged correspondence, and of his volatile behaviour and control over Sa'd and her relationships. Nothing posted by Ms. Hategan was untrue.
- 32. Text messages between Ms. Sa'd and Ms. Hategan, which include Sa'd's frank discussions of lawfare, stalking and scaring Sa'd's "enemies", and exchanges about the prescription drugs, corroborate Ms. Hategan's account and offer irrefutable evidence of Sa'd engaging in behaviour that would be certainly be considered "unbecoming" of her profession. If investigated, Ms. Hategan believes that Sa'd's actions would likely lead to her law license being revoked.
- 33. On November 18, 2023, Ms. Hategan publicly announced that she planned to write a rebuttal to Wasserman's libelous Substack article. Ten days after, on November 29, she was contacted by 14

Division Toronto Police detective constable Diana Vigna ("Vigna"), Badge 82008, and informed that criminal harassment charges were being laid against her because she had purportedly disobeyed "multiple cautions and warnings" not to contact Caryma Sa'd.

- 34. Ms. Hategan has never received a single caution or warning telling her not to email Sa'd, who still owed her thousands of dollars, and whose boyfriend was actively spreading defamatory lies about Hategan. When Ms. Hategan attempted to tell DC Vigna that she was mistaken, Vigna 1) refused to believe anything other than what Sa'd had reported, and 2) refused to provide Hategan with evidence that she broke the law, which indicated to Hategan that Vigna was negligent in her investigation since the "numerous cautions" she spoke of did not exist. Instead, Vigna argued with Hategan, insisting she had disobeyed "numerous, multiple cautions" and this was the reason for her arrest.
- 35. Ms. Hategan was formally charged with "criminal harassment" on December 10, 2023. In the video recording of her arrest, she can be seen asking DC Vigna, if she ever laid eyes on evidence of those "numerous cautions and warnings". Vigna, visible on AXON video, answers Hategan's question ("May I ask, were you actually given any...actual evidence that I had been told anything?") with an abrupt, "We have reasonable grounds to believe that. That's why we're charging you." In fact, no reasonable grounds actually existed. Vigna then tells Ms. Hategan that she will receive all the "multiple cautions and warnings" in the disclosure from the Crown. Needless to say, no such evidence was produced. The idea that Elisa had been "cautioned" was fabricated by Sa'd, whose false statements were automatically accepted as fact by 14 Division police, most likely because she is a lawyer, resulting in the negligent investigation that led to Ms. Hategan's wrongful arrests.
- 36. The Disclosure package, which Ms. Hategan received in spring 2024, shows that Ms. Sa'd lied to police numerous times, both on AXON Video and in writing; the lies included telling DC Vigna and other cops that Hategan had previously been cautioned by police. 14 Division police never fact-

checked Sa'd's lies, trusting her implicitly, presumably because of her profession. Many of the lies uttered by Sa'd on video are nearly-identical to lines in Wasserman's Gatekeeper Substack article, leading Ms. Hategan to believe that Wasserman had coached Sa'd in what to tell police as a way to ensure Hategan would be wrongly arrested for a criminal charge Wasserman himself had been convicted and sent to prison for.

- 37. The majority of Ms. Sa'd's lies to police are comprehensively refuted by Sa'd's own text messages to Ms. Hategan, which offer verifiable evidence to the contrary. Ms. Hategan was released on her own recognizance with the condition not to contact Sa'd, whom she had not contacted since November 2023, either directly or indirectly. As a result of these conditions, Ms. Hategan was unable to make further requests for Sa'd to pay her the thousands of dollars that she was defrauded of, and was effectively prevented from suing Sa'd for fraud, all the while the Limitations Act clock ran down.
- 38. In June 2024, Ms. Hategan was again arrested by 14 Division police and charged with "Failure to comply", because Ms. Sa'd once again lied to police and convinced them that Ms. Hategan's public tweets which were not directly addressed to Sa'd (both women had mutually blocked each other on social media) were in breach of Hategan's release condition not to contact Sa'd. Sa'd told police that Hategan social media posts ABOUT Sa'd were in breach, claiming they had been directed TO Sa'd. This is false. As usual, 14 Division police never investigated or fact-checked Sa'd's lies before charging Hategan on Sa'd's word alone, again exhibiting extreme prejudice in favour of Sa'd.
- 39. To ensure Ms. Hategan's second arrest, Ms. Sa'd pestered and pressured police for several months and wrote a lengthy letter (approx. 60 pages) to DC Vigna, consisting of upwards of 100 particularized lies that were never fact-checked including the outrageously false accusation that Ms. Hategan had committed hate crimes; was responsible for firebombings; engaged in criminal activity; posed a danger to Sa'd because Hategan is Jewish and Sa'd has Palestinian roots; "joked"

about poisoning her office cats (an idea that Sa'd herself had invented, text-messaged to Hategan, and used in false police reports filed against at least four innocent people); and other egregious lies contradicted by Sa'd's own text messages and historical archives. Ms. Sa'd also told 14 Division police that Ms. Hategan "gave confidential information to a third party", and "slandered" Sa'd – finally convincing them to charge Ms. Hategan for a breach that never happened, despite the fact that slander is not a criminal tort.

- 40. Sa'd further lied to police, claiming that she did not owe Ms. Hategan any money (despite text and verbal communications between them about splitting credit, donations, and other compensations for Ms. Hategan). Sa'd has earned thousands of dollars from work performed at least in part by Ms. Hategan, and as a result of her journalistic mentorship.
- 41. Sa'd also told police there was no solicitor-client confidentiality between them, despite the fact that:
 - 1) Sa'd assured Hategan multiple times, both in writing and verbally, that their conversations were privileged and confidential
 - Sa'd assisted Hategan informally, providing brief, ad hoc advice and moral support in at least three separate legal matters, and
 - 3) Sa'd reported Hategan to police for sharing "confidential information" that consisted of
 - a) incriminating text messages and stalking videos that Sa'd had sent to Hategan, which Hategan shared with Jennifer Evans, a victim of Sa'd and Wasserman's stalking, and
 - b) text messages showing Sa'd's intent to write an anonymous, poison-pen article about Evans (who Sa'd had sued for defamation), which Sa'd published on Dean Blundell's Crier Media under the fake name "Elizabeth Simmons".

- 42. Meanwhile, Sa'd's boyfriend and her BC client MaryAnn Watson were both breaching privilege by publishing intimate details culled from privileged conversations Hategan had had with Sa'd, without permission or consent of the persons whose intimate details and images were used. Ms. Hategan believes that when it comes to solicitor-client relationships, even when a formal agreement has not been signed, the prerogative of giving consent before information is disclosed belongs to the client, not the lawyer.
- 43. The information Ms. Hategan shared was NOT "confidential" nor "slanderous", but consisted of video and photographic evidence from Sa'd's own text messages, which showed her boyfriend, Adam Lee Wasserman, stalking a Toronto entrepreneur named Jennifer Evans. Sa'd had sued Evans for defamation in Small Claims Court because Evans had accused Sa'd and Wasserman of, among other things, criminal harassment and stalking. Ms. Hategan voluntarily shared with Evans proof of stalking (which Sa'd text-messaged to Hategan), and proof that Sa'd herself had authored the poison-pen defamatory article about Evans, all the while portraying herself as a victim being "harassed" by Evans. Such evidence would effectively destroy Sa'd's defamation case against Evans, who was correct to suspect that she had been stalked.
- 44. As usual, 14 Division police automatically believed Sa'd when she falsely claimed that Ms. Hategan had breached her conditions by giving incriminating evidence about Sa'd to Evans, who then posted it online. At that time, there were no conditions preventing Ms. Hategan from speaking ABOUT Sa'd to anyone. Ms. Evans' decision to release the screenshots Hategan had given her (of Sa'd's DMs to Hategan) was also not unlawful or criminal. Still, Ms. Hategan was deprived of her liberty and incarcerated for an entire day, suffered bruises on her wrists from tight handcuffs, was forced to borrow tens of thousands of dollars to retain a lawyer, and was traumatized by her fifteen-month ordeal. When she asked police to explain what she had done wrong, a 14 Division detective (who did

- not identify himself by name) came to stand outside the cell where Ms. Hategan was locked up and shouted at her to "Stop posting about Caryma on Twitter!".
- 45. Ms. Hategan posting ABOUT what Sa'd had done to her, was not in breach of release conditions not to communicate WITH Sa'd. Nor was it a "breach" and "indirect communication", as Sa'd alleged, for Ms. Hategan to tag the Law Society of Ontario ("LSO"), a regulatory body for lawyers, in her tweets on X, and to provide evidence of Wasserman's stalking. Evidence that a woman was stalked by Sa'd's boyfriend is neither "slander" nor "confidential information to a third party" that merited Hategan's arrest, as cited in police reports she obtained through a Freedom of Information request after her charges were withdrawn.
- 46. In effect, Ms. Hategan was arrested twice, temporarily incarcerated, forced to borrow tens of thousands of dollars she cannot repay, suffered extensive reputational damage and the obliteration of her career as a public speaker and journalist, was deprived of her Charter rights (her freedom of expression and liberty of movement were restricted), and experienced physical and mental suffering as punishment all because she refused to be silent about having been defrauded by Sa'd, and because she became a defence witness for Jennifer Evans.
- 47. Sa'd's actions enabled by 14 Division Toronto Police's complicity and negligence contravene section 423.1 of the Canadian Criminal Code, specifically the law that governs intimidation of a witness, section 140 (mischief), section 139(2)(a) (obstruction), and s. 131(1) on perjury.
- 48. On the morning of January 16, 2025, Ms. Hategan was contacted by a 14 Division police officer ("Badge 11989") who left voicemails and sent an email instructing her to return his call immediately. Ms. Hategan's lawyer, Megan Schwartzentruber, phoned him back since Ms. Hategan was experiencing a severe anxiety attack. Badge 11989 informed Ms. Schwartzentruber that her client would be charged with two more counts of "fail to comply" again, strictly based on Sa'd's word.

- 49. A new breach charge raised the possibility, however slim, that Ms. Hategan who didn't have a surety might not be released after surrendering to police. Ms. Hategan told her lawyer that she hadn't done anything wrong and wasn't going to surrender to police and risk being held in pre-trial custody instead, she would jump off the Leaside Bridge on the morning she was scheduled to turn herself in to 14 Division. Ms. Schwartzentruber pleaded with Elisa, trying her best to reason with her and reassuring her that she would do everything in her power to make sure that wouldn't happen.
- 50. When Ms. Schwartzentruber pressed Badge 11989 for the reason behind the decision, he told her that Sa'd was calling them so frequently and complaining so much, they "had to do *something*". Clearly, the notion of telling her to stop calling 14 Division hadn't been entertained; charging someone to placate Caryma Sa'd seemed the preferable option. After an intense week (and an additional \$3000 in legal fees) where Elisa's lawyer presented Badge 11989 with evidence showing she was innocent of Sa'd's baseless accusations, Badge 11989 finally acknowledged, on the afternoon of January 24, that there were no grounds for Ms. Hategan to be charged criminally, and she "was not arrestable".
- 51. Ms. Hategan did not find out the full details of what led to this near-arrest until July 2025, when her Freedom of Information request to Toronto Police Services was finally fulfilled, after an inexplicable four-month delay and Ms. Hategan's escalation. The police reports show just how extensively Ms. Sa'd lied in attempts to have Ms. Hategan arrested for a third time. On Sa'd's word, Badge 11989 wrote that he was "satisfied with reasonable grounds that Hategan had breached her release order on two separate occasions, August 2024 and November 2024" and that she operated a Twitter account called "Dreamer" and possibly other anonymous pages critical of Sa'd. None of it was true.
- 52. Ms. Hategan does NOT own, nor know, who runs the Dreamer/@Focustry or the @SP411 accounts on X, yet Sa'd has falsely communicated to police that she is responsible for them. Why? Because these accounts have posted negative criticism of Sa'd, so in her mind Hategan was obviously guilty.

- 53. Ms. Hategan no longer had ownership of a domain she purchased for Sa'd two years earlier, as a favour. She let it expire after the dissolution of their friendship, because she had no reason to renew it. But nobody checked. A basic WHOIS search would have confirmed that the domain in question had transferred registrars. A simple production order would have confirmed that Ms. Hategan does not publish any tweets from any other X/Twitter account except for her namesake @elisahategan. And yet, 14 Division cops were about to charge her with TWO new counts of Failure to Comply, because Sa'd told them that "NO ONE ELSE would have ownership of the website, ONLY THE ACCUSED". And she was taken at her word again, as if a lawyer's word is tantamount to law.
- 54. Sa'd's malicious fabrications, which are clearly aimed at depriving Ms. Hategan of her liberty and freedom of expression, are the reason why Elisa intends to aggressively pursue civil action against anyone who makes similar false accusations and aims to quash Ms. Hancock's barefaced lies that she operates "sock puppet accounts" to defame Sa'd. Ms. Hategan is concerned that Hancock is working in concert with Sa'd, or on her behalf, to continue smearing her as a "criminal harasser" and terrorizing her with unfounded threats of police involvement, simply because she has spoken openly about having been exploited and defrauded by Sa'd.
- Ms. Sa'd has a clear and undeniable history of trying to have Ms. Hategan wrongly charged with "criminal harassment" her last attempt nearly got Elisa arrested for operating anonymous social media accounts she does not operate, and owning internet domains she does not own. Sa'd was nearly successful in convincing 14 Division cops to charge her for a third time based on groundless accusations not backed by any proof. Therefore Ms. Hategan has every reason to take uncompromising precautions and take legal action against anyone who makes such accusations.
- 56. On January 27, 2025, three days after Badge 11989 informed Ms. Sa'd that they would NOT charge Ms. Hategan for a third time, a furious Sa'd published Elisa's photograph on her @carymarules X

page, along with the photos of 8 other individuals who had criticized Sa'd on the internet. The photo collage was accompanied by Sa'd's widely irrational conspiracy theory that Elisa was part of a "criminal element" and "coordinated" with other Sa'd "harassers" crisscrossing geographical time zones in a unified "hate campaign" to "incite hate and violence" against her.

- 57. In July 2025, and at other times unknown to the Plaintiff, Michelle "Mitch" Hancock retweeted / republished Ms. Sa'd's libelous post about Ms. Hategan, promoting it to her 1250+member audience.
- Ms. Sa'd's libelous post is one of several where she indicates that she has been in communication with Toronto Police and their Hate Crimes Unit in efforts to report people for "criminal harassment" because they threatened her professional "reputation". Truth didn't appear to matter, neither to Sa'd nor to those who retweeted her posts, like Ms. Hancock. If ONE person could be charged criminally for operating a Twitter account negative of Sa'd, it would send a chilling message to all of her critics: if you dare bad-mouth me and my boyfriend/cameraman, I can/will have you arrested. It is a testament to the power wielded by a lawyer's license that Ms. Sa'd has become emboldened to the point where she believes she can convince police that over a dozen people from all across Canada who criticized or condemned her actions at any point over a four-year time span, are involved in a secret nationwide network devoted to "inciting hate and violence" against her.
- 59. On March 6, 2025, all charges against Ms. Hategan were officially withdrawn.
- 60. On March 7, Jennifer Evans publicly announced that Ms. Hategan would appear as a witness in the civil action between herself and Sa'd.
- 61. On March 10, 2025, Sa'd went to police again and perjured herself with a baseless 810 application for a peace bond against Ms. Hategan. The application was automatically allowed to continue by the courts and police presumably because of Sa'd's law license and the veneer of credibility it conveys. By that time, Ms. Hategan had had no contact with Sa'd for nearly sixteen months.

- 62. Ms. Hategan had no idea that Ms. Sa'd had filed a peace bond application against her. She had not communicated with Sa'd since November 2023. She hadn't been served with a summons either, before accidentally discovering her own name on the Ontario Courts docket just hours before the hearing was set to take place. On the evening of May 13, Ms. Hategan visited the website "ontariocourtdates.ca" and checked the next day's Daily Court Lists, looking for Jennifer Evans' name. Weeks earlier, Ms. Evans had told her that she believed Sa'd had filed a baseless peace bond application against her (although Evans had yet to be served). Ms. Hategan wanted to learn the courtroom number so she could attend by Zoom to support Evans. When she checked the docket, she was shocked to find her *own* name listed below Evans'.
- 63. On May 14, 2025, Sa'd's baseless peace bond applications against both Ms. Hategan and Ms. Evans were thrown out of court by a judge within 2 minutes of the matters being brought up before the courts. The Crown requesting the withdrawal appeared to barely contain his disgust. As Ms. Hategan watched the proceedings via zoom, she believes she saw Ms. Hancock seated in the courtroom. If Ms. Hancock indeed accompanied Ms. Sa'd to the Toronto Armoury St. courthouse while Sa'd tried to obtain two baseless peace bonds against two innocent women, she can be presumed to be firmly entrenched with Sa'd and invested in her false accusations.
- 64. On May 25, 2025, Ms. Sa'd's boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman was arrested by Peel Police and charged with obstruction of justice. A month earlier, in April 2025, Wasserman had been charged with assault over an incident where he is alleged to have assaulted a female protester at a Mississauga rally. When arrested, he gave police a false name (using his longstanding alias "Lee Stevenson"), a fake date of birth, and a "no fixed address". The fact that an ex-convict and the manager of Sa'd's law firm would so readily lie to police and give them a fake name to evade criminal charges, does not come as a surprise to Ms. Hategan, given how frequently Sa'd herself has lied to police.

- 65. Unable to locate "Stevenson" (Ms. Hategan presumes he did not show up for fingerprinting, which would have uncovered his real name and history of criminal convictions and incarcerations), Peel Police came to Ms. Hategan's doorstep on the morning of April 22, reaching out for information because she had previously filed a police report about "Stevenson". Ms. Hategan assisted Peel Police in the investigation and told them everything she knew. Wasserman's criminal charges for assault and obstruction are currently before the courts.
- 66. Throughout her ordeal, Ms. Hategan was extremely affected by Sa'd's conduct and the failure of 14 Division Toronto Police to investigate the false police reports that led to her two wrongful charges and near-third arrest. Ms. Hategan has suffered from chronic depression since being diagnosed around age 20. She has been hospitalized for five previous suicide attempts, starting in her teens. Ms. Hategan's wrongful arrests caused her to suffer from suicidal ideation, to engage in self-harming behaviour, and to experience insomnia and near-daily panic attacks that have continued into the present. She also suffered a recurrence of PTSD, a clinical diagnosis she received after her Heritage Front defection. One of the 30 affidavits she gave to the OPP in 1993 involved a Toronto 14 Division police officer who was a Heritage Front member and had attended KKK rallies in Arkansas; as a result of Hategan's disclosure, he faced Police Act discreditable charges. That the same 14 Division was now behind her wrongful arrests brought back the PTSD, flashbacks, crippling fear and anxiety she had suffered for several years in the 1990s.
- 67. For two years, Ms. Hategan had considered Sa'd her best friend and did not keep any secrets from her. As a result, she erred in confiding to Sa'd details about her phobia of police, rooted in her during her childhood in communist Romania. In 2022, she told Sa'd who had been pressing her for details about the subject of her civil court gag order that she did not want to violate the order. Elisa specifically told Sa'd, by text message and verbally, that she was terrified of police (despite not having a criminal record), and that if she was ever arrested for something, she would kill herself.

Armed with this knowledge, between 2023-2025 Ms. Sa'd contacted police numerous times, boldfaced lying as she redoubled her efforts to have Ms. Hategan arrested. Along with these false police reports, Sa'd called in no less than four separate "wellness checks" on Elisa, even at times when she hadn't said or done anything to merit such action.

- 68. Ms. Hategan has been traumatized by Sa'd's actions and Toronto police's complicity and unmerited, bizarre servility to Sa'd, which came at a cost of depriving Ms. Hategan of her Charter Rights. It is a servility all the more inexplicable because of how Sa'd and her boyfriend use Toronto police as props to advance her brand as a Twitter influencer. To that end, there is arguably no better example than what happened in January 2024, when Wasserman filmed a cop giving Tim Hortons Coffee to pro-Palestinian protesters. The clip was clipped and spun to appear like Toronto cops were pro-Hamas, resulting in a groveling apology by Toronto police Chief Myron Demkiw and Sa'd's video going viral, resulting in more followers, donations, and Twitter ad share income for the pair.
- 69. It took Elisa many years to overcome her police phobia. Graduating *Magna cum Laude* from the University of Ottawa with a double major in criminology and psychology, she served as a consultant with the London, UK-based Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD), and has assisted law enforcement such as the Ontario Provincial Police with advice and training on preventing radicalization and extremism in youth. As a keynote speaker for the Probation Officers Association of Ontario (POAO), she presented workshops alongside OPP and RCMP officers. Her wrongful arrests and the high volume of false police reports Sa'd filed about her, which are still registered in CPIC, have destroyed her reputation in the law enforcement community. She has the right to pursue legal accountability.
- 70. In July 2025, she filed complaints with the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA) about three 14 Division police officers involved in her wrongful prosecutions and near-third arrest. Ms. Hategan firmly believes that there is more than enough evidence for police to charge Sa'd with

mischief, intimidation of a witness, obstruction, and perjury. Certainly much more than they had against Elisa before they became complicit in Caryma Sa'd's quest to silence her by any means necessary.

