

The Delmart Edward Vreeland Case



SPY CASE IN CANADIAN COURTS SUGGESTS
US NAVAL OFFICER

HAD FOREKNOWLEDGE OF 9-11

Vreeland in Safe House, All Canadian Charges Dropped,
Temporary Refugee Status Granted

BREAKING NEWS!!US NAVAL OFFICER WHO WROTE
ADVANCE WARNINGS OF 9-11

ORDERED FREED ON BAIL WHILE LAWYERS NOT PRESENT,

JUDGE MAKES PUBLIC THE ADDRESS
WHERE "MIKE" VREELAND WILL BE LIVING AND ORDERS
CURFEW HOURS –
THE SAME ORDER IN 1986 LED TO THE PUBLIC
EXECUTION OF INTELLIGENCE OPERATIVE AND DRUG
SMUGGLER BARRY SEAL

[03/01/02 NATION editor David Corn attacks Mike
Ruppert with bad information.](#)

Read mike's reply to corn.

[Read David Corn's Article](#)

[What The CIA Doesn't Want You To Know - Vreeland
Interview By Michael C. Ruppert](#)

[DATE SPECIFIC, TARGET SPECIFIC: What Happened to
Vreeland's Russian Warning?](#)

Lt. Delmart "Mike" Vreeland was featured on KPFA's
"What's The Verdict?" on June 5, 2002,
to talk about a document he discovered in early December,
2000.

[Latest On The Vreeland Controversy From Michael C.
Ruppert 8-7-2](#)



"Bringing In The Sheep..."

**A White Knight Talking Backwards SPY CASE
IN CANADIAN COURTS SUGGESTS
US NAVAL OFFICER HAD FOREKNOWLEDGE
OF 9-11**

by

Michael C. Ruppert

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, <http://www.copvicia.com/> , all rights reserved. May be reposted, reprinted or distributed for non-profit purposes only when this statement appears with the text.]

TORONTO, [Filed January 25, 2002, and Revised January 28, 2002]
– EDITORIAL NOTE: In our original story we indicated that the note written by Delmart "