Michelle "Mitch" Hancock's Relationship with Caryma Sa'd

- Ms. Hategan has never met Ms. Hancock in person. She recalls that in 2022 Ms. Hancock followed Ms. Sa'd, then Ms. Hategan, on X/formerly Twitter. Hancock was part of Sa'd's extended fanbase, but was not close to either Sa'd or herself. Ms. Hategan felt sorry for Ms. Hancock, whose nickname was "Mitch", and what she perceived as her desperate need to be noticed by Sa'd. Elisa regarded "Mitch" as a lonely Twitter fan, among the first in line to buy a baseball cap Caryma was selling for \$65 an exorbitant amount for someone on ODSP to spend on what Elisa viewed as an unrequited celebrity crush. With this in mind, Elisa suggested to Ms. Sa'd that she handwrite personalized thankyou notes to fans and include them in the packages being mailed out, as a way to offer greater value.
- 72. Ms. Hancock regularly gave "Likes" and retweeted Ms. Hategan's posts. Their brief exchange via X/Twitter Direct Messages ("DMs") show Ms. Hancock's attempt to ingratiate herself to Ms. Hategan who at the time was Ms. Sa'd's closest friend. In Ms. Hategan's view, Hancock appeared exceedingly eager to get close to Sa'd and tried to run into Sa'd at public outings. Ms. Hategan recalls that Ms. Hancock attended Ford-fest in 2023, hoping to run into Sa'd, to no avail. Hancock also sent money to Sa'd multiple times, although she never met Sa'd in person until after the dissolution of Sa'd's relationship with Ms. Hategan.
- 73. Ms. Hategan believes that as soon as she was blocked by Ms. Sa'd's boyfriend, Adam Lee Wasserman, on Sa'd's social media accounts and emails, Ms. Hancock immediately strived to become her replacement as Sa'd's new sidekick.

- 74. Ms. Hategan never published anything negative about Ms. Hancock prior to discovering in July 2025 that Hancock had engaged in a year-long defamation campaign against her. Prior to Ms. Hancock engaging in her libel, the last words she had communicated to Ms Hategan were remarkably complimentary. On September 29, 2023, one day before Ms. Hategan was blocked and robbed of her earnings by Wasserman and Sa'd, Ms. Hancock had praised her effusively in a Twitter DM, writing, "Elisa I hope you know how much you are loved not just by me but by other people who support and love you for who you are and what you have accomplished. ♥"
- 75. Ms. Hategan asserts that she did absolutely nothing to incur Ms. Hancock's abusive behavior and derogatory comments. After being blocked by Wasserman and Sa'd, she unfollowed and blocked Sa'd's closest circle of fans, which included Hancock but said nothing to her, and published nothing about her.
- 76. Ms. Hategan blocked Ms. Hancock's X/Twitter account in October 2023. She did not visit Hancock's page and had no interest in fostering a parasocial relationship with Hancock, due to what she perceived as Hancock's excessive devotion to Sa'd. To put it bluntly, she didn't believe there was any point to nurturing a connection to someone so excessively fixated on Ms. Sa'd, who was sure to take Sa'd's side no matter what.
- 77. In or around April 2024, and/or at earlier times not known to the Plaintiff, Ms. Hancock started posting degrading and defamatory comments about Ms. Hategan on X, which were not seen by Ms. Hategan until July 2025.
- 78. Michelle "Mitch" Hancock's defamatory comments on X/Twitter are overt attempts to protect Caryma Sa'd and Adam Lee Wasserman, the people Hancock calls her "friends", from scrutiny or investigation. Hancock attacked Ms. Hategan without any provocation. She accused her of engaging in a "vendetta" and "vengeance tour" against her friends, Sa'd and Wasserman. Then she claimed that

Elisa was a "criminal harasser" "obsessed" with Sa'd, rather than a wrongfully-accused victim cleared of false accusations, striving to seek accountability for the traumatic events she suffered as a result of Sa'd's unlawful conduct.

- 79. Ms. Hancock's false accusation that Elisa is "obsessed" with Ms. Sa'd belies the fact that as far back as February 2022, Hancock displayed an excessive preoccupation with Ms. Sa'd. Though the two had never met and hadn't established a friendship or working relationship, Ms. Hancock tweeted to Sa'd on a near-daily basis, sent her money on multiple occasions, and showered her with compliments and heart emojis. Ms. Hategan finds it ironic that Hancock would deride her with the jibe, "Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa," and believes it to be the result of Hancock's jealousy over the close relationship she had had with Sa'd, who told Elisa that she loved her. She recalls Ms. Hancock's two-year long desperation to receive any crumb of attention from Sa'd, who paid little attention to Hancock until the dissolution of her friendship with Elisa.
- 80. Hancock's tweets speak volumes about Hancock's strong feelings for Sa'd. An excerpt:

"Sent you some money to support you getting rest and obviously the warm snow pants" (Feb 1, 2022). "I sent money to you earlier! Not much but happy to help you! (Feb 5, 2022); "I will pay you anything to have one honestly I love your cartoons" (Aug 22, 2022); "Yesss I love to be in the Caryma club " (Aug 30, 2022); "Keep fighting the good fight Caryma! Yeah fuck off [critic's name]" (Sept 2, 2022); "Whatever you are I love your vibe" (Nov. 5, 2022); "You make the world a better place" (Nov. 7, 2022); "At least they got your good side!" (Dec. 2, 2022); "I like your undercover look. It does you right" (Dec 4, 2022); "My main concern is you and your cameraman safety" (Dec 18, 2022); "You have 100% my support Caryma!" (Dec 18, 2022); "Love to see you laughing and smiling not taking this all seriously at all " (Dec 18, 2022); "And you look beautiful as well Caryma!" (Dec 18, 2022); "Nah, they just jealous of you girl! They wish they were as

great as you!" (Dec. 20, 2022); "You have my support!" (Dec 20, 2022); "I would assume you smell like roses Caryma 👺 💆 " (Jan 15, 2023); "Glad to see you having fun" (Jan 21, 2023); "I like that rainbow feather thing you are wearing @carymarules" (Feb 8, 2023); "Are you a superhero now Caryma?" (Feb 25, 2023); "I sent ya some bus money Caryma "(Mar 3, 2023); "I already sent her bus money" (Mar 4, 2023); "Then Zach won't get to see your beautiful face" (Mar 3, 2023); "Wooo of Finally beat them at their own game Caryma" (Mar 4, 2023); "I love it you look so impressed (2)" (Mar 5, 2023); "And I gave her the money so what?" (Mar 6, 2023); "I like the way you think Caryma" (Mar 25, 2023); "Hope you get elected! I would vote for you if I could" (Mar 31, 2023); "Jokes on you I have e transfer money to Caryma" (Apr 7, 2023); "You always clear the record with facts Caryma! That's why they are trying to silence you" (April 14, 2023); "Now you have to win Caryma" (April 15, 2023); "You will win this spy game Caryma"; "You are fabulous" (April 28, 2023); "Whatever the results are you are fabulous either way!" (April 28, 2023); "Im disappointed that you don't think about me Caryma 😥 🤢 "(May 8, 2023); "All hail Caryma!" (May 29, 2023); "Stand your ground Caryma!" (June 1, 2023); "You are exactly right Caryma!" (June 5, 2023); "I really dig your outfit Caryma. You always have good fashion sense \(\psi\) "(June 6, 2023); "Love it! Especially when you dropped that in the water \(\epsilon\) \(\epsilon\). I like how your hat and glasses match" (June 8, 2023); "You always manage to find the action Caryma" (June 10, 2023; "I always support your adventures Caryma!" (June 15, 2023); "Can't wait to get my Hat from @CarymaRules! $\stackrel{\text{co}}{=} \stackrel{\text{co}}{=}$ "(June 16, 2023); "You're bad Caryma $\stackrel{\text{co}}{=} \stackrel{\text{co}}{=} \stackrel{\text{co}}{=}$ "June 21, 2023); "Is @Carymarules there?" (June 24, 2023); "Caryma you're better at reporting than TMZ" (June 27, 2023); "I would love to hang out with your mom **3** "(June 27, 2023), "I always applaud Caryma level of patience when dealing with these people" (June 28, 2023); "Hahaha Caryma! You're bad 🤣 🤣 🤣 " (June 29, 2023); "I love you completely ignoring what's happening behind you.

They don't deserve your attention or respect IMHO" (June 29, 2023); "You're one bad ass chick! Especially in that cannabis shirt \(\psi\) \(\psi\)" (June 30, 2023); "Don't let them get you down Caryma! You got more supporters than haters!" (July 3, 2023); "You go Caryma!" (Jul 10, 2023); "I 100% agree with you Caryma" (July 4, 2023); "I rather have a save Caryma hat from @CarymaRules "> "" (July 6, 2023); "Caryma is going to own their asses." (July 14, 2023); "Yeah Caryma stop stalking me $\stackrel{2}{\Theta}$ $\stackrel{2}{\Theta}$ " (July 17, 2023); "Looking pretty comfy there Caryma" (July 19, 2023); "You are becoming a celebrity Caryma "(July 29, 2023); "Caryma you like soccer?" (Jul 30, 2023); "Did you have bets on who would win Caryma?" (Aug 7, 2023); "What did you get Caryma?" (Aug 12, 2023); "Caryma love ya holding down that flag! " (Aug 13, 2023); "Oooo are you at the ex Caryma?" (Aug 26, 2023); "Caryma your facial expressions are always my favourite 🔣 🤣 " (Sept 22, 2023); "Caryma can keep my money" (Sept 23, 2023); "Looking good Caryma!" (Sept 29, 2023); "Caryma I feel like you want all the food @ @ " (Oct 22, 2023); "I consider Caryma a friend." (Oct 26, 2023); "If Caryma needs a body guard I'm down & The County (Oct 26, 2023); "You look like a lot of trouble Caryma 3 Just standing there in your jacket 3 7 (Oct 29, 2023); "You are very brave Caryma "(Nov 2, 2023); "Yeah you tell them Caryma!" (Nov 4, 2023); "Fabulous picture Caryma! 💆 📛 💧 o o o (Nov 25, 2023); "Caryma hope you guys stay warm out there in the rain!" (Nov 26 2023); "I also support Caryma" (Nov 27, 2023); "I thought I was your friend Caryma"(Nov 28, 2023); "Caryma did you go somewhere else o o o (Nov 29, 2023); "My bets on Caryma" (Nov 29, 2023); "I love how your just standing there unbothered" (Dec 1, 2023); "Caryma has the patience of a saint. But it also the weed" (Dec 5, 2023); "Caryma I love the comeback 2 2" (Dec 10, 2023); "Caryma is a boss" (Jan 1, 2024); "You are one badass chick Caryma! Keep fighting the good fight! \heartsuit \heartsuit (Jan 1, 2024); "Caryma I see you giggling in the

background" (Jan 6, 2024); "Caryma are you being bad again? 📦 "(Jan 11, 2024); "If Caryma was a giant B towards you You probably 100% deserved it •• •• "(Jan 21, 2024); "You tell em Caryma! V Love ya girl" (Jan 31, 2024); "Love the hat Caryma (Jan 31, 2024); "I love you guys" (Feb 4, 2024); "Love how you brought back that rainbow feather boa Caryma!" (Feb 6, 2024); "I love when sassy Caryma comes out *\text{\till} \text{\te}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texict{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\tilint{\text{\texi}\tiint{\text{\texit{\text{ 7, 2024); "Hope you have a good one Caryma!" (Mar 11, 2024); "Caryma kills me! 🤣 🤣 🤣 " (April 11, 2024); "Caryma are we gonna have a reconnection? (Mar 21, 2024); "Caryma you are always out doing something fun! Or risking your life to report news •• •• • (Mar 23, 2024); "Someone who love you and Caryma "> "Someone who love you and Caryma" (Mar 22, 2024); "You are only going to fly higher Caryma!" (Mar 23, 2024); "I like to play the game of where in the world is Caryma today "> "" (April 1, 2024); "Move clouds so Caryma can see the sun!" (Apr 8, 2024); "Already got my tickets! Excited to see you talk about this" (Apr 16, 2024); "Glad to see the show tonight! Caryma you were amazing as always!" (Apr 18, 2025); "I love the outfit you're wearing! Pink really suits you " (Apr 26, 2024); "I wish this was true Sonja but unfortunately I am not employed by Caryma. 😂 😂 " (April 28, 2024); "I have nothing against Elisa But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support. Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves." (April 28, 2024); "Look at you caryma! Moving on up in the world! Great stuff!" (May 10, 2024); "Caryma is my friend and they don't like that." (May 18, 2024); "She blocked me once she started trashing Caryma." (May 28, 2024); "I like to text Caryma memes" (June 7, 2024); "Thank you for saying I write/sound like Caryma, one of my friends whom I respect and admire the most."(June 22, 2024); "Looking good! ♥ Love the shoes" (July 2, 2024); "I'm glad to be stuck in this with you Caryma!" (July 26, 2024); "I'll be in my save Caryma hat" (Aug 14, 2024); "Apple picking with @Carymarules " (Oct 12, 2024); "The sass I love it. Good on you buddy"

(Nov 22, 2024); "Caryma is our leader in the No jazz club" (Dec 10, 2024); "Love that look Caryma!

"(Jan 19, 2025); "Caryma for PM!" (Jan 14, 2025); "Did Caryma get some" (Mar 22, 2025); "I wanna know where Caryma's dance moves are" (Apr 6, 2025); "Great videos you got here Caryma" (Apr 15, 2025); "A masterpiece product by Caryma" (May 7, 2025); "Unlike these awful people I want Caryma to live forever and be immortalized" (May 28, 2025); "That was a smooth entrance by Caryma" (June 16, 2025); "I love it when Caryma gets feisty."(June 30, 2025); "Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa" (July 4, 2025); "I remember that day we savoured watermelon outside in the beautiful weather. It was a fantastic day

"(July 10, 2025); "Watching @Carymarules eat seafood bowl is very entertaining. Can't get too close, don't want to get crabs.
"(July 26, 2025).

Ms. Hancock's Harassment Campaign against Elisa Hategan

DEFAMATION

The False and Defamatory Statements

- 81. Ms. Hancock has made and republished public statements about Ms. Hategan which contain false and defamatory statements that would reasonably be expected to lower her reputation in the eyes of the public, fellow journalists, and law enforcement.
- 82. Between April 2024 and July 2025, Ms. Hancock falsely and maliciously posted and republished the following defamatory statements about Ms. Hategan (collectively, the "**Defamatory Statements**"):
 - a) "She's obsessed with Caryma I don't know what's happening to her but she needs to get off twitter and get some help" (Tweet dated April 28, 2024)

- b) "I have nothing against Elisa But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support. Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves."
 (Tweet dated April 28, 2024)
- "I love how you're using EH as your source. You really believe everything she posts? All of it is taken out of context just to smear Caryma because she's on a vengeance tour.
 #IStandWithCaryma (Tweet dated May 15, 2024)
- d) "She blocked me once she started trashing Caryma." (Tweet dated May 28, 2024);
- e) "Stop being such a obsessive nutcase you fucking lunatic. We don't want anything to do with you." ((Tweet dated June 17, 2025)
- f) "You're a Nazi who needs to be locked up in a asylum So obsessed because you were rejected" (Tweet dated June 18, 2025)
- g) "We all know it's you Elisa You aren't fooling anyone" (Tweet dated June 18, 2025)
- h) "Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa" (Tweet dated July 4, 2025)
- i) "Go away Elisa. As you already probably know that I am already in a committed relationship so I don't need to add another." (Tweet dated July 4, 2025)
- j) "Her history is from 2023 Sue [to Sue-Ann Levy, while she is engaging with me on X]

 She's like a crazy obsessed ex lover that you need a protection from because she won't leave you alone and keeps living in the past." (Tweet dated July 7, 2025)
- k) "This is a sock troll account run by Hategan former Neo Nazi Who is still using tweets from 2023 for attention and to take revenge on Caryma and Lee" (Tweet dated July 7, 2025)
- 1) "Cause they are crazy and obsessed with Caryma exposing what's happening. We are finding out the truth thanks to her work" (Tweet dated July 7, 2025)

- m) "They all use multiple anonymous accounts. Because they have no life and are devoted to being a criminal harasser that writes manifestos about their enemies. This has been going on for years Who's paying them?" (Tweet dated July 8, 2025)
- n) "If you want me to stop stating the truth and talking send me a REAL cease and desist letter.

 Not some tweet from a social media platform" (Tweet dated July 8, 2025)
- o) "So obsessed it's really creepy. Caryma needs a restraining order against you." (July 24, 2025)
- p) "It's always when I'm sleeping. He and Hategan could write millions of manifestos with their social media posts." (Tweet dated July 28, 2025)
- q) "It's always old screenshots and pictures too. From 2023 can't they get something a bit more recent? Bit obsessive to be still raging about something happening 2 years ago." (Tweet dated July 28, 2025)
- r) "Especially using multiple anonymous sock accounts too. People really have no life and very obsessive." (Tweet dated July 28, 2025)
- "Being threatened to be taken to court because of a personal vendetta against your friend is a wild take honestly." Tweet is accompanied by GIF with caption: "WHEN YOU TRIGGER THE CRAZY PERSON" (Tweet dated July 29, 2025)
- t) "I'm curious about the obsession with me. It's a fairly new account from May 2025. I hope it's not her account, but I don't believe it unless I have concrete evidence. Someone is creating multiple accounts to harass me, and I want them to face consequences for their actions." (Tweet dated July 29, 2025)
- u) "Making multiple Anonymous sock accounts to harass me is a very pathetic way to show you have no actual life." (Tweet dated July 30, 2025)

- v) "These people are harassing me because they have a vendetta against my friends so they're coming after me, which is pretty pathetic that you have to go after somebody's friends cause you don't like the person." (Tweet dated July 30, 2025)
- w) "This is proving my statement that multiple new accounts are harassing me for no reason.

 This was made this month July 2025" (Tweet dated July 30, 2025)
- x) July 31, 2025 Ms. Hancock retweeted a Caryma Sa'd X/ Twitter post dated January 27, 2025. The tweet is accompanied by a photo collage of 9 people. The top row middle photo features a cropped photo of Elisa Hategan, which was taken when Ms. Hategan accompanied Sa'd to Ottawa on June 9, 2023. Sa'd cropped herself out of the photo, and used Elisa's image to accompany a malicious and utterly false defamatory post which referred to Ms. Hategan as a "criminal element" who "incited" "harassment" and "specifically hatred and violence incited" against Sa'd, and related it to a random protester spitting at Wasserman.

 Ms. Hategan does not attend public protests, does not know who this spitter was, and had absolutely nothing to do with the incident Sa'd attempted to conflate with her name. She certainly has not conspired with them to "incite hatred and violence", as Sa'd falsely alleges in the tweet Ms. Hancock retweeted from her @mitch6669 X account on July 31, 2025:

"Got an unsolicited call from @TorontoPolice Hate Crimes Unit today, which marks the first time cops have reached out proactively to make an arrest based on my footage. But this spitting incident did not happen in a vacuum, nor was it a random attack.

There is a coordinated, ongoing hate campaign intended to limit my participation in the public sphere as a lawyer, journalist, and citizen. I have been targeted nonstop since 2021, and my family, clients, friends, colleagues, and supporters harassed as proxies; my videographer takes the brunt of abuse.

This spitting situation likely got special attention due to widespread outrage online—the public relations department is perhaps the tail wagging the dog at @TorontoPolice. In fact, I have documented and/or reported countless other crimes with no meaningful follow up. A meeting is being set up with @TorontoPolice to discuss the underlying harassment, specifically hatred and violence incited against me by Gisela McKay, Elisa Hategan, Josh Chernofsky, Jennifer Evans, Deana Sherif, Joe Morin, Clayton Goodwin, John Thibeau, and Brett Stewart.

This **criminal element** within the protest circuit is supported in part by politicians, union members, and certain media outlets.

My case is only the tip of the iceberg. #cdnpoli #ProtestMania

- 83. The Defamatory Statements refer expressly, and in some instances implicitly, to Elisa Hategan.
- 84. The Defamatory Statements contain serious allegations about Ms. Hategan in their plain and ordinary meaning or by virtue of the surrounding circumstances, which give the words a defamatory meaning and innuendo. The words complained of meant, were intended to mean, and were understood to mean that Ms. Hategan:
 - (a) is a liar;
 - (b) is crazy;
 - (c) is "obsessive; and "very obsessive";
 - (d) is "on a vengeance tour";
 - (e) wishes to "take revenge on Caryma and Lee";
 - (f) is "obsessed with Caryma"; "crazy and obsessed with Caryma";
 - (g) is "a Nazi who needs to be locked up in a asylum";

(h) is "obsessed because you were rejected";
(i) is "like a crazy obsessed ex lover that you need a protection from because she won't leave
you alone";
(j) has "no life and are devoted to being a criminal harasser";
(k) is a person who Caryma Sa'd "needs a restraining order against";
(l) "writes manifestos about enemies";
(m) is an "obsessive nutcase";
(n) is a "fucking lunatic";
(o) "needs to get off twitter and get some help";
(p) is "obsessive to be still raging about something happening 2 years ago";
(q) operates "a troll account" on X/Twitter;
(r) is part of a "protest circuit"
(s) conspires with others (i.e. Antifa activists) to "harass" and be a "criminal harasser",
(t) is part of a "coordinated, ongoing hate campaign" against Caryma Sa'd and her boyfriend
(u) is associated with someone who spat at Adam Lee Wasserman;
(v) requires to be reported to the Toronto Hate Crimes Unit in a meeting set up by Sa'd; and
(w) has "incited hatred and violence" against Caryma Sa'd.
The full extent of Ms. Hancock's defamatory statements and re-publishing of other persons'

defamatory statements is not known to Ms. Hategan but is known to Ms. Hancock.

85.

- 86. To date, nearly all of the defamatory statements continue to remain published on Ms. Hancock's X/Twitter page, which has 1257 followers. As of today's date, her account is open and accessible to the public. The damages stemming from these false accusations are ongoing and cumulative. Due to the seriousness of the false and defamatory statements, the defamation is actionable per se and special damages need not be proven.
- 87. The words complained of are plainly false and demeaning, and are calculated to impugn Ms.

 Hategan's integrity, to embarrass and humiliate her, and to lower her professional and personal reputation in the estimation of the general public.
- 88. Further, or in the alternative, Ms. Hategan submits that by virtue of Ms. Hancock's close association with Caryma Sa'd and her audience of 63,400 followers, and Sa'd's frequent retweets and endorsements of Ms. Hancock, the defamatory posts have come to the attention of many people with whom Ms. Hategan has interacted, including fellow journalists and media professionals.
- 89. Ms. Hategan asserts that being falsely accused of operating "sock puppet accounts", some of which are anti-Muslim, in a purported "vendetta" against Sa'd, and being slandered as "crazy and obsessed" by Hancock, who often boasts of her affiliation with Sa'd and Wasserman has damaged her reputation and work as a journalist in a field where credibility and transparency are paramount.
- 90. Ms. Hancock's malicious statements were noticed and further amplified by her supporters via likes, retweets, and derogatory responses from Hancock's social media friends, who added to the pile-on. Further defamatory statements about Ms. Hategan elicited by Hancock's original defamatory posts include the following:
 - a) "This obsession isn't funny, it's scary. They need some help. Seriously." user @leighisdonex2,
 07-04-2025; "Verbal diarrhea" user @leighisdonex2 [describing Hategan's writing] 07-28-2025
 b) "Oh boy. Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned." user @davidhindsmith, July 4, 2025

- c) "I don't want to be buddies with former(?) Fascists, as I don't believe there is a "former" component to that mental state. They don't believe in peace, order & good governance. Which is why I don't support anarchists either. Both mental states are inherently & deeply narcissistic." user @rita_rewerts, April 28, 2024
- 91. The Defamatory Statements, in their natural and ordinary meaning, as well as any meaning by way of innuendo, are inherently malicious since they are abusive, exaggerated, and disproportionate to the occasion on which they were published.

MALICE

Ms. Hancock's Malice and Intent to Harm

- 92. Ms. Hancock has openly stated her intent to keep "bothering" Hategan and other Sa'd critics, unless she is proven wrong. Directly in response to Ms. Hategan posting a Cease & Desist notice on her X/Twitter page, Hancock wrote: "I will keep saying what I want whether you like it or not. There's no law against free speech". The only way to prove Hancock wrong and to stop her abusive behaviour is through judicial intervention and/or police involvement.
- 93. On May 8, 2024, Ms. Hancock responded to one of Sa'd's critics on X, who presumably requested that she delete defamatory comments, with the statement: "I wont (sic) be taking any post down since you constantly like to post about my friends. Once you stop bothering my friends I will stop bothering you. That includes Caryma and Lee." Ms. Hancock's defiant stance plainly shows her intent to continue publishing defamatory and disparaging comments about Sa'd's critics in revenge / retaliation for Sa'd and Wasserman being criticized, which opens the possibility that Ms. Hancock's defamations and cyberbullying are intentional and extortive acts of intimidation. A statement such as "Once you stop bothering my friends I will stop bothering you" conveys Hancock's firm intention

- to "bother" and behave abusively toward Caryma Sa'd's critics until they are silenced. In Ms. Hategan's view, this is both a threat and a form of extortion.
- 94. On February 19, 2025, Ms. Hancock responded to another critic of Ms. Sa'd, who alleged they were targeted with hateful comments by Sa'd's "gang" of acolytes. Hancock writes: "I'm "dragging" this out because you and your friends won't stop bothering people, so I'll continue the same behaviour as you. If you stop, I will too." Here Ms. Hancock again demonstrates her malice and intent to retaliate by "bothering" critics of Sa'd's until they stop "bothering" Sa'd. Ms. Hancock's definition of what constitutes "bothering" Sa'd appears to be a catch-all that contains any and all negative comments uttered about Sa'd on social media, whether legitimate, merited, or disparaging.
- 95. Ms. Hancock has repeatedly and wholeheartedly defended whatever measures Sa'd and Wasserman have taken against their "enemies". In a January 21, 2024 tweet, Hancock tells a woman who is critical of Sa'd: "*If Caryma was a giant B towards you You probably 100% deserved it*". This statement offers justification to any abusive behaviour Sa'd might perpetrate against another person; in Ms. Hancock's eyes, it is clear that Sa'd can do no wrong. In her own words, even if Sa'd behaves like a "B" (slang for "bitch") to someone, that person undoubtedly deserved it.
- 96. In October 2023 tweets, Ms. Hancock accuses a trans teenager who criticized Sa'd of being "obsessed" and having an "obsession" with Sa'd. For over two years, Hancock has hurled the "you're obsessive" accusation at a large number of people besides Ms. Hategan. Curiously, it is the same descriptor that Sa'd and Wasserman have used to disparage their critics for several years. Ms. Hategan is not at all surprised that Ms. Hancock would regurgitate Sa'd's allegations verbatim.
- 97. Ms. Hancock's malice and intent to harm through her online conduct is demonstrated on the face of her actions. Ms. Hancock published the Defamatory Statements in a deliberate attempt to tarnish Mr.

Hategan's reputation and in an overt effort to defend her self-described "friend" Caryma Sa'd against Ms. Hategan's verifiable allegations of fraud, impropriety, and unlawful activity.

Ms. Hancock's Pattern of Abusive Behaviour

- 98. Ms. Hategan believes that Ms. Hancock's defamatory statements cannot be divorced from Hancock's deep entrenchment with Ms. Sa'd and the promotion of her false narratives. Ms. Hancock has been emotionally and financially invested in promoting Sa'd's false narratives about Ms. Hategan and other critics of Sa'd, for at least two years. Due to her close association with Sa'd, who promoted Hancock through endorsements, retweets, shoutouts and other means to her sizeable audience of approx. 63,000+ followers, Ms. Hancock has enjoyed significant exposure, widespread attention, and gained financial donations.
- 99. Ms. Hancock regularly accompanies Ms. Sa'd and Wasserman to public rallies, protests, and assorted gatherings. Her number of followers has vastly increased over the last year, a likely ego boost. She has started to copy Sa'd and Wasserman's rebranding as "journalists", and now calls herself a "journalist" too. Without Sa'd, it is probable that Ms. Hancock would return to a state where she was not noticed or lauded as a "journalist", and did not have the relevance she believes she has today.
- 100. Ms. Hancock's defamatory statements are not just libelous in themselves; they are a calculated, overt act of intimidation intended to cyberbully, shame, silence, and intimidate Ms. Hategan from speaking out about what happened to her, and from pursuing justice against Ms. Sa'd. Hancock's malicious smears were intentionally published over a year's time and are plainly intended to cast Ms. Hategan as a liar and a "crazy" person in order to shield Sa'd and her boyfriend from scrutiny over their unlawful actions.

101. It is unknown to the Plaintiff whether Ms. Hancock decided of her own volition to appoint herself as a "guard dog" for Ms. Sa'd, or if Sa'd and/or Wasserman enlisted Hancock's aid in smearing their enemies. On October 26, 2023, Ms. Hancock tweeted: "If Caryma needs a body guard (sic) I'm down". Ms. Hancock has repeatedly articulated and demonstrated her intent to disparage those who express negative opinions about her "friends". To that end, she has consistently lashed out at journalists, at members of the LGBTQ community who expressed concerns with Wasserman or Sa'd's behaviour, and at anonymous accounts she perceived as critical of them.

Whether Ms. Hancock is now valiantly attacking Ms. Hategan to ingratiate herself with Sa'd, or whether Sa'd and Wasserman encouraged her wrong assumptions as a way to discredit Hategan, is not important. Regardless of whether Ms. Hancock was tasked or mandated to attack Sa'd's enemies, it is clear that she took it upon herself to do so – even using first person plural pronouns (i.e. "we") to suggest she spoke on Sa'd's behalf during hostile interactions.

- 102. Ms. Hancock openly acknowledges that her online comments about Ms. Hategan are driven by her friendship with Caryma Sa'd. Hancock openly admits that her insults are motivated by her opinion that Ms. Hategan is posting untrue comments about Sa'd and Wasserman. Hancock's words and actions plainly show that she feels righteous in defending her "friends" by insulting Ms. Hategan and repeatedly posting falsehoods about her. When others have attempted to correct Ms. Hancock, she has not been reasonable but instead doubled down on her erroneous assumptions.
- 103. Ms. Hategan remembers several occasions where Wasserman demanded that she delete social media posts he believed didn't reflect well on Sa'd's influencer "brand", such as her tweets about her suicidal ideation. Hategan never deleted anything at Wasserman's command, which infuriated him. She firmly believes that if Ms. Sa'd or Wasserman directed Ms. Hancock to stop posting about Elisa or to delete her content, Hancock would oblige, to avoid risking losing Sa'd's friendship.

- 104. Ms. Hancock insists that her defamatory statements are mere "opinion", but none of her comments are accompanied by qualifiers suggesting that they are personal opinions. Rather, they are phrased as statements of fact. Concerned social media users cautioned Ms. Hancock, telling her she has to ensure that she has proof before making unfounded accusations, particularly as she is calling herself a "journalist". Ms. Hancock has remained defiant, in spite of the fact that Sa'd herself, along with two other hardcore fans, were sued and forced to settle lawsuits after they too incorrectly and falsely accused innocent people of running anonymous accounts to defame Sa'd.
- 105. In response to criticism, Hancock has doubled down and insisted that she would continue to publish whatever she wanted, until she was proven wrong. On July 29, 2025, she wrote about @reader_writer78, an anonymous X account she falsely accused Ms. Hategan of owning: "I hope it's not her account, but I don't believe it unless I have concrete evidence." This statement mirrors her December 30, 2024 post, which indicates her premeditated intent to defame with no regard for truth or accuracy: "In 2025 I'm gonna care less of what people think and tell more of how I feel. Get ready for me to speak my mind more than before."
- 106. What Ms. Hancock has done is put the cart before the horse punishing Elisa with abusive, false and malicious comments worded as statements of fact, and refusing to believe that she is innocent until Elisa can conceivably offer "concrete evidence" that she is not behind the anonymous accounts she has been falsely accused of operating. Ms. Hategan asserts that this presents an impossible challenge, and Hancock has created an insurmountable obstacle that ensures Elisa will continue to be defamed. The truth is, there is no way to "prove" to Ms. Hancock, in the absence of a court-mandated production order, that Elisa neither operates nor knows who operates the anonymous X accounts that Hancock and Sa'd have falsely accused her of running, and therefore this lawsuit is the only way to put Hancock's lies to the strictest proof.

- 107. Ms. Hancock's defamation extends beyond her published statements about Elisa Hategan the misattribution of the X account @reader_writer78 to Ms. Hategan is defamatory in and of itself. The X account @reader_writer78, which calls itself "West Coast Dispatches", was created in May 2025. Its central focus appears to be disparaging Muslims with racist and derogatory comments attacking Islam, and advancing a pro-Israeli stance. Along with its anti-Muslim narrative, the account has posted criticism of Sa'd and Wasserman, but so have countless other X users. It also posted screenshots from @SP411STAR, another X account that Sa'd and her fans misattributed to Elisa.
- 108. While Ms. Hategan is Jewish herself, she views the majority of @reader_writer78's posts as abhorrent. The account does not represent her political views, nor does it reflect her opinions on the conflict in Gaza and the Middle East. Ms. Hategan does not believe that anyone who publishes such statements would engage in a close friendship and working relationship with Sa'd, a Muslim woman.
- 109. Elisa is an immigrant herself, and has worked within the Jewish and Muslim community. For years, she was a speaker hired by numerous Jewish organizations, synagogues, and interfaith groups. In 2018 she appeared as a featured speaker at the annual conference in Philadelphia, USA, of the Sisterhood of Salaam-Shalom, an international peacebuilding organization made up of Jewish and Muslim women advocating for peace and networking between the Jewish and Muslim communities.
- 110. Ms. Hancock's dogged insistence on linking Elisa to what can only be described as an anti-Muslim, anti-Islam account has damaged Elisa's professional reputation as a consultant on anti-extremism, anti-racism and deradicalization matters. The reality is, Ms. Hategan was in the process of starting a non-profit organization together with Sa'd. The application was underway, and a Mission Statement had already been written, when she was abruptly blocked by Wasserman and Sa'd.
- 111. Ms. Hancock's recurring lies that Ms. Hategan operates an anti-immigrant, Islamophobic account calling for the deportation of immigrants is repulsive and damaging to Elisa's professional reputation

- in the communities where she has been contracted to give speaking engagements and workshops.

 Most of her speaking engagement requests come through her social media platforms.
- 112. Ms. Hancock's malicious and defamatory accusations that Elisa operates a racist, anti-immigrant X account are ironic, in light of the fact that Ms. Hancock herself has made frequent statements that reflect prejudice against immigrants by linking them to crime/criminal activity. She has opined that Canadians should become "more mean" to immigrants. Hancock's anti-immigrant posts include:
 - "We are too nice and need to start being more mean" (July 28, 2025); Haven't you noticed that once immigration went up, crime went up?" (July 25, 2025); "Canadians first everyone else second. What about Canadians that need homes?" (Apr 7, 2025); Why are we building homes for immigrants and refugees when we should be doing it for Canadians who are living on the street because they can't afford the expensive rental prices in this country" (April 7, 2025); "We need a new leader that will stand up for our country and crack down on mass immigration and crime" (Jan 7, 2025); "The government's prioritization of resources for immigrants appears to be higher than that for disabled citizens" (May 8, 2024); "I will vote for anyone who cuts down on immigration" (Nov 18, 2022).
- 113. Ms. Hancock has attended multiple events hosted by persons affiliated with the "Freedom Convoy" and the far-right extremist group Diagolon. In summer 2024, she accompanied Sa'd and Wasserman to Diagolon event "Road Rage Terror Tour" in Hamilton, ON. There, Hancock posed for photos with Diagolon leader Jeremy Mackenzie, white nationalist and Diagolon supporter Rob Primo (whose X posts include "Make Canada White Again"), as well as ex-Ontario MPP Randy Hillier. Ms. Hancock has worn clothing items labeled "Make Canada Great Again", which convey the message that Canada is in decline because of immigrants. Ms. Hategan's ethnicity as an immigrant of Jewish and partial Roma ancestry make her a target of far-right activists like Hancock's newfound acquaintances.
- 114. Ms. Hategan asserts that Sa'd and Wasserman have a history of forming unsubstantiated opinions about the identities of anonymous social media account operators who are critical of Sa'd. Their accusations are so far outside the boundaries of logic or reason, they cannot be interpreted as

- anything but malicious. Sa'd then shares her baseless assumptions with her close circle of zealous fans, prompting many to begin spreading Sa'd's unfounded guesses as statements of fact.
- 115. In a June 16, 2023 text message to Ms. Hategan, Sa'd misattributed a pejorative anonymous account to a man she thought of as her biggest enemy, writing, "Keven is always my default assumption lol."

 That month, Sa'd sued the man for defamation and was countersued, eventually issuing a retraction / admission that she "caused harm".
- 116. The same man, Keven Ages, has also sued two of Ms. Sa'd's most fervent fans, Stephanie Perrella and Tanya Fusco, for defamation and harassment. Like Ms. Hancock has done to Ms. Hategan, Perella and Fusco accused Mr. Ages of operating anonymous accounts critical of Sa'd, convinced they were right because Sa'd had made the same accusations. And just like Sa'd, Ms. Perella appears to have settled the lawsuit with a retraction and quasi-apology. Fusco's matter is still pending.
- 117. Ms. Hategan believes that to Sa'd and her entourage of hardcore fans, which includes Ms. Hancock, she has replaced Mr. Ages as the new "default assumption".

Dropping Lawsuits in Exchange for False Testimony

- 118. On June 3, 2025, less than a month after Ms. Sa'd's latest failed bid to terrorize Ms. Hategan by means of the criminal justice system, Hategan discovered that a woman named Aileen Tobias ("Tobias") was posting defamatory lies about her on X. Tobias was falsely accusing Ms. Hategan of conspiring with a Toronto anarchist activist named Gisela McKay to spread "misinformation" about Caryma Sa'd via anonymous X account @SP411STAR.
- 119. Created in April 2024, @SP411STAR has posted information about Wasserman, identifying him as the owner/ CEO of SP411.cc. Naturally, Sa'd described @SP411STAR's posts as "harassment", and wrongly assumed that Ms. Hategan was involved with the account. Sa'd's false accusations are

- included in police reports Hategan was able to obtain in July 2025 through a Freedom of Information request to TPS. Ms. Hategan neither operates @SP411STAR nor @FOCUSTNV, nor knows who does. Neither does she interact with Gisela McKay, whose animosity toward Elisa is widely-known.
- 120. Prior to the accusation, Ms. Hategan had never interacted with Tobias. She didn't know the woman.

 Alarmed at the false accusations, Ms. Hategan angrily tweeted to Tobias that her posts were libelous and she would be held legally liable. Almost immediately, Tobias deleted all her posts about Hategan. But after the fifteen-month ordeal Elisa endured as a result of Sa'd's lies and recurrent efforts to have her arrested, she decided to investigate Tobias, and was shocked by what she uncovered.
- 121. A cursory review of her social media posts revealed that Aileen Tobias, a Mississauga caterer and activist who operates the X account @mvexposedtv and @millennialmom81.bsky.social on BlueSky, is one of more than a dozen individuals Caryma Sa'd has sued in Small Claims Court. Tobias posted her lies about Hategan the very next day after Sa'd dropped her lawsuit against Tobias.
- 122. Ms. Hategan was able to obtain a copy of the Sa'd v. Tobias Statements of Claim and Defence to review. Though brief (both are 5 pages respectively), the documents confirm that Sa'd sued Tobias in June 2024, after Tobias referred to her and Wasserman as "neo-Nazis". However, the @SP411STAR account is not referenced anywhere in the court documents available for public access at the Brampton courthouse. It is NOT listed in Sa'd's Statement of Claim as a cause for the legal action. And yet Aileen Tobias insisted that Sa'd sued her over @SP411STAR. Between June 3-4, 2025, Tobias posted the following remarks on X and BlueSky:
 - a) "Caryma filed a defamation lawsuit on (sic) me regarding sp411star and I have a right to defend myself. I don't want to waste the court system because of what Gisela McKay (sic) doing to people".
 - b) "I went through hell with the whole sp411star and pawn for Gisela McKay's vendetta against Caryma and Lee. I'm done staying silent."; "Caryma filed a defamation against me because of what Gisela is doing and the whole sp411star. Was I supposed to lie in court and not defend myself?"

- 123. Ms. Hategan could not understand why Tobias would claim that Sa'd sued her over @SP411STAR, when court documents contain no references to @SP411STAR or Gisela McKay. She also couldn't understand why Tobias was now pointing the finger at her and eight other people who all conveniently happened to be Sa'd's biggest critics. Hategan now believes that Sa'd offered Tobias the option of dropping her lawsuit in exchange for Tobias identifying Sa'd's enemies as operators of @SP411STAR, so Sa'd could have third-party corroboration and finally get rid of her longstanding critics by reporting them all to police for "criminal harassment". Hategan also believes that Tobias was terrified at the prospect of being held liable for \$35,000, and would have gone with whatever suited Sa'd's narrative to get out of the lawsuit.
- 124. In June 2025, evidence came to light indicating that Ms. Sa'd had approached at least one other individual she had sued with an offer to "drop the case" against them, in exchange for inaccurate or false testimony against Sa'd's other targets/enemies. On June 2, 2025, Wasserman's stalking victim Jennifer Evans published screenshots on X of a Direct Message conversation between herself and one of the dozen+ individuals Sa'd had sued for defamation in Small Claims Court. This person, who is afraid to come forward because the offer was presented during a confidential Settlement Conference, told Evans that Sa'd had offered to "drop the case" in exchange for untruthful testimony against Evans. The offer was declined.
- 125. Ms. Hategan asserts that Sa'd and Wasserman believe that by getting their detractors charged criminally or smeared as harassers by way of a peace bond and Small Claims defamation lawsuits, their critiques of Sa'd and her boyfriend will be viewed as "harassment" and discounted by the public as malicious fabrication. Based on actual statements Sa'd told police, on video and in writing (which Hategan cannot publish because the evidence was obtained through Disclosure), Sa'd's numerous false police reports contain plain and irrefutable evidence that Sa'd is trying to have people arrested and charged with criminal harassment as a reputation management tactic. After all, who will believe

a "criminal harasser" over a "lawyer in good standing with the Law Society of Ontario"? But legitimate criticism of Caryma Sa'd is NOT a crime. At most, it is a matter of civil litigation.

Ms. Hancock Ignored and Mocked Ms. Hategan's Notices of Libel

- 126. Ms. Hategan became aware of Ms. Hancock's libelous posts in the first days of July 2025, when Hancock began replying to X threads where she was tagged. She then conducted a comprehensive search for Hancock's handle @mitch6669 in conjunction with her own name, and discovered defamatory tweets going back more than a year. She also discovered that Hancock was engaging with anonymous X account @reader_writer78 and insulting it as if the account belonged to Ms. Hategan, and telling others it was operated by Hategan. Ms. Hategan has no idea who operates it.
- 127. Greatly concerned about Ms. Hancock's defamatory posts, and her persistent misattribution of an anti-Muslim, anti-Islam account to her, Elisa posted a Cease & Desist notice addressed to "Mitch" in the thread she had been tagged, and on her own X page. Ms. Hancock's response, dated July 8, was to tweet, "If you want me to stop stating the truth and talking send me a REAL cease and desist letter. Not some tweet from social media platform."
- 128. On the afternoon of July 8, 2025, Ms. Hategan emailed the first of three Cease and Desist letters to Ms. Hancock, providing her with formal notices of libel. The ensuing correspondence between Ms. Hategan and Ms. Hancock displays Hancock's confusion over what is opinion versus statement of fact. Hancock's response also communicates her determination and resistance to removing any posts, while accompanied by an absolute refusal to acknowledge the possibility that she may be wrong.
- 129. Throughout July 2025, Ms. Hancock continued posting libelous comments about Ms. Hategan, where she was implicitly and explicitly referred to by name. Elisa emailed Hancock two additional Notices of Libel letters, on July 28 and July 31 respectively, which were ignored.

- 130. Even though Ms. Hancock only responded to Ms. Hategan's first Cease & Desist letter and did not acknowledge the subsequent letters, within hours of Elisa's second letter, Ms. Hancock posted a thread on X where she complained about being "harassed" with "threats" of civil litigation. Although Ms. Hategan is not referred to by name, anyone who follows Ms. Hancock or Ms. Sa'd would recognize the references, particularly because of the previous exchanges about civil litigation.
- 131. Ms. Hancock refused to acknowledge Ms. Hategan's third Notice of Libel letter. Nonetheless, within 30 minutes of it being emailed to her, Ms. Hancock posted a link to an article about a lawsuit Ms. Hategan had been involved in years ago, which she lost. The article does not accurately describe the issues surrounding Ms. Hategan's previous litigation, which involved unrelated torts and disputes, and has absolutely nothing to do with Ms. Hancock's defamatory posts or the issues brought up in the 3 Cease & Desist letters Ms. Hategan had sent. Ms. Hancock appears to have posted the article in an attempt to deflect from her tortious conduct, and present it as justification for ongoing defamations.
- 132. Ms. Hancock is wrong to presume that Ms. Hategan losing one civil action means she has no reputation to defend. The allegations and torts involved in her previous lawsuit are irreconcilably different than the situation that forces her to sue Ms. Hancock now. Elisa losing a lawsuit is no *carte blanche* for Hancock to spin lies with impunity to further destroy her name and career prospects.
- 133. Elisa Hategan's reputation as an author, freelance journalist or the woman who was centrally responsible for shutting down the Heritage Front has *never* been in dispute. At no point during any previous legal action have her courageous actions as a teenager been questioned. Nor does her civil court loss (where as a partly self-represented litigant, she was outmatched by approx. \$275,000 to her \$50,000 budget) discount Elisa's credibility as a journalist and expert on radicalization and political extremism. Hancock's conflation with an unrelated legal loss by Ms. Hategan aims to smear her as a "liar" in order to deflect from the serious allegations she has made about Sa'd and Wasserman.

- 134. Ms. Hancock referenced the name of one of the parties involved in Ms. Hategan's previous civil action to falsely accuse the @reader_writer78 account of being Ms. Hategan in disguise, further communicating the lie that Ms. Hategan operates that account. On July 19, 2025, Ms. Hancock tweeted to @reader_writer78: "I hope [REDACTED] decides to take you back to court."
- 135. Ms. Hancock's malice is reflected in her choice to highlight Elisa's previous legal loss in Civil Court, while omitting all mention of circumstances where Elisa's testimony proved both credible and invaluable to the Courts. In her 1994 judgement, Judge Tremblay-Lamer cited Elisa as being single-handedly responsible for the convictions and jail sentences of three neo-Nazi white supremacists:
 - "I found Ms. Hategan very credible, candid, calm and patient during an intensive cross-examination. She has never contradicted herself, and her forthright demeanour and manner of expression left no doubt in my mind that she was credible. Such was the strength of her oral and affidavit evidence, that neither Mr. Droege's testimony or that of any other witness could refute or even seriously challenge it. Based on the evidence of Ms. Hategan alone, I am satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendants are guilty."
- 136. Ms. Hancock and other staunch members of Sa'd's rabid fanbase have persistently attacked Elisa and called her a "Nazi", referencing her brief involvement with the Heritage Front when she was a minor teenage girl more than thirty years ago, while omitting her central role in the collapse of the Heritage Front, and ignoring her decades-long demonstrable work in the Countering Violent Extremism (CVE) field in her adulthood.
- 137. Ms. Hancock's dehumanizing insults, which cast Ms. Hategan, a Jewish woman who lost extended relatives in the Holocaust, as a "Nazi" and former "Nazi", trace their inception to Fall 2023. Within days and weeks of Wasserman and Sa'd blocking Ms. Hategan without explanation or remuneration after she laboured for Sa'd for a year with the expectation that she would be compensated, members of Sa'd's entourage, including her boyfriend, her mentally unwell BC client, and several zealous fans, started hurling the offensive slur at Ms. Hategan, calling her a "Nazi", a "neo-Nazi", and

- "crazy". Although Ms. Hategan suffers from clinical depression and anxiety, she has never been diagnosed with any condition that could skew her perception of reality.
- 138. There was absolutely no reason for Sa'd's entourage to start painting Elisa as a dangerous "Nazi" or "former Nazi", given that for the previous two years she was lauded by Sa'd and her fanbase as a heroine. Ms. Hancock's own messages to Ms. Hategan in 2023 are laden with gushing praise, but this was before Sa'd and Wasserman had a motive to destroy Elisa's credibility in order to preserve Caryma's. On Sept 28, 2023, just one day before Elisa was blocked by Wasserman, Hancock wrote: "Elisa I hope you know how much you are loved not just by me but by other people who support you and love you for who you are and what you have accomplished. ♥"
- 139. Ms. Sa'd's extensive array of compliments and praises of Elisa Hategan are well-documented in their correspondence and in Sa'd's social media posts and online publications, including a glowing Amazon review that she deleted the day after Elisa's wrongful arrest. Less than 24 hours before her boyfriend issued Ms. Sa'd the ultimatum to choose between him and Ms. Hategan, demanding that she block Ms. Hategan immediately or lose him, Sa'd had sent her an endearing email, writing, "After a lifetime of you fighting, it's my turn for the heavy lifting. I wish we were of the timeline where we had private island and limitless credit cards. I hope those versions of ourselves realize how good they have it. But I also know that in another timeline, we never properly crossed paths and this connection never came to be. So I realize how good I have it."
- 140. The dehumanizing *ad hominem* attacks hurled at Ms. Hategan by Sa'd's rabid fanbase are nearly always accompanied by valiant defences of Sa'd's character, reducing and dismissing Elisa's credible and evidence-backed accusations to the works of a "crazy" "Nazi" "obsessed" with Sa'd, in order to cast doubt and deflect from the potency and robustness of her allegations.

Ms. Hancock is liable for her false and defamatory statements

- 141. Ms. Hategan did not wish to bring this civil action forward, and expressed this sentiment in her Cease and Desist letters to Ms. Hancock, but Hancock's behaviour leaves her no choice. In Ms. Hategan's view, Hancock is a self-described mentally-disabled person whose cognitive challenges and strong feelings for Sa'd have been exploited to the point that Sa'd has profited from Hancock (an ODSP recipient) giving her money and engaging in online spats to defend Sa'd's honour. Ms. Hategan believes Hancock is viewed by Sa'd and Wasserman as useful because she can chauffeur them to protests since she has a driver's license but Sa'd does not, and Wasserman told Ms. Hategan that he didn't renew his after he left Quebec. They also use Hancock as Wasserman's backup camera, often on days when Sa'd doesn't accompany him to protests. Ms. Hategan doubts that Hancock is compensated, but hopes that they at least cover the cost of her gas.
- 142. Ms. Hategan believes that Ms. Sa'd has a pattern of exploiting mentally and emotionally vulnerable individuals persons such as convicted criminal harasser Donald Smith, a BC client of Sa'd's who suffers from a cognitive disability and has threatened Sa'd's critics, with some of the threats recorded on video; and her other client MaryAnn Watson, a resident of Victoria, BC, who cyberbullied Elisa for a year and threatened her with violence, and who Victoria police described as having "severe mental issues" after speaking with her in May 2025.
- 143. Ms. Hategan remembers how her own feelings were manipulated and exploited by Sa'd, who told Elisa that she loved her. At the same time, she categorically cannot allow Ms. Hancock's defamatory statements to go unchallenged, given Sa'd's verifiable history of lying to police, and her repeated attempts to have Elisa arrested and incarcerated for allegedly operating anonymous "sock puppet" accounts critical of Sa'd, which she has not done. These are the exact lies that Ms. Hancock, a close associate of Sa'd and Wasserman, is now spreading and promoting about Ms. Hategan. Elisa believes

that her liberty and future are at stake, and sees no alternative course of action than to sue Hancock and put her lies to proof – at the very least so that Hancock can understand that there are serious consequences to her malicious and thoughtless behavior.

- 144. It is obvious that Ms. Hancock believes that the consequences of defaming Sa'd's enemies appear to be inconsequential or negligible, and that she is impervious. This viewpoint is reflected in Hancock's public comments and written response to Ms. Hategan's July 8, 2025 Cease & Desist letter:
 - "I welcome you to waste the court time on matters that are fair use and within the bounds of the law. You cannot seize anything from someone receiving social assistance, such as ODSP. You will have difficulty proving that my personal opinions are damaging a "reputation." I wish you good luck, but I will not be deleting any content."
- 145. Ms. Hancock evidently believes that people who take legal action against her cannot collect since she is on ODSP and considers herself to be judgement-proof. However, Ms. Hancock does draw on additional sources of income, including money from odd jobs like her Uber driving, a June 2025 job settlement payout, and collecting cash donations from Sa'd's fanbase under the auspices of being a "journalist". Ms. Hancock clearly has money to spare, given that she donated money to Sa'd and bought overpriced merchandise from her since 2022. The Plaintiff asserts that Ms. Hancock lives with her elderly parents in a detached home, is an only child, and stands to inherit a sizeable inheritance, and thus her present status as an ODSP recipient does not preclude her from being sued, nor does it render her judgement-proof.
- 146. Ms. Hancock's conduct has been not only callous and high-handed, but also demonstrably malicious. Her complete disregard for Ms. Hategan's reputation, mental health and dignity, while striving to attack her in defence of a woman who maliciously sought to deprive Ms. Hategan of her rightful earnings, her freedom of expression, and her liberty, is deserving of this Court's condemnation.

Schedule "B"

Tab	Description	Page
	•	
1	Sept 28, 2023 X/Twitter Direct Messages between Hancock and Hategan	3
2	Michelle Hancock republishes January 27, 2025 defamatory social media	7
	post by Caryma Sa'd and defamatory post about Elisa Hategan	
3	Defamatory X/Twitter posts by M Hancock about Elisa Hategan	10
4	X/Twitter posts by M Hancock stating she will not delete any of her posts,	26
	and threatening to "bother" people unless they stop talking about Sa'd	
5	Social media posts by @reader_writer78, the anti-Muslim anonymous X	35
	account that M Hancock falsely accuses Elisa Hategan of owning	
6	X/Twitter posts by M Hancock that display an anti-immigrant rhetoric	39
7	Social media posts by Hancock where she accuses other people of being	42
	"obsessed" with Sa'd	4.0
8	Excerpt of X/Twitter posts from M Hancock to Caryma Sa'd 2022-2025	48
9	X/Twitter posts from M Hancock detailing money she spent on Sa'd	60
10	Social media posts by Caryma Sa'd endorsing Michelle Hancock	65
11	X/Twitter posts by M Hancock showing media coverage received through	70
10	Sa'd's endorsements	70
12	Text Message from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan indicating incorrect belief	72
1.2	that critic Keven Ages operated anonymous X/Twitter accounts critical of her	7.4
13	Social media posts by Caryma Sa'd acknowledging she "caused harm" by	74
1.4	posting false allegations about a critic, as part of a lawsuit settlement	77
14	Social media posts by Stephanie Perrella, a fervent fan of Sa'd's,	77
	acknowledging she "caused harm" by posting false allegations about Sa'd's	
15	critic, as part of a lawsuit settlement	79
13	Letter from Law Society of Ontario (LSO) sanctioning Sa'd for engaging in harassment and in behaviour that brings discredit to her profession	19
16	Screenshots of text message by someone Sa'd sued, alleging Sa'd offered to	82
10	drop her lawsuit in exchange for false testimony	82
17	Social media posts by Aileen Tobias lying about Elisa Hategan after Sa'd	84
1 /	withdrew her lawsuit against Tobias	04
18	Toronto Police Reports obtained through Freedom of Information, showing	87
	Caryma Sa'd repeatedly lying about Elisa Hategan to have her arrested	07
19	Sa'd's introductory message to Hategan and assurances that their	101
	conversations are bound by solicitor-client privilege	101
20	Text message from Elisa Hategan to Caryma Sa'd discussing her phobia of	106
	police and intention to commit suicide if she was ever arrested	
21	Photos and videos filmed by Sa'd and Wasserman showing them trespassing	109
	at a suspected critic's house at 4 AM, sent to Elisa Hategan by Caryma Sa'd	
22	Stalking videos of Jennifer Evans sent to Elisa Hategan by Caryma Sa'd	111
23	Text messages from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan discussing a poison-pen	115
	article about Jennifer Evans	

		1
24	Text messages from Caryma Sa'd showing it was HER idea to accuse critics	119
	of being threats to poison her cats – she repeated this lie to police when filing	
	reports against at least 4 separate individuals, including Elisa Hategan	
25	Text messages from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan, discussing Sa'd's	121
	lawsuits and intent to libel chill, to humiliate critics, and rake up legal bills	
26	Text messages from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan where Sa'd discusses	126
	intimidating and scaring her critics (some of whom she reported to police for	
	"harassing" her), trolling her enemies, and "kayfabe"	
27	Text message from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan discussing Sa'd's idea of a	132
	"letter-writing campaign" to a critic's workplace in hope of getting him fired	
28	Text message from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan, telling her Sa'd has	134
	"undiagnosed ADHD"	
29	Text messages between Sa'd and Hategan discussing prescription meds and	136
	Sa'd praising EH for "upgrading" her prescription medication to Adderall	
30	Caryma Sa'd calls Elisa Hategan her "consigliere", attributes success to her	138
31	List of articles produced through Sa'd and Hategan's collaborations	141
32	Caryma Sa'd promises to create a podcast about Elisa Hategan	143
33	Text messages between Sa'd and Hategan discussing Wasserman's revenge	145
	streak and penchant for retribution	
34	Text message discussions between Sa'd and Hategan about the not-for-profit	147
	organization they were planning to register	
35	Text messages between Caryma Sa'd and Elisa Hategan after Sa'd's	149
	boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman seized control of communications between	
	the two women and blocked Hategan against their will – June and Sept 2023	
36	Sept 2023 Text messages from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan discussing the	156
	donations they would earn from their article The Hategate Affair	
37	X/Twitter posts by Caryma Sa'd soliciting donations for The Hategate Affair	158
	and social media posts by people who donated	
38	September 25, 2023 text messages from Sa'd to Hategan enlisting her to	166
	travel to North Bay and offer of compensation and costs reimbursement	
39	Hategan's transport costs to/from North Bay not reimbursed by Sa'd	168
40	Messages from Sa'd to Hategan between Oct 2022 – Sept 30, 2023	171
41	October 7, 2023 Substack article by Sa'd's boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman	174
	acknowledging he blocked Ms. Hategan and issued ultimatum to Sa'd	
42	Caryma Sa'd's Amazon review of Elisa Hategan's memoir	180
43	1994 articles about a 14 Division police officer charged with discreditable	182
	conduct under the Police Services Act as a result of Ms. Hategan's affidavit	
44	Ms. Hategan's Cease & Desist and Notice of Libel letters to Ms. Hancock	184
	and ensuing correspondence	

TAB 1



Thank you! A personally i think society is getting too sensitive and overly offended. There's no way forward if we can't talk to eachother without insults and violence



September 28, 2023

Elisa I hope you know how much you are loved not just by me but by other people who support you and love you for who you are and what you have accomplished. Vim sorry for what you're going through with these hateful bullies.



Thank you Mitch - not just for your lovely message but for your amazing support, both to me and to Caryma - and for taking on the fight alongside us. You didn't have to, but you chose to, because you chose to do the right thing and you can see truth when it stares at you - but more importantly, you have the courage to keep going. It's incredible. My friendship with Caryma has helped to insulate me - but she can't protect me fully, esp since my chronic depression is so off the charts. Your words today help. Every little bit helps. Thank you for being so understanding and so sweet. \heartsuit



Q




 \odot











TAB 2





TAB 3

Michelle "Mitch" Hancock's X / Formerly Twitter Account


https://x.com/Mitch6669

July 2024 Twitter Bio



who's on #ODSP

July 2025 Twitter Bio





Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Apr 28, 2024

She's obsessed with Caryma I don't know what's happening to her but she needs to get off twitter and get some help

 \bigcirc 3

tl

 \bigcirc 2

1 225 🗘



Rita Rewerts

@rita_rewerts · Apr 28, 2024

EH has gotten into her head. As well as others. People need to look into what her formal education is & combine it with; understanding that she knows how to groom as she experienced 1st hand by neonazi Zundel & know she has strong street smarts as she had in order to survive.

01

tì







Mitch [+]









I have nothing against Elisa But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support.

Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves.

8:44 PM · Apr 28, 2024 · 117 Views

) 1

J

0

1

F

Rita Rewerts

@rita_rewerts · Apr 28, 2024

I don't want to be buddies with former(?) Fascists, as I don't believe there is a "former" component to that mental state. They don't believe in peace, order & good governance. Which is why? don't support anarchists either.







This is a sock troll account run by Hategan former Neo Nazi Who is still using tweets from 2023 for attention and to take revenge on Caryma and Lee

8:58 PM · Jul 7, 2025 · 163 Views






Cause they are crazy and obsessed with Caryma exposing what's happening.

We are finding out the truth thanks to her work

11:58 PM · Jul 7, 2025 · 19 Views









You're a Nazi who needs to be locked up in a asylum

So obsessed because you were rejected

12:01 AM · Jun 18, 2025 · **90** Views



1









Mitch 🙌 📀

@Mitch6669 · Jun 18

We all know it's you Elisa You aren't fooling anyone



1







x.com





Stop being such a obsessive nutcase you fucking lunatic. We don't want anything to do with you.

9:44 PM · Jun 17, 2025 · 74 Views



x.com





Her history is from 2023 Sue She's like a crazy obsessed ex lover that you need a protection from because she won't leave you alone and keeps living in the past.





Sue-Ann ... 궣 @SueAnn... · Jul 7

Replying to @elisahategan and @DevonSnowbank

It sounds like you have a history. Okay then

9:26 AM · Jul 7, 2025 · 180 Views





She's obsessed with Caryma I don't know what's happening to her but she needs to get off twitter and get some help

7:42 PM · Apr 28, 2024 · **217** Views



3











C² 🙋

@Csquaredne... · Apr 28, 2024

Seriously, complete wacko



1



ılı 40



x.com





Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa

9:24 AM · Jul 4, 2025 · **298** Views











Leigh from Canada's Shado...


@Leighisdonex2 · Jul 4


This obsession isn't funny, it's scary.

They need some help. Seriously.

153 🗘 اړار











I love how you're using EH as your source 😂 😂

You really believe everything she posts? All of it is taken out of context just to smear Caryma because she's on a vengeance tour.

#IStandWithCaryma x.com/nejsnave/statu...

This post is unavailable.

11:25 AM · May 15, 2024 · **88** Views





I have nothing against Elisa But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support.

Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves.

8:44 PM · Apr 28, 2024 · 89 Views



1









Rita Rewerts

@rita_rewerts · Apr 28, 2024

I don't want to be buddies with former(?) Fascists, as I don't believe there is a "former" component to that mental state. They don't believe in peace, order & good governance. Which is why? don't support anarchists either.







They all use multiple anonymous accounts.

Because they have no life and are devoted to being a criminal harasser that writes manifestos about their enemies.

This has been going on for years Who's paying them?

1:24 AM · Jul 8, 2025 · **84** Views





When someone is trying to control your thoughts and opinions that is a big red flag.

That's what dictators and communists do.

6:54 PM · Jul 8, 2025 · 37 Views



West Coast Dispatches

@reader writer78 · Jul 24

You mean the Caryma that admitted to being involved with Solidarity For Palestinian Rights?







1 472 1









So obsessed it's really creepy. Caryma needs a restraining order against you.

7:18 PM · Jul 24, 2025 · 146 Views





I will keep saying what I want whether you like it or not

There's no law against free speech

1:34 AM · Jul 8, 2025 · **128** Views



Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Dec 30, 2024

In 2025 I'm gonna care less of what people think and tell more of how I feel.

Get ready for me to speak my mind more than before.

171

♥ 11 III 347 1.







Also hope 2025 is when people get the consequences and the karma they 100% deserve

8:59 PM · Dec 30, 2024 · 175 Views













I wont be taking any post down since you constantly like to post about my friends.

Once you stop bothering my friends I will stop bothering you.

That includes Caryma and Lee.

3:31 PM · May 8, 2024 · 106 Views



17











I'm "dragging" this out because you and your friends won't stop bothering people, so I'll continue the same behaviour as you.

If you stop, I will too.



3:47 PM · Feb 19, 2025 · 143 Views





If Caryma was a giant B towards you You probably 100% deserved it ••



8:22 PM · Jan 21, 2024 · 14 Views



17









Q 29 17 22 Q 246 III 24K 1



Culturally inappropriate

@inapropriate... · Jan 21, 2024 You're also kinda a giant b so I'll kindly decline. But thanks anyway

Q1 tr Q1 11 165 1





If Caryma was a giant B towards you You probably 100% deserved it

....

8:22 PM · Jan 21, 2024 · **14** Views







This is what we consider discriminating and libel against Caryma

Spreading lies and hate towards her so that she gets harassed at every public event she goes to document.

All because In your mind she did something to you.

Please seek help.
Authorities are aware of you.

3:05 PM · Oct 26, 2023 · 112 Views















D g

Q

M



গি

Θ,

Q


Q


<u>@</u>

Q

101

Q







Met Rob Primo



3:14 AM · Aug 1, 2024 · 483 Views







17

 \bigcirc 2

જુ



Dari says in this post that they haven't talked about their obsession with @CarymaRules lately but records show that not only 7 DAYS ago they were talking about Caryma "harassing" people. Twisting the narrative sucks doesn't it Dari?





What changed in that week to make you not care about your obsession

What changed in that week to make you not care about your obsession with Caryma?

Can't be because you were sick.

Couldn't get what you wanted?


Just a few weeks ago you were all in on exposing her cameraman just like John aka OceanCowboy is doing?

12:43 AM · Oct 17, 2023 · 327 Views









This guy criminally harasses Caryma and is obsessed with talking about her.

Dude needs a life and to focus on his wife and kids.

Don't bother with this loser

5:12 PM · Mar 14, 2024 · **86** Views



17







a x.com a x.com



Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Jun 26, 2024 Replying to @Mitch6669 and @pants_mj

Tell your friend Terry that I don't care about your history with Doug ford.

I don't even care about all the people who are obsessed with Caryma.

I'm just agreeing with your face to

I don't mind seeing you in person









1 279 1



Mitch [4]

@Mitch6669 · Apr 28, 2024

Jen you are obsessed with Caryma and you haven't stopped talking about her and saying conspiracy theories about her.

Keep going because it's not gonna help your case in the future.



Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Jun 17

Replying to @reader_writer78 @rita_rewerts and 2 others

Why are you so obsessed with my friends?

Get a damn life you nutcase













@Mitch6669 · Jun 12

Replying to @DevonSnowbank and @davidhindsmith

Man, you are really obsessed with this whole "last name" thing.

It matters to you more than anything, yet you have obviously diverted the conversation from that trial I'm going to be involved with in the future ...





Mitch [4]

@Mitch6669 · Dec 18, 2024

Honestly, I'm just here to watch Mikey rage every day about others he stalks on Twitter

The dude is obsessed and obviously has no friends or life the continue to make manifesto tweets about people. He has a very strong dislike us, but it's usually mostly women. I guess there's

Show more















Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Jun 30

Hey Gigi, don't use my footage for your **obsessed** hatred of my friends.

You took out my watermark and you never paid me for the license.

My footage isn't free for anyone to use to harass people.

kolektiva.social/@Gigi/11477054...

Either remove my footage or I will be taking action

Show more





Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Jun 23

Replying to @AlecJohnDan and @CarymaRules

Honestly she's **obsessed** with them and even follows me around



Q1

 \bigcirc 1

ılı 114





Mitch [+]

@Mitch6669 · Jun 17

17

Replying to @reader_writer78 @PoetrySlaughter and 2 others

You're a nutcase who are **obsessed** with my friends.

Fuck off


Q1

€]


0


11 52



























@Mitch6669 · Jul 14, 2023

@TanyaFusco

turn?

Replying to @CarymaRules and

Caryma did you make a wrong



I like that rainbow feather thing you

are wearing @CarymaRules


9:06 PM · Feb 8, 2023 · 683 Views

Caryma 55 55


She's probably not your type

11:34 AM · Sep 6, 2023 · 675 Views










Q1 t]

1 111 ارار




CA\$65.00

ılıı 257 <u>↑</u>

MI OU DOD M

Caryma S...

· Oct 11, 21





Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 📀 ···



@CarymaRules · Aug 22, 2022

My comics have officially crossed over from digital art to the physical realm.



Justin Trudeau and 4 others

17 44 Ø 469 Ili 仚 \bigcirc 31





I will pay you anything to have one honestly I love your cartoons

6:41 PM · Aug 22, 2022







O 85 Q 22 17 19 III 8.4K **1**





Is this where we had to pay a \$75 parking ticket?

9:06 PM · Jul 16, 2025 · 186 Views









土

a x.com A x com

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 🤣 … @CarymaRules · Sep 21, 2024 MPP Stephen Lecce poses for photograph with good-looking person wearing Save Caryma hat.

#cdnpoli #Burlington #ProtestMania





Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 📀 … @CarymaRules · Sep 28, 2024 Protester tries "rescuing" Mitch,

only to realize she is wearing a limited edition Save Caryma hat.

He then gets upset and calls us all slurs.

Sep 27, 2024

#cdnpoli #Malton #Mississauga #Palestine #Israel #Gaza #ProtestMania



Q 24 17 20 ♥ 135 III 15K 1



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... @CarymaRules · Apr 25

"Don't make me angry. You wouldn't like me when I'm angry."

- The Incredible Hulking Caryma



175 **O** 62 III 4.3K ⚠



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 📀 ···

@CarymaRules · Apr 9 Replying to @Mitch6669 and @kimba2056

I'm just matching everyone's energy.

0

1 182 1







a x.com

a x.com




Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ...

@CarymaRules · Feb 23

A few protesters attempt to intimidate Mitch, who was recording the demonstration outside Minister of National Defence Bill Blair's home from afar.

#cdnpoli #Toronto #Palestine #Israel #Gaza #ProtestMania







Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... @CarymaRules · Dec 30, 2024

Mitch tried killing two birds with one stone on Boxing Day; she




Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... @CarymaRules · Dec 30, 2024

Mitch tried killing two birds with one stone on Boxing Day; she stopped by Indigo bookstore to see the protest and spend a gift

Security was vigilant about ensuring she was not part of the demonstration before letting her in.

Dec 26, 2024

#cdnpoli #Oakville #Palestine Show more





Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 🤣 ···

@CarymaRules · May 21

Video journalist gets assaulted for documenting an assault during demonstration outside Minister of Foreign Affairs Anita Anand's constituency office.

#cdnpoli #Oakville #Palestine #Israel #Gaza #ProtestMania



From Mitch [19]





















x.com 🔒

← Post



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political Satirist
@CarymaRules





Randy Hillier is one of the guest speakers at "Empowering Conversations: Informed Canadians" in Caistor Community Centre.

More coverage to follow.

#cdnpoli #WestLincoln #ProtestMania



8:13 PM \cdot Aug 27, 2024 \cdot **8,200** Views











x.com x.com

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... @CarymaRules · Apr 5

Mitch and Adam van Koeverden are hat twinsies.

#cdnpoli #Burlington #ProtestMania



Post





Mitch commandeers an ATV at Ford Fest.

lun 13, 2025

#Etobicoke #Toronto #ProtestMania



9:00 PM · Jun 13, 2025 · 2,604 Views

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 📀 ··· @CarymaRules · Dec 10, 2024 Replying to @Mitch6669 You're such a shit disturber.



ılıı 223 **1**



@CarymaRules · Nov 15, 2024 Replying to @Mitch6669

Not for \$40 but yes.





⋒ x.com





@CarymaRules · Oct 3, 2024

Replying to @CarymaRules

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 📀 …



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... 🤝 💀 @CarymaRules · Sep 28, 2024

Protesters react to me leaving the demonstration.

This kind of thing might deter some journalists, but not us.

Support our work with e-transfer or PayPal:

Caryma.Sad@gmail.com

Sep 27, 2024 (@Mitch6669)

#cdnpoli #Malton #Mississauga #Palestine #Israel #Gaza #ProtestMania



Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + ... @CarymaRules · Jan 19 Canadian niceness on display.

(There is obviously nothing "nice" about death and destruction. But this kind of exchange is immeasurably corrosive to our civil society.)

#cdnpoli #Hamilton #ProtestMania






Mitc... @M... · Oct 2, 2024

A member of Diagolon attends the Saint Catherine's Mayor event to discuss the exclusion of white individuals from Diversity, Equity, and Inclusio...



III 2.8K ₾





#cdnpoli #Toronto #Palestine #Israel #Gaza #ProtestMania Mitc... @... · Apr 21, 2024 From Yonge and Dundas square @CarymaRules

intimidation from strangers.







iunonews.com







Subscribe

Sign in

My footage of the protesters interrupting the Toronto Pride Parade on June 29 was featured in a article by @SueAnnLevy on Juno News

#onpoli #ProtestMania #Toronto



junonews.com


OP-ED: Toronto Pride Parade eats itself in ...

11:46 AM · Jul 2, 2025 · 3,338 Views





Text Messages from Caryma Sa'd, June 16, 2023





The civil matters involving Keven Ages and myself have been resolved.

I have posted in detail my views of Mr. Ages's statements and their impact on me. Certain statements I made about Keven and Dawn Ages reflected my opinions, which were not independently confirmed by others, and caused them harm.

I encourage readers to critically assess information they encounter online.

11:11 AM · Jun 23, 2025 · 2,138 Views



Caryma Sa'd





Caryma Sa'd

...



Caryma Sa'd

Just now · 🕙

The civil matters involving Keven Ages and myself have been resolved.

I have posted in detail my views of Mr. Ages's statements and their impact on me. Certain statements I made about Keven and Dawn Ages reflected my opinions, which were not independently confirmed by others, and caused them harm.

I encourage readers to critically assess information they encounter online.





ל'א Like



Send



Share



Caryma Sa'd

2d · 🕙

The civil matters involving Keven Ages and myself have been resolved.














In or about 2022-2023, I made several statements about Keven Ages and his family and their alleged online activities against women and families, including my own. I spoke based on incomplete information and concluded too quickly. I did not have all the facts. 1/2

14:44 · 19 Feb. 25 · 117 Views

Most relevant replies ✓



V	Q	tı	$\bigcirc 2$	111 47		of
---	---	----	--------------	--------	--	----

March 20, 2024

Re: Subject: Caryma Fayez Sa'd

Complainant: Bernie Farber

Case No.: CAS - 135409 - D8P8R4

As discussed during our telephone conversation on March 19, 2024, I have completed my investigation of your complaint. For the reasons explained below, I have concluded that your complaint raised concerns that Ms Sa'd has,

· failed to act with honour and integrity

- · engaged in harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct
- engaged in conduct that tends to bring discredit upon the legal profession.

However, I will be closing this investigation with Regulatory Guidance provided to Ms Sa'd to help avoid similar issues in the future.

Background

In this investigation the evidence revealed that the Lawyer first attended at and identified Jewish retail outlets in a plaza near your home. She then attended your home to serve a Notice on you. At your home she showed a picture of herself on your front lawn and at your front door. These images were all portrayed as tweets on Ms Sa'd's online account on X.

Explanation

The regulatory issues are substantiated by Ms Sa'd's posts on X.

- · failed to act with honour and integrity
- · engaged in harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct
- · engaged in conduct that tends to bring discredit upon the legal profession.

Ms Sa'd's actions appear to be opportunistic as the service of a document could have been completed by a process server. However, she chose to share the process in a public forum.

As such, I find that she has 'failed to act with honour and integrity'. There was no need for her to proceed in this way. She should not have turned her issue with the Canadian Anti Hate Network into what appears to be a personal issue with you.

2

I also find that she has 'engaged in harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct'. While she stated in her post that she stopped at a random plaza, she clearly displayed the names of retail outlets in that plaza, Israels, Judaica Centre and Sobey's Kosher Market. She wanted to clearly identify the 'Jewishness' of this neighborhood and in turn that you are Jewish. This should never have been displayed by Ms Sa'd.

I also find that she has, 'engaged in conduct that tends to bring discredit upon the legal profession'. Any person who also knows that she is a lawyer, may perceive her actions as inappropriate by a lawyer in the legal profession.

Outcome

After considering all the material and information gathered in the investigation, I have concluded that the investigation identified concerns about Ms Sa'd's conduct and that she,

- · failed to act with honour and integrity
- · engaged in harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct
- engaged in conduct that tends to bring discredit upon the legal profession.

To address these concerns, I have provided Ms Sa'd with Regulatory Guidance. I have referred her to Rule 2.1-1, Rule 6.3.1-1 and Rule 7.3-1 of the *Rules of Professional Conduct*. I have copied the above noted rules into Appendix A at the end of this letter.

I have also explained to the Licensee how this situation could be avoided in the future. As the issues have now been addressed, the Law Society will be taking no further action.

Regulatory Guidance is a remedial response to your complaint, to remind the Licensee of their professional obligations and to assist them in complying with the *Rules of Professional Conduct*. It is not a disciplinary measure, and it is not the practice of the Law Society to make it public. As with all licensees, in the event of future complaints against the Licensee, the Law Society will review their entire regulatory history, including any Remedial Guidance provided, before determining the appropriate outcome.

Complaints Resolution Commissioner

You may request a review of the Law Society's investigation of your complaint or the outcome by the <u>Complaints Resolution Commissioner</u>. A review request must be made to the Office of the Complaints Resolution Commissioner within 60 days of this letter's date.

3

Thank you for bringing your complaint to the attention of the Law Society, and for your assistance with my investigation. Your participation in the process has supported the Law Society with its mandate to protect the public interest.

Yours truly,

Peter Stehouwer, Investigator



a tip on keeping your law license and avoiding criminal charges - don't suborn perjury - and don't perjure yourself ...

oh, too late you say? 🍲

the "hilarious" thing is this could be one of several people 😱

They said they would drop the case against me if I was willing to testify against you! LOL. Of course, I turned them down. They wanted me to say that you had tricked me into having the views that I had.

You know what that means tho ... they have a weak case and they were looking for more substance.

Lies by Aileen Tobias accusing Elisa Hategan and others of operating anonymous X account @SP411STAR - the day after Caryma Sa'd formally withdrew her lawsuit against Tobias



this is @SP411STAR account that is spreading misinformation about @CarymaRules and Lee. Gisela McKay, Jennifer Evans and Elisa Hategan were the ones behind the misinformation. and d statement. Tara McClune is now harassing and spreading lies.

5:33 PM · 2025-06-03 from Earth · 108 Views











This Tweet has been deleted.



This Post was deleted by the Post author. Learn more

th You Retweeted



If you DARE post my name anywhere in relation to things I have absolutely nothing to do with, such as @SP411STAR, I hope you've got some bail money saved up for when you get arrested for perjury, Aileen. And I will sue you too. But I'm going to the police this week.

8:01PM · 2025-06-03 from Earth · 20 Views





Mom Vlogs Expo...



@mvexposedtv

Caryma filed a defamation lawsuit on me regarding sp411star and I have a right to defend myself. I don't want to waste the court system because of what Gisela McKay doing to people.

5:24 PM · Jun 3, 2025 · 83 Views







Mom Vlogs Exposed TV @mvexposedtv



The whole court case was NEVER about the Palestine movemen! Tara McClune and Gisela McKay was making stories up, and lying about it. This was about SP411STAR and how Gisela was involved. Gisela is part of the harassment case with the 8 people.

1:59 p.m. · 04 Jun 25 · 80 Views













22% 👍



Toronto Police Service

40 College Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. M5G 2J3 (416) 808-2222 FAX (416) 808-8202 Website: www.TorontoPolice.on.ca



Office of the Chief of Police

July 10

. 2025

File Number:

Sent via email

Elisa Romero Hategan

Dear Elisa Romero Hategan:

Re: Your request for information

I am responding to your request for access to information, our file number 25-1350.


The *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (the *Act*) defines personal information as that which refers to recorded information about an identifiable individual. Access to such information is strictly controlled by section 14 of the *Act* and subject to specific exemptions. As such, the involvement of any individual (other than yourself) concerning your request cannot be corroborated without written approval from the identified party.

Pursuant to your communication with our office, partial access is granted to information concerning your request, as held by **this Police Service**. Access is denied to certain information pursuant to subsections 8(1)(I),8(2)(a), 8(2)(c), 12, 14(1)(f), 14(3)(b), 38(a) and 38(b) of the *Act*.

Please be advised that the matter related to Police Report 2024-1616524 is currently still being investigated. Furthermore, sections 8(1)(a) and 8(1)(b) of the Act precludes the dissemination of any information prior to the conclusion of a police investigation. Access is therefore denied to this report at this time; however, you may re-submit your request upon the conclusion of this matter. Any concerns regarding these matters should be directed to the officer-in-charge, DC Tyutyunnik #65988 of 52 Division at (416) 808-5200.

These subsections apply because:

To Serve and Protect - Working with the Community



*** CONFIDENTIAL ***
For: 86315 Printed On: Tuesday, 2025-Apr-08

Page 15









Done



TORONTO POLICE SERVICE GENERAL OCCURRENCE HARDCOPY

GO# TP 2023-2608706

HARASSMENT - CRIMINAL

Narrative: INITIAL OFFICER REPORT - 2

Exemptions Applied:

Subject: GO #23-2312449 - INITIAL OFFICER REPORT Author: 12073 PIKE, CHRISTOPHER Related date/time: Wednesday, 2023-Oct-04 16:15	14(1)(1), 14(3)(0), 38(b)			
BACKGROUND				
) and Elisa HATEGAN (1974.12.17) have been	en friends since July 2021.			
In July 2022, and HATEGAN became closer friends over "trauma bonding" and began to work together in creating writing pieces. and HATEGAN have never been in a physical or intimate relationship.				
HATEGAN experiences suicidal ideation, and resulted in a Threaten Suicide call where York Regional Police attended her address (Referenced in TPS GO# 23-2289886 and YRPS GO # 23-342977). When YRPS attended HATEGAN'S address she stated she was not suicidal and did not have any means. However, HATEGAN expressed her thoughts on MAID which stands for Medical Assistance in Dying when it becomes legal in 2024.				
	RYMA LIE - SHE NEVER TOLD ME SHE "NO LONGER WANTED MMUNICATION BETWEEN [US]"			
On Wednesday, October 04th, 2023 at 14:13 hours, PC PIKE #12073 and PC YU #12210 attended in regards to an Intimate Partner Incident radio call.				
On scene, officers spoke with and received clarification that the relationship she has with HATEGAN was never physical nor intimate and was strongly bonded through their own previous trauma.				
advised officers that after a falling out, emailed HATEGAN states between them and no longer wanted to work with her.	tating she no longer wanted communication			
stated and provided Tweets that HATEGAN posted about specifically naming her as well as indirectly speaking about her. The posts were posted by handle @elisahategan that belongs to HATEGAN. The posts were speaking about work and relationship with her male partner. HATEGAN Tweets about how she wrote most of "Hategate" and how was not being transparent with donations and royalties. HATEGAN was also posting Tweets that has screenshots of text messages between and HATEGAN with photo and name as the contact receipt in the screenshot. The post was a work related discussion.				
she is a "public image" and could be subject to harassment and assaults.				
Upon further investigation, officers found there was no direct threats or reasonable concern for safety. was advised to track and report if the situation escalates.				
NOTIFICATIONS				
Sgt BLACK #9561 advised.				

*** CONFIDENTIAL For: 86315 Printed On: Tuesday, 2025-Apr-08	Page 26			



Open in Documents









Don

TORONTO POLICE SERVICE GENERAL OCCURRENCE HARDCOPY

GO# TP 2023-2608706 HARASSMENT - CRIMINAL **Narrative: INITIAL OFFICER REPORT - 1** Subject: INITIAL OFFICER REPORT **Exemptions Applied:** 14(1)(f), 14(3)(b), 38(b) Author: 11439 IVANOV, NIKITA Related date/time: Friday, 2023-Nov-10 19:43 **BACKGROUND** The complainant in this investigation is). She is an investigative journalist who works out of her office located at The person of interest is Elisse HATEGAN (1974-12-17). and HATEGAN had a professional relationship with each other. In July 2022, and HATEGAN became closer friends over "trauma bonding" and began to work together in creating writing pieces. SYNOPSIS On October 14, 2023 at approximately 01:15hrs officers attended in a relation to a harassment radio call. Officers arrived on scene and spoke with the complainant whom advised of the following information: She said that on October 4, 2023 made a report of a criminal harassment to Toronto Police Serivce (23-2312449). Officers later learned that investigation was cleared by a way of caution. CARYMA LIE - I WAS NEVER "CAUTIONED" told officer that the caution did not work and she continued to receive emails from HATEGAN, was being tagged to twitter posts. HATEGAN was also posting twitter posts that were either directly and indirectly related to her. HATEGAN's behaviour puts a target on back because of current geopolitical conflict in middle east. is of Palestinian decend and HATEGAN is of Jewish decend. This is because said that she did not know what HATEGAN is capable of and she did not mention any direct threats made to her from HATEGAN. Timeline of the posts directed at will be attached to the report as well as screenshots of emails and tweets. CARYMA LIE - TELLING POLICE I AM A THREAT TO HER BECAUSE I AM JEWISH D/C FRANCIS #11541 was notified

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

For: 86315 Printed On: Tuesday, 2025-Apr-08

Page 25

FOI TPS.pdf ~

Open in Documents









Done



TORONTO POLICE SERVICE GENERAL OCCURRENCE HARDCOPY

GO# TP 2023-2608706

HARASSMENT - CRIMINAL

Narrative: PROSECUTION SUMMARY - 1

Subject: PROSECUTION SUMMARY

Related Entity: ROMERO HATEGAN, ELISA ISABELLA (ARR-CHARGE #1)

Author: 7755 UMBRELLO, FRANCO

Related date/time: Tuesday, 2023-Nov-14 22:36

Exemptions Applied: 14(1)(f), 14(3)(b), 38(b)

ACCUSED: Elisa Isabella Sophia ROMERO HATEGAN (1974/12/17)

CC 264 (2) (b) HARASSMENT BY REPEATED COMMUNICATION WITH PERSON

DATE: On and between September 30th 2023 to November 14th 2023

_OCATION: , city of Toronto

COMPLAINANT:

CARYMA LIES

1. There was no "falling out" - her boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman seized control of our communications, initially against her will, and blocked me. In his Oct.7, 2023 Substack blog, he admitted that he gave her an ultimatum: choose him or me.

3ACKGROUND

2. Caryma NEVER told me that "she no longer wanted communication between [us]".

The complainant, , is an investigative journalist who works out of her office located at . in the

City of Toronto.

The accused Elisse HATEGAN has had a professional relationship with the complainant and began to work together in creating writing pieces. However, there was a falling out between both parties and the complainant stating to the accused that she no longer wanted communication between them and no longer wanted to work with her.

SYNOPSIS FOR A GUILTY PLEA

On September 30th 2023, due to the falling out of their professional relationship the complainant had blocked the accused from her cell phone and media accounts as the accused had posted disparaging remarks about her.

On and between September 30th 2023 to November 14th 2023, the accused continued to try and communicate with the complainant through social media and numerous e-mails, even after being told by the complainant on multiple occasions that all communication was unwanted. Due to all the repeated communications and the accused dismissing the complainants demands to stop,

(CHARGE).

On Sunday December 10th, 2023, the accused turned herself in to 14 Division and was placed under arrest. She was provided her rights to counsel and released on a Form 10 with conditions.

CARYMA LIES - She never, not ONCE, much less "ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS" TOLD ME THAT "ALL COMMUNICATION WAS UNWANTED".


I WAS CRIMINALLY CHARGED ON CARYMA'S WORD ALONE.


DC Vigna took Caryma's word and failed to verify any of her lies. Vigna argued with me, telling me that she KNEW I had "ignored numerous, multiple warnings and cautions" - and she told me, on VIDEO, that THIS was the reason why I was arrested and charged.


*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

For: 86315 Printed On: Tuesday, 2025-Apr-08


Page 27








Caryma Sa'd lies to Toronto police, falsely accusing Elisa Hategan of operating X / Twitter accounts @FOCUSTNV and being connected to @SP411STAR



(A) Done

Page 72




*** CONFIDENTIAL ***


From the abuse team of GoDaddy, the email advised that the domain of them. It was registered with HATEGAN from January 25th 2023 to January 25th 2024.
 Despite HATEGAN not being an owner of the website domain arrymore, I want to ensure that she was not breaching and not operating the website.

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***




*** CONFIDENTIAL ***




July 30, 2021 - Caryma Sa'd initiates contact with Elisa Hategan

Beginning in Fall 2023, Sa'd lies to Toronto Police and tells them that Ms. Hategan contacted her first because she wanted to enlist her help in pursuing "revenge" against people she had sued. Text message correspondence clearly shows that Sa'd pursued Hategan in order to extract information about her "enemies" at CAHN, who she herself would later sue – and lose.



Messages from Caryma Sa'd to Elisa Hategan offer assurances that conversations are privileged







Anyway, I have to stop crying and shift gears to send Apsimon's bitches that SOD.

This summer we fuck all your enemies up

Legally, cleanly, irrevocably

I could say thank you for giving me hope, but those are just words.
Because you know me, you know what this means to me. It's everything, it's life and death for me. So mere words can't really



iMessage









Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 💠

It's okay I don't want to put you in an awkward position

I think I have found a public admission regardless

5:12 PM

yeah, I definitely don't want to be arrested because of her, because it would push me over the edge - and if I kill myself, they win.



that's the only reason I'm still around, tbh - to spite them

5:13 PM
















Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 📀

It's okay I don't want to put you in an awkward position

I think I have found a public admission regardless

5:12 PM

yeah, I definitely don't want to be arrested because of her, because it would push me over the edge - and if I kill myself, they win.



that's the only reason I'm still around, tbh - to spite them

5:13 PM














December 30, 2022





VIDEO 1





Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 9:50 AM

VIDEO 2

VIDEO 3

If I can't get money from her I will settle for



humiliation




VIDEO 4




Elisa Hategan advising Caryma Sa'd against taking actions that could have legal repercussions



Text Messages from Caryma Sa'd discussing her plan to write a Poison Pen article about Jen Evans











Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 💠



kind of activity. Let them investigate her.

But if you write an article about her, it might screw up your legal action

So the article has to be written/published by a third party

8:40 PM

It's small claims, I don't really care

8:41 PM



The good thing about either an article and/or a complaint to some regulatory body, is it would cause depart to loca faith











Caryma Sa'd comes up with the idea that her critics pose a threat to poison her cats. She then tells this lie to police and files baseless peace bond applications against a minimum of 4 separate individuals, including false reports filed against Elisa Hategan




"Lol this is a win. Imagine she's logging onto her FB and sees "Caryma Sa'd liked your post". The brick she must have shat. I liked the post to see if she'd connect the accounts. She tattled on herself. I've done it before, including with Gisela McKay.

Honestly it's like tricking sheep. Except sheep are smart enough to fear the sheep dog."

These are people Caryma has reported to police for harassment, claiming she feared for her safety.





On Lawsuits, Libel Chill and Lawfare





Choosing lawsuits based on race and sex, sometimes with no cause of action







Dawn Ages, Keven Ages**, Marca Cabral, Collin Chepeka**, Josh Chernofsky**, Catherine Crockett, Daniel Cohen-Collier**, Terry Dempster, Saba Etizaz, Leon Emmett, Leslie Fritz, Jennifer Evans, Rachel Gilmore**, Clayton Goodwin, Christine Hawes, Joy Henderson, Jonah Hundert, Erica Ifill**, Fae Johnstone**, Luke Lebrun**, Joy Madore, Jonathan Martel, Laura Matisz, Paul Matisz, Jodie McCrindle, Gisela McKay**, Joe Morin**, Krista Richards, Deana Sherif, Brett Stewart, Danny Taro, John Thibeau**, Mike Thomey, Ina Van Wijk


**CAHN contributors, sources, or professional associates

Caryma Sa'd names all these people as "harassers"




A short list in alphabetical order, dating back from July 2021 to present:


Dawn Ages, Keven Ages, Marca Cabral, Collin Chepeka, Josh Chernofsky, Catherine Crockett, Daniel Cohen-Collier, Terry Dempster, Saba Etizaz, Leon Emmett, Leslie Fritz, Jennifer Evans, Rachel Gilmore, Clayton Goodwin, Christine Hawes, Joy Henderson, Jonah Hundert, Erica Ifill, Fae Johnstone, Luke Lebrun, Joy Madore, Jonathan Martel, Laura Matisz, Paul Matisz, Jodie McCrindle, Gisela McKay, Joe Morin, Krista Richards, Deana Sherif, Brett Stewart, Danny Taro, John Thibeau, Mike Thomey, Ina Van Wijk




Sa'd frightens critics, while reporting them to police as "criminal harassers" and claiming they make her fear for her safety



8-27-2023





3:31 ...l **≈ 6**3 ·..l **≈ 6**3 ·..l

Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 🔮

You're right about quitting at the apex

But also bear in mind what we were talking about yesterday

12:49 PM

I'm also a bit crazy.
And this is the closest I will ever get to being a wrestling heel. Part of me (more than I will ever admit publicly) is having a blast, even though it's IRL negativity rather than kayfabe.



But you are sensible, and honestly the past two weeks have been












Organizing a letter-writing campaign to a critic's workplace (for the second time) to get him fired










Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + P... 🧇


The paralysis keeps setting in


Plus undiagnosed ADHD, plus sense of doom, plus existential threats



4:55 PM








The following articles published under Caryma Sa'd's name were produced as a result of her collaboration with Elisa Hategan. They range from written in majority by Sa'd, to 50-50% joint effort, to taking first drafts written by Sa'd and enhancing them.

- 1) Op-ed: I was James Bowie's client and now I am being smeared by association 12/15/22
- 2) "Freedom" Fighters Vs Antifaux: Not The Heroes We Need Or Deserve 02/01/2023
- 3) <u>Drag Storytime at the National Arts Centre</u> 02/14/2023
- 4) Smear Merchants And The Canadian Anti-Hate Network: Simple Pawns Or Knowing Proxies? 03/24/2023
- 5) The Rise Of Culture Wars: How Polarizing Protests Are Dividing Communities In Ontario 04/09/2023
- 6) The Exploitation Of Children For Political Gain: A Look At Save Canada Vs. Antifaux At York

 Mills Collegiate 04/16/2023
- 7) The Collapse Of Civility: Examining The State Of Governance At The Law Society Of Ontario 04/20/2023
- 8) Understanding The Pattern Of Rage-Farming: Erica Ifill Vs Bingo The Dead Dog 07/29/23
- 9) <u>Safer In A Viper's Pit: A New Chapter In My Disturbing Saga Of Online And Real-World</u> Harassment 08/24/2023
- 10) The Hategate Affair: Unmasking Canada's Hate Industry 09/11/2023
- 11) The Complexities Of Protest And Intolerance: Unraveling The 1 Million March 4 Children 09/21/2023
- 12) Clothed In Controversy: The Political Messages We Wear 09/22/2023

In addition to the articles listed above, Hategan wrote a <u>December 8 article</u> about Sa'd serving her Statement of Claim to Erica Ifill. She also spent significant portions of time engaged in significant research and development of additional articles, at least 3 of which were close to completion.



Amazing, I can't wait. I'm so glad the day was

productive for you both



iMessage





Still Section of the control amounts of the c

Hopefully it's legible


Basically, these are points that I think are important to the overall story









June 2023 text messages between Sa'd and Hategan after her boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman blocks Hategan on Sa'd's social media against both women's will. Wasserman got upset after he hacked a private email account that Sa'd gave Hategan where she could send a confidential letter, and without permission read Hategan's concerns about his behaviour.





June 22, 2023 - Part 1







June 22-24, 2023 - Part 2







September 2023 text messages between Sa'd and Hategan after her boyfriend Adam Lee Wasserman blocks Hategan on Sa'd's social media against both the women's will.






















What you see here is only half the story.

We are still sifting through 1000+ pages of information that require careful analysis, and plan to release other findings and relevant material as we go along.

Support this work by reading, sharing, and/or donating:

HateGate.ca #HateGate





Let this sink in: CAHN's fabrications about Diagolon, parroted by a gullible Canadian press, then get picked up by international intelligence agencies, who demanded access to the intel files.

READ MORE:

finance.yahoo.com/news/documents...



🏀 Caryma Sa'd - Lawyer + Political S 🤡 @CarymaR · Sep 12, 2023

Replying to @CarymaRules

What you see here is only half the story.

We are still sifting through 1000+ pages of information that require careful analysis, and plan to release other findings and relevant ... Show more



Canadian icon @elisahategan is not afraid to pull back curtains. She has a beautiful way with thoughts & words.


Without her, there would be no #HateGate exposé.

Check out "Race Traitor" to understand how the state can use hate to weaponize civilians against each other.





If you read our #HateGate report and want to learn more about the role



1:38 AM Fri May 3							?	
	Lovers In A Dangerous Time - WEF Wants to Harm You @ @Co·3h Most Canadians have NO IDEA what is going on.						∙3h	
	Do you n	Do you need funding? Do you have a GoFundMe account?						
	Q 1	tī	2	ıl _ı l 25		1		
	Elisa Haṭegan (אלישבע) @elisahategan · 3h Yes, I absolutely need funding. Others are profiting from my work, in terms for cash & connections, while I've been pretty much ghosted from my own work.							
	I don't have a Gofundme, for various reasons. But I'm asking for direct donations to elisa@elisahategan.com 🙏							
	Q 1	tì	♡ 1	ıl _ı l 22		1		
	Lovers In A Dangerous Time - WEF Wants to Harm You @ @Co·3h I will donate as much as I can. Maybe @CarymaRules can contribute your half of what was promised. And I know I donated via the #hateGateAffair website.							
	Q 1	t ↓	♡ 1	28		1		
	Elisa Haṭegan (אלישבע) @elisahategan · 3h Could you please tell me how much you donated? In DMs if you're not comfortable here. Because I technically should have received half of it. ♀² t							
	Lovers In A Dangerous Time - WEF Wants to Harm You @ @Co·3h ··· I believe I donated \$50 via the web site.							
	@CarymaRules you own her \$25 and many more dollars!							
	Q 1	tī.	♡ 1	ıl _{ıl} 17		1		
Post your reply								
n		Q		دُمْ		Q		



There is a story here, and it's far more complex and interesting than "protesters bad, counter protesters good" or "both sides are bad."

Expect a long form piece for @thecriermedia soon, detailing my observations.


Until then, I accept e-transfer donations:

Caryma.Sad@gmail.com









331

 \square 2

土


Q 23

114

TAB 38

September 25, 2023 text messages from Sa'd to Hategan

Sa'd offers Hategan approx. \$250 to travel to North Bay with her for an article. Hategan spent 4 days working on the article, but was not reimbursed for her work or transport costs.



TAB 39

Ontario Northland Purchase Confirmation [Transaction: WGS8SZRS]

Confirmation from Ontario Northland

THIS IS YOUR RECEIPT, NOT YOUR TICKET - YOUR TICKET IS ATTACHED IN PDF FORM BELOW.

You must travel on the date and time selected.

Before arriving at the station, please print a paper copy of your ticket. Alternatively, you may show your electronic ticket on your mobile device.

Vous devez voyager a la date et l'heure choisie.

Avant d'arriver à la gare d'autocars, veuillez imprimer une copie de votre billet. Autrement, le voyageur peut montrer son billet au chauffeur d'autocar sur un dispositif mobile ayant accès à Internet.

Price:

Fees:

Taxes:

Total:

Discounts:

Special requests:

Transaction: WGS8SZRS

Customer #:

Transaction fees: \$ 2.00

Transaction fees taxes: \$ 0.26

Total paid: \$ 101.36

TICKET: MJAFVF

Passenger: Elisa Romero Email:

Fare: Adult
Class: Firm
Departs: Thu Sep 28, 2023 5:45 PM
Arrives: Thu Sep 28, 2023 10:30 PM
From: North Bay - ONTC "The Station" - 100 Station

To: Ottawa - VIA Rail - 200 Tremblay Bus Stop main

entrance

Brand: Ontario Northland



\$87.70

\$ 0.00

\$ 0.00

\$ 0.00

\$ 11.40

\$ 99.10



2023-09-26

Your GO Transit purchase, Confirmation #MZ58695453



Your GO Transit E-ticket/Pass details

Confirmation Number: M758695453

Hi Elisa.

Thanks for choosing GO, we're looking forward to having you ride with us! It's portant that you don't delete your confirmation email, as it contains links to your GO ticket(s) or pass(es).

We take your safety seriously. Learn more about the proactive steps that we are taking to keep you safe.

How to Use Your E-ticket/Pass:

- 1. Use your smartphone with a data or Wi-Fi connection to open the confirmation email and access your e-ticket/pass link(s).
- 2. Click on the link that corresponds with your trip to access and then activate your e-ticket/pass 5 minutes prior to travel. A data or Wi-Fi connection is required for
- 3. 5 minutes after activating, your e-ticket/pass will be valid for travel

One-Way/Return Trip E-tickets: Once ticket is activated, one-way and return trip etickets are valid for 4 hours.

Passes: Passes are valid for the entire day until the end of service hours on the day of activation or as otherwise stated (weekend pass).

Please note that at the time of fare inspection you must be connected to data or a Wi-Fi network, and once an e-ticket/pass is activated it cannot be de-activated.

Activate Vour F-tickets/Passes 5 Minutes Prior to Roarding:

2. Click on the link that corresponds with your trip to access and then activate your e-ticket/pass 5 minutes prior to travel. A data or Wi-Fi connection is required for

3. 5 minutes after activating, your e-ticket/pass will be valid for travel

One-Way/Return Trip E-tickets: Once ticket is activated, one-way and return trip etickets are valid for 4 hours.

Passes: Passes are valid for the entire day until the end of service hours on the day of activation or as otherwise stated (weekend pass).

Please note that at the time of fare inspection you must be connected to data or a Wi-Fi network, and once an e-ticket/pass is activated it cannot be de-activated.

Activate Your E-tickets/Passes 5 Minutes Prior to Boarding:

Click to activate MZ58695453 Newmarket GO to Barrie South GO Ages 13 to 64. Valid until October 03, 2023.

Visit GOTransit.com to find train and bus schedules.

Passenger Information:

Name: Elisa Romero

Email:

This mobile ticketing experience is new for GO, and we want to hear about your experience. Give us feedback about your experience by filing out a short survey here.

Receipt:

Total Price: C\$10.05

Credit Card Number: **** **** Credit Card Type: mastercard

Trip Planning:

We're modernizing our stations and building new ones, boosting our infrastructure and providing more ways to access stations. $\underline{ \text{Check if you may encounter} }$ construction on your journey.

Cancellations/Changes:

No cancellations, refunds or changes. Your ticket is not transferable and is valid for one ride only. The terms and conditions of your trip are governed by the Metrolinx





TAB 40

MESSAGES FROM CARYMA SA'D TO ELISA HATEGAN, Oct 2022 – Sept 2023

"You're an inspiration." – Caryma Sa'd, October 15, 2022

"There's nothing they could say to make me question your intentions or <u>authentic, innate goodness</u>." – Caryma Sa'd, Dec 2, 2022

"You're a miracle worker" – Caryma Sa'd, January 1, 2023

"You can call me any hour of the day or night." – Caryma Sa'd, January 7, 2023

"I love you. And Lee loves you. We both love you." - Caryma Sa'd, January 19, 2023

"If spite isn't enough to sustain that will [to live], I hope love is." – Caryma Sa'd, January 27, 2023

"The more I know you, the more I admire you." - Caryma Sa'd, March 10, 2023

"Elisa Hategan is a national hero who does not get due credit for her accomplishments." – March 25, 2023

"You are a big part of whatever success we have." – May 18, 2023

"I made a promise to you that I would never break. But even not knowing you long has impacted me more than many <u>lifelong friendships</u>. [...] You're too important to erase." – Caryma, June 6 2023

"I hope that I explain this right, but when you said "imperfect witnesses" earlier I was like, "Imperfect isn't exactly it because **Elisa hasn't done anything wrong**" – Caryma Sa'd, September 5, 2023

<u>"Canadian icon @ElisaHategan</u> is not afraid to pull back curtains. She has a beautiful way with thoughts & words. Without her, there would be no #Hategate exposé." - @CarymaRules Sept 18, 2023

"You are a masterpiece" – Caryma Sa'd, September 7, 2023

"I love you both." – May 27, 2023

"I love you both." – September 18, 2023

I don't think it was a figment of my imagination. <u>The synergy, how our thoughts meld</u>." – Caryma Sa'd, September 18, 2023

"I hate that he was careless in his expression, and hurt you. For that I am endlessly sorry."

"I'm sorry, but please don't quit what we have." – Caryma Sa'd, September 18, 2023

"We would take bullets for each other" - Caryma Sa'd, September 29, 2023

"After a lifetime of you fighting, it's my turn for the heavy lifting. I wish we were of the timeline where we had private island and limitless credit cards. I hope those versions of ourselves realize how good they have it. But I also know that in another timeline, we never properly crossed paths and this connection never came to be. So <u>I realize how good I have it</u>."—Caryma Sa'd, <u>September 30</u>, 2023

"I've spoken with several officers **ever since** October 1st on this situation [...] I provided supplemental reports on October 4th, 10th and 11th." – Caryma Sa'd to police, October 14, 2023

TAB 41

Article By Adam Lee Wasserman About Sa'd And Hategan's Relationship

https://web.archive.org/web/20231009080144/https://thegatekeeper.substack.com/p/my-story

My Story



Gatekeeper

Oct 7, 2023

We met in the back of a café.

I remember the exact date, but She never can. That's okay. She was engrossed in her notebook. I noticed how her face scrunched up in concentration, how she'd scribble quickly for a few minutes, and then pause, gazing into space. I approached her during one of these daydreams. She was friendly. I learned that she was an articling student on some sort of break. I had never heard of "articling" before, but it's basically an apprenticeship for lawyers. After eight years of university and law school, She told me she only needed seven additional weeks working at a law firm to get licensed. She stubbornly insisted the world of law wasn't for her but looked unhappy saying it.

I invited Her to dinner. We sang karaoke. Truth be told, we have not spent a night apart ever since. (Except for her travelling across the country to report on court appearances, usually on a whim. I stay back and feed the pets.)

After a few weeks of gentle encouragement, She decided she wanted to get called to the bar after all. I was there for every step along the way. I walked her to her first day back at the office. I met her for lunch to count down each day.

I watched Her walk across the stage the day she became a lawyer. Her family was there too, sitting in a different section of the auditorium—they were uncertain about my intentions, and worried about their straight-edged, straight-A superstar rejecting traditional pathways to success. There was a period of estrangement borne out of obstinance.

Fast forward a few years, and She was rubbing shoulders with the most esteemed members of her profession. Reporters kept calling for her opinions and commentary. She was constantly on the news. She was invited to speak at conferences. Even before they reconciled, her dad used to park on the sidewalk and proudly admire her signage at the front of the shopping mall where Her law office was located.

The pandemic screwed everything up. But not really. We learned to pivot.

In 2020, She decided to try something new. We rented a store in the shopping mall courtyard and hosted 28 outdoor comedy shows throughout the summer.

In 2021, her dad died. She was consumed by what-ifs about his COVID skepticism. She wanted to understand *why*. I supported her journey to try and resolve unanswerable questions. We began documenting the Freedom Movement, equipped with only iPhones and scooters.

Everything changed after She published an editorial comic about a Controversial Personality. I thought he was too niche to bother with, but it was her call. Neither of us anticipated the response. The comic triggered an avalanche of mostly abusive notifications from right-wing accounts. This was only a few weeks after her dad's burial; I was afraid she would sink into depression.

To my surprise, she decided to publish another comic about the same Controversial Personality. Then another. We recorded a few silly vignettes. And so, her persona was born.

It was me who suggested launching that summer's comedy season by interviewing the Controversial Personality. We had no idea or warning that a group of people planned to ruin the event. A bunch of thugs showed up and blockaded the courtyard staircase. They were dressed in black wearing masks. They were ready to hit, and they did. It was the first time I saw real violence in the protest scene, and it happened outside Her office where, after four years, we had established our home. None of it made sense... why attack a comedy show when nobody had ever stopped the Controversial Personality from speaking at his own rallies?

She was torn up. It was a cross-roads. We could try to return to normal life, or double down. After much deliberation, we recorded a vignette calling out a different Controversial Personality. There was no turning back.

The fallout from the blockaded comedy show triggered a new avalanche of abuse, this time from left-wing accounts. They smeared Her as a racist, white supremacist, and threat to her community. Some of the vigilantes who showed up to attack in real life were now destroying her reputation online. I promised, "We will find each and every last one of these fucking pricks." And that's what we did.



Source: Twitter

I am not ideological, so the whole thing seemed stupid and crazy to me. The lines between right and left were blurry. She explained that an anonymous "antifascist" who showed up at the blockade was outing himself as an ex-Proud Boy. I asked, "What's a Proud Boy?" I had a lot of catching up to do.

A few weeks later, the same Proud Boy was in my face. He and his friend tried getting me killed by a Hindu Nationalist. When that didn't work, they tried falsely portraying me as the guy's best friend, instead. (I later came to learn that the Proud Boy and the Hindu Nationalist were themselves buddies, even after the former's supposed switch to antifascism. Every accusation is a confession.)

There were targets on our back. We were intimidated at rallies. Anonymous accounts hunted our private information. She was threatened in a park when the Proud Boy's friend came up from behind and said, "We know where you live." This rattled her sense of safety and security to its core. She needed a breather from the toxicity. That night, I started looking for a place to live outside the city. We packed up and relocated to Perth within a couple of weeks.

Our move unexpectedly paid off. Perth is close to Ottawa, so we were able to cover the Freedom Convoy in 2022. Our footage travelled across the globe. From there, we returned to Toronto.

In a slight change of pace, She wanted to document Doug Ford's campaign trail leading up to the provincial election. Never in a million years did I expect her to end up in handcuffs, but that's exactly what happened at an event in Hamilton. I was in shock. She got released with a trespassing charge. Within five minutes, journalists were on the phone. Now she was in the market for a lawyer.

Prominent Lawyer contacted her, offering pro bono support. He got popular during the Freedom Convoy. He was vetted by mainstream media, or so we assumed. We had only met him once in person, at a bar—I am comfortable in my relationship with Her, so I ignored any misgivings. He did an excellent job, and She got vindicated when the charges were withdrawn.

Not long after, Prominent Lawyer became Notorious Lawyer. He faced his own headline debacle and was labelled a predator. The story kept getting worse and worse. Online harassers made a big deal over a few staged photos of him and Her, grossly overstating their relationship. I knew she needed to say something publicly. The problem is, she is a perfectionist and has a full plate. It sometimes takes too long for her to execute non-work projects. She needed a writing partner.

Enter Former Nazi. An unusual pairing, but this woman had been in Her Twitter DMs intermittently since the comedy show fiasco. They were tormented by many of the same accounts and individuals. I guess they bonded through trauma. Former Nazi presented herself as wanting to push out of a depressive slump; she felt robbed of her own life story. We were sympathetic, and open to a mutually beneficial collaborative relationship: she would help with writing articles, and in the process get back into the swing of creative work as a distraction and to focus on new opportunities.

The first piece was great. It came out quickly, and got picked up by a local publication. They were both enthusiastic about future projects. I proposed making this an ongoing relationship. Former Nazi refused money, despite my insistence. This was our first mistake. It made for messy boundaries. And sure enough, the trauma bond started to look like love.

In 2023, She began to slowly withdraw into her text messages and DMs with Former Nazi. A distance grew between us. For the first time in our relationship, I felt left out. It made me hollow inside.

Former Nazi came to visit a few times, always showering Her with gifts: dried mango, fruit jam, books, and other trinkets. She gave her a fancy pen, saying that Amazon sent two items instead of one, so it was meant to be and now they were spiritually connected by sharing the same tool. She gave her a wireless

microphone, explaining that her partner had money to blow on office expenses before year end. To my dismay, she offered a few prescription pills to help with "concentration." I threw these away. It was a hard line for me.

On one occasion, they went to a magic mushroom retail store and tripped out in the park. I understood She was hoping for an epiphany, but none came.

In June 2023, there was a large protest in Ottawa. Both sides assembled their street warriors. We decided to go film, and I suggested inviting Former Nazi so she could continue building a new catalogue of experiences and move forward. I was appreciative of her work, and wanted to show that her life story was not over. I also wanted Her to see that I support her endeavours so she would stop shutting me out.

The trip went well, or so I thought. I expected they'd publish an article about what took place at the protest: the surprisingly large presence of Muslim families; MPP Joel Harden's questionable claim of being assaulted by his own megaphone; tactics used by protesters and counter protesters. The possibilities were endless.

Turns out, Former Nazi was too busy documenting me. She sent Her a fourteen-page letter dissecting our personal relationship. There were some backhanded compliments, but it was essentially a hit piece. I told Her, "Once a Nazi, always a Nazi."

I wanted their relationship to end at this point, but She insisted it could be salvaged. She begged me to give Former Nazi another chance, saying I misunderstood her intention behind the letter. She promised to dial back the relationship. At the same time, they continued exchanging platonic I love yous. I wasn't happy.

They wrote a few more articles, and then a bigger project. They coined a hashtag that gained momentum. Former Nazi did a good job of winning me back over. She stopped talking about me, and She seemed happy. We met for a celebratory dinner and I waved off her attempt to apologize for the hit piece in person. It was supposed to be a double date, but she and her partner were fighting. She was upset that her partner hadn't finished the final chapters of their latest project. To make her feel better, She ribbed me about barely reading any of it. Of course, we all knew that I was busy questioning politicians in the street.

There was some overstepping by Former Nazi as the project gained traction: she threatened an anonymous account with litigation on Her behalf without speaking to us; she tried policing how others used the hashtag; she had a public meltdown when a blogger neglected to include her name, which ended up being an inadvertent omission. I emailed her to stop being petty and negative. I was aware of her hangups about erasure, and She made a concerted effort to give her credit.

There was a chance to turn things around when a Nazi veteran was honoured with a standing ovation in the House of Commons. We got an opportunity to travel to North Bay to interview him and his family. I invited Former Nazi to join us, figuring she might appreciate building her name on current events, rather than being stuck in the past.

I thought this trip went well, too. We didn't manage to locate the Nazi war veteran, but we pulled back the curtain to show our boots on the ground journalism in real time. We got flack online from the usual suspects, and others who took offence at our audacity to show up and ask questions. This comes with the territory because She gets criticized for every move she makes.

A couple of days after returning home, I found out Former Nazi was publicly tweeting about committing suicide. It only came to my attention because one of our mutual stalkers was mocking the entire situation. I realized that not only was She mentioned in the post, but it was published mere hours after She shared a

picture of them smiling together. And all this in the middle of trying to promote a project that was gaining steam.

It is not a crime to want to apply for MAID. Nor is it a crime to speak openly about it. But once you involve other people, it becomes their business. And my gut instinct was to protect Her and her brand, because none of this sat right. It may have been a cry for help, but we were not in a position to provide the necessary support. Some people cannot be reached because of the conditions they have.

I blocked Former Nazi. There were objections and She unblocked. It took an ultimatum for Her to see the seriousness of my concerns. I have never issued an ultimatum before. She knows I will follow her anywhere. Freedom rallies. Below freezing temperatures, day after day and night after night at the convoy. The restricted area behind a police station. The centre of a hostile crowd. To the end of the earth. But it was a choice between me and Former Nazi, and Her choice to make alone. At the eleventh hour, she decided to cut ties with Former Nazi.

There is no need to go into detail about what happened next. It's enough to say I had to watch Her agony as my deepest fears were validated. She was flooded with threats, extortion, and love bombing. It is hard to say whether the desperation was fuelled by obsession or manipulation. Possibly a bit of both.

Narcissists are attracted to the people they hate the most so they can control them. It makes me sick to think of anyone trying to control Her. When we first got together, her ex-boyfriend was preying on her kindness to try and take her cat, a laptop, and anything else he could squeeze. She would constantly get upsetting phone calls and text messages. One day, she handed me her phone, looking dejected but hopeful: "Can you get him to stop?" I took it as an honour, considering she usually advocates for others. I was polite but firm. He never called back again.

I am Her chosen gatekeeper. She is my hard-headed muse. We have an established working relationship and mutual respect. She sees me. She loves me. She trusts me to look out for her on her terms. We stick together through hard times. I delight in being there for her.

I know my role, and shut my mouth—until now. This is my first time breaking silence.

We didn't go looking for any of this. The fight came to our front door, quite literally. We will go around, above, or through anyone who gets in our way.

I thought I was with Her for a good time, but it's been a long time. And I'm staying put.

TAB 42

Caryma Sa'd's Amazon book review of Elisa Hategan's memoir Race Traitor, which Sa'd deleted the day after Hategan was wrongfully arrested. Soon afterwards, Sa'd's boyfriend and fanbase began smearing Hategan as a "Nazi", "crazy", "dangerous", and a "criminal harasser".

amazon.ca 🗎

Wiew Image Gallery





Caryma Sa'd Toronto Lawyer

★★★★ Poignant and Politically Relevant

Reviewed in Canada on March 25, 2023

Elisa Hategan is a national hero who does not get due credit for her accomplishments. Apart from the shocking details of her involvement and takedown of the Heritage Front neo-Nazi group in the early 1990s, the real plot twist is the role of the Canadian government. Brilliantly written and eye-opening.

Images in this review





TAB 43

LINKED TO RACIST HERITAGE FRONT

Toronto Sun 93.12.16

By BILL DUNPHY

Toronto Sun
A young cop faces Police Act charges over his links with the racist Heritage Front, the Sun

has learned.

A discreditable conduct charge filed two weeks ago alleges Metro Const. Brad Coulbeck, 24, is on the Heritage Front mailing list, has purchased and distributed Heritage Front material, and attended a 1992 Ku Klux Klan rally in Arkansas.

But Sun sources close to the Front go further. Coulbeck, they

say, is a Front member.

Front defector Elisse Hategan
has sworn an affidavit for the Canadian Centre on Racism and

I was not a member and never have been. I am not a racist.

Prejudice recounting a chance meeting with Coulbeck in a court-house last summer.

house last summer.

Although Hategan didn't respond to interview requests, her affidavit alleges Coulbeck recognized her from a Front video. She swears she was later told by a founding Front member that Coulbeck was a member.

Centra spokesman Martin.

Centre spokesman Martin Theriault said his group was aware of Coulbeck's involvement with the force and called for an "independent civilian inquiry to deal with the issue." One Front member, too scared

to be identified, told the Sun a

similar story two months ago.
Reached at his west Toronto
home yesterday, Coulbeck
admitted he'd bought a Heritage
Front newsletter subscription

and videos but vehemently den-ied being a member or agreeing "with everything they stand for.
"I was not a member and never have been," he said. "I am not a racist."

He also denies ever attending a Ku Klux Klux Klan rally or any vaciet.

Ku Klux Klan rally or any racist

group's meeting.

He admitted he likes researching radical groups and likes read-

ing about politics.
Coulbeck, who joined the force in March 1992, said he initially called the Front's hotline in about November 1992 because a cousin wanted information on the group for a high school newspaper. He got the hotline number from a newspaper story, he said.

Front member Ken Barker personally delivered "one or two videos" Coulbeck had ordered for his cousin.

"But to be honest, I didn't watch them," Coulbeck said, and just handed them over to his

cousin last Christmas

But a month later, armed with his new contacts, he says he offered to go undercover in the Front to help on a criminal investigation.

The offer, he says was turned down after a meeting with D.t. Sgt. Wayne Cotgreave, head of the hate crimes unit.

Citing the Police Act charge, Cotgreave declined to comment.

Coulbeck has been suspended from the force since Aug. 17 after he and his fiancee were charged with assaulting each other.

A26 THE TORONTO STAR Friday, Dec omber 17, 1993



FACING CHARGES: Constable Bradley Coulbeck, 24, leaves a hearing room at Metro police headquarters on College St. yesterday.

Metro constable facing charges

Police allege links to white supremacists

By John Deverell STAFFREPORTER

The Metro police force has charged an officer with discreditable conduct over alleged links with the racist Heritage Front.

The allegations against Constable Bradley Coul-beck, 24, include being on a Heritage Front mailing list, buying and distributing its materials and attending a meeting of an allied organization (the Ku Klux Klan) in the United States. Human rights groups are

the United States.

Human rights groups are praising police Chief Bill McCormack for taking swift

action.

Bernie Farber, director of
the Canadian Jewish Congress, said the prompt police action is welcome.

He said it is a refreshing
contrast to the tolerance of
the Canadian Airborne Regiment for Pte. Matt McKay,
linked to the neo-Nazi Ary-

an Nation, and of the Peel Region school board for Heritage Front sympathizer Paul Fromm, a teacher. "In this case, the police appear to be doing everything that should be done," Farber said. "The public must be able to trust public employees, especially when the period of Coulbeck's and investigation of Coulbeck's and investigation of Coulbeck's and investigation of Coulbeck's alleged links with the Heritage Front, a white supremacist group, has been conducted but the evidence won't be made public until his disciplinary trial. No date has been set. He said Coulbeck was charged because police regulations prohibit associations — even on an officer's private time — that damage the reputation and effectiveness of the force.

"The oath of office places, imitations on private activities," Dicks said.

Coulbeck, who was suspended from duty with pay while awaiting trial on another matter, had no comment yesterday on the latest charges.

Tuesday, July 6, 1993

I met Brad Coulbeck at Old City Hall on the first day the Fischers, Drew, Peter and Wolfgang were due to appear. Except for the uniform, he didn't strike me as being any different than the skinheads walking around us. He looked younger than any other cop I've seen, and by far friendlier. I heard him call out my name and he told me excitedly that he'd reeognized me from the W.A.R. tape with the Metzgers. I was surprised he'd seen the tape and wondered if he'd bought it off the HF. He told me I'd made a strong speech or something like that-I don't remember his exact words. He sounded so sincere I again wondered how a cop could sound so much like an HF supporter. We walked over by the door and he started telling me about his patents being scientists at a nuclear plant in Romania and how he was planning to go over sometime. He asked me about the country, and we talked a bit about that. Then he wanted to know if he could call me sometime and talk some more. I half-heartedly agreed and he wrote his home number at the back of his card, urging me to call him. I told him "I don't call cops"; he seemed surprised, as if he didn't think of himself as one. I instead gave him my number, saying he might as well have it, everyone else did. Then Mike from Brampton came over and joined in. I kept looking at Coulbeck's gun and finally brought up the subject of weapons, and we all started talking about the new semi-auto guns that were being implemented on the police force. Mike started giving his two cents' worth re. firing power and minorities, then the two of them started discussing new gun laws, different weapons-I stopped listening because I didn't want to talk weapons with a cop. Their conversation was cut short when Brad's superiors called him over and told him not to talk or associate with us. He bid us a quick good-bye and told me to call him. I never did.

One or two weeks later I was talking to Gerry on the phone and he asked me whatever happened to that nice young cop who

a quick good-bye and told me to call him. I never did.

One or two weeks later I was talking to Gerry on the phone and he asked me whatever happened to that nice young cop who wanted to go out with me. I told him I don't go out with pigs. He said but "he's an HF member." I asked him how he knew, if he was sure-he said he was listed in his computer as a member. He was a member. I was shocked at Coulbeck's stupidity and I let Gerry know I thought it was a very jeopardizing thing for a cop to do. Gerry said it couldn't be proven, because only Wolfgang and him knew who the real members were. He said that there had been a number of cops interested, but too afraid of being open with their support. I said I thought Coulbeck was very stupid. stupid.



METROPOLITAN TORONTO POLICE 150 Harrison Street, Toronto, Ontario, MS 1 24

TAB 44









Control of the Contro

See Description of the Control of th

Control of the Contro

From: Elisa Romero Hategan

elisa@elisahategan.com

By Electronic Mail

Attention: Michelle "Mitch" Hancock

Re. CEASE AND DESIST Defamation and Online Harassment

To: Ms. Hancock,

July 8, 2025

Between April 2024 and July 2025, and at other times unknown to me, you have falsely accused me of running anonymous social media accounts on X, formally Twitter, which are directed at Caryma Sa'd and Adam Lee Wasserman – specifically, @SP411STAR, @reader_writer78, and potentially other accounts.

These accounts are absolutely NOT me. I neither operate them, nor have knowledge of the identity of their operators. The sole account I publish posts/tweets from is my namesake, @elisahategan. I do not post tweets from any other account on X/Twitter.

I have already repeatedly informed you that you are wrong, and you have acknowledged seeing my comments – despite us being behind mutual blocks. And yet you persist. Your behaviour is both malicious, and scary.

On July 8, 2025, you confirmed that you saw my CEASE & DESIST post on X (formerly Twitter), with your July 8 statement: "If you want me to stop stating the truth and talking send me a REAL cease and desist letter. Not some tweet from a social media platform".

You have made serious false allegations that impugn my reputation and are malicious, degrading, defamatory, and utterly false. You have wrongly accused me of:

- a) Operating anonymous "revenge" accounts,
- b) Characterized me as a "neo-Nazi" and "Nazi who should be locked up in an asylum"

I am a Jewish woman, and my brief involvement with the far-right occurred when I was a minor, over 30 years ago. This is a gross mischaracterization of my identity, particularly as it is accompanied by intentionally malicious falsehoods.











The second secon

- c) Called me "crazy", a "criminal harasser", "obsessive nutcase", "fucking lunatic", and a "rejected", "crazy obsessed ex-lover" who was requires a "protection order"
- d) Asserted/implied that my posts about Caryma Sa'd stem from my desire for her to "love" me, and "in revenge" because she "isn't going to love" me

These are false and indefensible defamations – made more egregious in light of your associate and friend Caryma Sa'd's failed legal actions against me, which the Court has thrown out.

I am formally requesting that you delete your defamatory statements, effective immediately. In the event you fail to do so, I will reserve the right to take legal action against you.

Your false public statements include, but are not limited to, the following tweets:

- April 28, 2024 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1784729905806156109:
 "She's obsessed with Caryma I don't know what's happening to her but she needs to get off twitter and get some help"
- April 28, 2024 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1784745499616055470:

 "I have nothing against Elisa
 But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support.
 Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves."

 Your post incited further melicious defendatory and degrading comments from Y user.

Your post incited further malicious, defamatory and degrading comments from X user @rita_rewerts at https://x.com/rita_rewerts/status/1784754500986937633:

"I don't want to be buddies with former(?) Fascists, as I don't believe there is a "former" component to that mental state. They don't believe in peace, order & good governance. Which is why I don't support anarchists either. Both mental states are inherently & deeply narcissistic"

- June 17, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1935151603406836105:
 "Stop being such a obsessive nutcase you fucking lunatic. We don't want anything to do with you."
- June 18, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1935186016828084354:
 "You're a Nazi who needs to be locked up in a asylum So obsessed because you were rejected"
- June 18, 2025: https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1935186282315133428:
 "We all know it's you Elisa You aren't fooling anyone"
- July 4, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1941126091512611120:

"Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa"













Your post incited further defamatory statements against me from X user @Leighisdonex2 at https://x.com/Leighisdonex2/status/1941219419906290038:

"This obsession isn't funny, it's scary. They need some help. Seriously."

July 4, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1941269561262907620:
 "Go away Elisa. As you already probably know that I am already in a committed relationship so I don't need to add another."

Your post incited further defamatory statements against me from X user @davidhindsmith at https://x.com/davidhindsmith/status/1941270217583374355:

"Oh boy. Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned."

- July 7, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942213597955781053: "Her history is from 2023 Sue [to Sue-Ann Levy, while she is engaging with me on X] She's like a crazy obsessed ex lover that you need a protection from because she won't leave you alone and keeps living in the past."
- July 7, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942387773563969586:
 "This is a sock troll account run by Hategan former Neo Nazi Who is still using tweets from 2023 for attention and to take revenge on Caryma and Lee"
- July 7, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942432989876486510:
 "Cause they are crazy and obsessed with Caryma exposing what's happening.
 We are finding out the truth thanks to her work"
- July 8, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942454624193024091:
 "They all use multiple anonymous accounts. Because they have no life and are devoted to being a criminal harasser that writes manifestos about their enemies.
 This has been going on for years Who's paying them?"
- July 8, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942634226731323849:

'If you want me to stop stating the truth and talking send me a REAL cease and desist letter. Not some tweet from a social media platform'

You have published these malicious and defamatory posts as <u>statements of fact</u>. They were not accompanied by any qualifiers. You have repeatedly asserted that they are true statements – in fact characterizing them as "truth" in your July 8, 2025 tweet.

When informed that you were wrong, you made no effort to remove them or apologize for your errors. This shows your complete disregard for truth and the consequences of your actions.











I am aware of your financial hardship, and would prefer not to commence litigation against you. In

Delete all posts about me where you utter my name, or imply that you are referring to me, or refer to me in any way whatsoever - including the tweets listed in this letter - by July 10, 2025, and I will likewise delete my Cease & Desist post, and we can be done with this matter and hopefully not speak of one another again.

light of the costs of defending yourself against a defamation suit, I make you this offer:


If you choose not to delete your tweets, and/or your behaviour persists, I will be forced to contact police and/or commence a Civil action. Your behaviour is disturbing and concerns me greatly, particularly in light of your pattern of cyber-stalking me in order to both disparage me and accost those I interact with on social media.

Furthermore, your close association with Adam Lee Wasserman aka Lee Stevenson, whom I reported to York Regional Police in March 2024, raises the concern that you might be working in concert with him and/or Caryma Sa'd to impugn me and spread false rumours about me ahead of me testifying on behalf of person(s) they are suing/being sued by in Civil Court.

Your actions, in my view, are an intimidation tactic and overt harassment aimed at disparaging me both on a personal and public level, and maligning me as a potential witness against your close friends/associates in future civil and/or criminal proceedings.

Sincerely,

Elisa Hategan



Re: CEASE AND DESIST Defamation and Online Harassment

Dear Mrs. Hategan,

Please direct all legal matters to my lawyer, Caryma Sa'd.

Thank you.

Have a pleasant day.

Michelle Hancock













8:33 PM

Re: CEASE AND DESIST Defamation and Online Harassment

Thank you for confirming receipt of my correspondence.

As I stated in my letter, you have <u>until Thursday July 10</u> to delete all the posts I have referenced from your X account @mitch6669, plus any other posts you have made about me, where you either name me or imply it is me, on any other social media sites.

I will commence legal proceedings against you next week.

I suggest you get proper legal counsel, and do it before the end of this week.

Furthermore, you cannot be represented by Caryma in this matter. I trust that she will explain why. Least of all, because she will be subpoenaed as a witness at trial. Plus, confict of interest.

regards,

Elisa

On Tuesday, July 8, 2025 at 07:55:34 PM EDT, Mitch H < hancockmichelle10@gmail.com > wrote:


Dear Mrs. Hategan,

Please direct all legal matters to my lawyer, Caryma Sa'd.

Thank you.

Have a pleasant day.

Michelle Hancock





12:48 AM

Re: CEASE AND DESIST Defamation and Online Harassment

Mitch/Michelle,

if you have retained a lawyer, why are you replying to me?

If you have a lawyer already retained - which I doubt, since you claim financial difficulty and you cannot retain Caryma or Fred Wu due to conflicts - then your lawyer should be advising you on the difference between "fair comment" or "opinion" versus defamation.

You have made serious false accusations that were worded as statements of FACT. These are lies, and are indefensible. They are NOT within the bounds of the law.

The fact that you are suggesting these overt falsehoods are "opinion" confirms that you do not have legal representation - because someone would have explained the difference to you.

I really do urge you to seek legal counsel. I'm serious - I don't think you understand the machinations of defamation law.

I will be seeking justice in the courts. This is my prerogative, and it is not up to you to direct my behaviour. It is NOT a "waste" of the court's time to seek justice for what is clearly a malicious harassment campaign you have launched against me, without any provocation from me.

You are wrong about using ODSP as a shield or license to spread defamatory libel.

Upon receiving a judgement against you, I will seek garnishment of your bank account(s) and any additional funds, earnings, inheritances, donations, etc. that you earn beyond your ODSP payments.

Please do not contact me anymore, if you have representation. Send all communications to me through your lawyer.

If, however, you do not have representation and are willing to remove your malicious and defamatory posts, you have until end-of-day Thursday, July 10 to do so, and get back to me with confirmation of their deletion.

We can stop this immediately, and be done with this business. Or you can double down on what you must know by now are egregious and explicit lies, which will be put to proof before the courts. But the truth is on my side.

Your call.

Elisa



Michelle Hancock CEASE DESIST July28 2025.pdf Q Done











From: Elisa Romero Hategan



elisa@elisahategan.com

July 28, 2025

By Electronic Mail

Attention: Michelle "Mitch" Hancock



Re. CEASE AND DESIST Defamation and Online Harassment

To: Ms. Hancock,

This is the second formal Cease & Desist letter I've had to write you in the same month. At this point, I do not believe that you intend to stop your abusive behaviour, your defamations and online harassment of me, and I have no recourse than to sue you for defamation and/or contact police.

As I outlined in my last letter, between April 2024 and July 2025, and at other times unknown to me, you have falsely accused me of running anonymous social media accounts on X, formally Twitter, which are directed at Caryma Sa'd and Adam Lee Wasserman - specifically, @SP411STAR, @reader_writer78, and potentially other accounts.

These accounts are absolutely NOT me. I neither operate them, nor have knowledge of the identity of their operators. The sole account I publish posts/tweets from is my namesake, @elisahategan. I do not post tweets from any other account on X/Twitter.

I have already repeatedly informed you that you are wrong, and you have acknowledged seeing my comments - despite us being behind mutual blocks. And yet you persist. Your behaviour is both malicious, and scary.

Moreover, you have published these malicious and defamatory posts as statements of fact. They were not accompanied by any qualifiers. You have repeatedly asserted that they are true statements - in fact characterizing them as "truth" in your July 8, 2025 tweet.

When informed that you were wrong, you made no effort to remove them or apologize for your errors. This shows your complete disregard for truth and the consequences of your actions.

After my July 8, 2025 letter, you deleted some of the tweets I indicated were defamatory, but not all. Furthermore, you continued to engage with other accounts that are NOT ME, making similar false accusations.

Done

Michelle Hancock CEASE DESIST July28 2025.pdf Q







2









On July 19, July 28, and at other dates unknown to me, you continued your false accusations, which also included MY NAME, and the name of a woman with whom I was previously involved in litigation. All of what you posted about me is false and defamatory.

Your false public statements include, but are not limited to, the following tweets, which continue to be published on X/formerly Twitter as of today's date:

- April 28, 2024 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1784729905806156109: "She's obsessed with Caryma I don't know what's happening to her but she needs to get off twitter and get some help"
- April 28, 2024 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1784745499616055470: 'I have nothing against Elisa But what she's doing to Caryma I can't support. Caryma is my friend and she is trashing her because wants revenge for something she think she deserves."

Your post incited further malicious, defamatory and degrading comments from X user @rita_rewerts at https://x.com/rita_rewerts/status/1784754500986937633:

"I don't want to be buddies with former(?) Fascists, as I don't believe there is a "former" component to that mental state. They don't believe in peace, order & good governance. Which is why I don't support anarchists either. Both mental states are inherently & deeply narcissistic"

May 15, 2024 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1790765401879482653:

'I love how you're using EH as your source 😂 🥰



You really believe everything she posts? All of it is taken out of context just to smear Caryma because she's on a vengeance tour.

#IStandWithCaryma https://x.com/nejsnave/statu/nejsnave/status/1790723776738373727"

- June 17, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1935151603406836105: "Stop being such a obsessive nutcase you fucking lunatic. We don't want anything to do with you."
- July 4, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1941126091512611120:

"Caryma isn't going to love you Elisa"

Your post incited further defamatory statements against me from X user @Leighisdonex2 at https://x.com/Leighisdonex2/status/1941219419906290038:

"This obsession isn't funny, it's scary. They need some help. Seriously."

July 4, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1941269561262907620: "Go away Elisa. As you already probably know that I am already in a committed relationship so I don't need to add another."

Done

Michelle Hancock CEASE DESIST July28 2025.pdf Q











Your post incited further defamatory statements against me from X user @davidhindsmith at https://x.com/davidhindsmith/status/1941270217583374355:

"Oh boy. Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned."

- July 7, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942432989876486510: "Cause they are crazy and obsessed with Caryma exposing what's happening. We are finding out the truth thanks to her work"
- July 8, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1942454624193024091: "They all use multiple anonymous accounts. Because they have no life and are devoted to being a criminal harasser that writes manifestos about their enemies. This has been going on for years Who's paying them?"
- July 19, 2025 https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1946427299265384534: "I hope Elizabeth Moore decides to take you back to court."
- July 28, 2025: https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1949944758874341787: 'It's always when I'm sleeping He and Hategan could write millions of manifestos with their social media posts."
- July 28, 2025: https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1949946415100162324: 'It's always old screenshots and pictures too. From 2023 can't they get something a bit more recent? Bit obsessive to be still raging about something happening 2 years ago."
- July 28, 2025: https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1949946589159505925: "Especially using multiple anonymous sock accounts too. People really have no life and very obsessive."

In my last letter, I gave you two days to consult a lawyer and delete your defamatory and abusive posts. While you appear to have deleted approx. 4 posts, many of your degrading and libellous posts remain online.

Furthermore, you clearly have escalated your frequency of abusive posts targeting me, without any provocation or engagement from me.

This is targeted harassment and cyberbullying. You have made these statements to others, in what are clear and overt attempts to expose me to further cyber-bullying.

I am therefore giving you UNTIL MIDNIGHT TONIGHT to delete the posts I have cited in this letter. If they are not deleted by midnight July 28-29, 2025, in the next seven days I will be filing a claim against you in Small Claims court for \$35,000.

3

Done

Michelle Hancock CEASE DESIST July28 2025.pdf Q







4



I do not wish to interact with you, either by email or on social media. In any way whatsoever.

DO NOT CONTACT ME IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER, with the sole exception of confirming receipt of this letter and replying to court documents (if you don't have a lawyer), which will be coming your way soon.

I urge you to retain counsel as soon as possible.

This is my last Cease & Desist letter to you. Consider this my last warning before I involve the courts and/or police.

If your behaviour continues, and/or if you contact me for any reason, with the sole exception of confirmation and exchange of legal documents, and any interactions that are mandated per my impending legal action against you, I will contact police.

Elisa Hategan











Elisa Hategan

To: Mitch Bcc: Elisa >

2025-07-31



Notice of Libel - Updated

Michelle "Mitch" Hancock,

Please find enclosed a Notice of Libel which is served upon you pursuant to the *Rules of Civil Procedure* and the *Libel and Slander Act*.

I have also attached my previous Cease & Desist letters (dated July 8 and July 28, respectively) to refresh your memory re. the impugned tweets that led to this situation.

Please be informed that today's Notice of Libel letter includes further libelous material you have published, retweeted, and endorsed.

In Canada defamation torts extend to retweets and republishing libelous material by others. Your defamatory retweets contains serious false and malicious allegations made by a third party that will be put to proof in a court of law.

Do not contact me for any reason except to confirm receipt of this notice, and to exchange legal documents following the commencement of my upcoming civil action against you.

**Note - I have updated the letter I emailed you earlier this afternoon to include another libelous post.

Elisa Hategan

On Wednesday, July 9, 2025 at 12:33:07 AM EDT, Mitch H https://example.com/superscripts wrote:

I welcome you to waste the court time on matters that are fair use and within the bounds of the law.

You cannot seize anything from someone receiving social assistance, such as ODSP.

You will have difficulty proving that my personal opinions are damaging a "reputation."

I wish you good luck, but I will not be deleting any content.

My lawyer has received all correspondence since you begun sending me emails.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hancock

On Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 8:33 PM Elisa Hategan <elisa@elisahategan.com> wrote:

Thank you for confirming receipt of my correspondence.



3:57 PM Thu Jul 31

Done NOTICE OF LIBEL Michelle Hancock July31 2025.pdf Q











elisa@elisahategan.com

July 31, 2025 By Electronic Mail

Attention: Michelle "Mitch" Hancock

NOTICE OF LIBEL

To: Ms. Hancock,

This is the third formal Notice of Libel letter I've had to write you in the same month.

As I outlined in my last letters, between April 2024 and July 2025, and at other times unknown to me, you have falsely accused me of running anonymous social media accounts on X, formally Twitter, which are directed at Caryma Sa'd and Adam Lee Wasserman – specifically, @SP411STAR, @reader_writer78, and potentially other accounts that do not belong to me, are not operated by me or under my direction, and whose owners I do not know.

You have furthermore stated that I have posted comments/posts through those accounts, which are a "vendetta" against your friend Caryma Sa'd, that I am "obsessed" with you and Sa'd, and that my libel notices are "harassment".

You have doubled down multiple times, even after being informed that you are wrong and libelous, by publishing the statement that you will continue to publish your libel, until you have "concrete evidence" that you are wrong.

After being sent TWO Cease & Desist notices, you posted the following tweets. While they do not include my name per se, it is clear that you are referring to me because you cite that I "threatened" to take you to court, and again repeat the malicious lie that it is "because of a personal vendetta".

For the record, my posts about seeking accountability for what your friend and associate Caryma Sa'd did to me is NOT "a personal vendetta". Sa'd defrauded me, withheld (arguably stole) moneys she owes me, and used multiple false police reports to frame me for a criminal charge that her own boyfriend has been convicted of.

I have every right to pursue accountability through the courts of law.

3:57 PM Thu Jul 31

Done NOTICE OF LIBEL Michelle Hancock July31 2025.pdf Q













Your statements that my X posts are a "personal vendetta" against Sa'd and her boyfriend will be dismantled and scrutinized in my upcoming legal action against you. Your characterization of what I endured over the last two years as a "vendetta" and "obsession" is repulsive and intended to lower me in the eyes of the community, while whitewashing unlawful activity committed by your friends.

Since my last Cease & Desist letter, you have posted these tweets:

July 22 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1947803073910288812

July 29 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1950324906463502344

"Being threatened to be taken to court because of a personal vendetta against your friend is a wild take honestly."

July 29 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1950354440357028168

"I'm curious about the obsession with me. It's a fairly new account from May 2025. I hope it's not her account, but I don't believe it unless I have concrete evidence. Someone is creating multiple accounts to harass me, and I want them to face consequences for their actions."

July 30 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1950726054500331652

"Making multiple Anonymous sock accounts to harass me is a very pathetic way to show you have no actual life."

July 30 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1950734617897578851

"These people are harassing me because they have a vendetta against my friends so they're coming after me, which is pretty pathetic that you have to go after somebody's friends cause you don't like the person."

July 30 - https://x.com/Mitch6669/status/1950722177679913340

"This is proving my statement that multiple new accounts are harassing me for no reason.

This was made this month July 2025"

It is unfortunate that a lawsuit that will force you to put your lies to proof, is required in order for you to obtain the "concrete evidence" that you are utterly wrong. Your doubling down serves as proof of your bias and malice toward me.

This letter is intended to inform you that I will also sue you for endorsing, repeating and retweeting libelous content about me.

Specifically, on July 30, 2025, you retweeted a post by Caryma Sa'd, dated January 27, 2025, in which my image/photograph is used. This libelous post by Sa'd includes the statement of fact that I am responsible for "hatred and violence incited" against Sa'd.

The tweet in question is found at the X link:

https://x.com/CarymaRules/status/1884007499986309421

2

? ⊕ 46%
 3:57 PM Thu Jul 31

Done NOTICE OF LIBEL Michelle Hancock July31 2025.pdf Q







3





You may be unaware, but in Canada defamation torts extend to retweets and republishing libelous material by others. Your defamatory retweet contains serious false and malicious allegations that will be put to proof.

Given irrefutable evidence that Caryma Sa'd has 1) made similar false accusations against other(s), which resulted in a civil lawsuit loss and retraction/apology being issued, and

- 2) filed multiple false police reports that make similarly false allegations and were used to deprive me of my liberty and have me falsely accused of crimes I did not commit,
- 3) Sa'd's other social media fans made similarly false accusations, which incorrectly alleged that Sa'd's critics operate anonymous "sock puppet" accounts, and which caused them to lose defamation lawsuits in Small Claims Court,

your behaviour appears to be part of a calculated smear campaign against me. It is harassment and cyberbullying, and it will not be tolerated.

In light of the gravity of your behaviour and posts, this is your official notice that I will indeed commence a civil action against you next week.

The only way for you to avert being sued is to

- 1. DELETE EVERY X POST I referenced in my previous two letters of July 8 and July 28
- 2. Undo your retweet of Sa'd's libelous January 27, 2025 post, and
- 3. Pin a retraction and apology notice to your X/Twitter profile @mitch6669 for a period of 10 days.

The retraction and apology notice should state the following:

"I have published statements about Elisa Hategan that are not true and were not independently confirmed before I posted them. They reflected my opinions, which were wrong. I apologize to Elisa for any harm I caused, and encourage readers to critically assess information they encounter online."

DO NOT CONTACT ME IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER, with the sole exception of confirming receipt of this letter and replying to court documents (if you don't have a lawyer), which will be coming your way soon.

I urge you to retain counsel as soon as possible. I will be filing my Statement of Claim next week.

Elisa Hategan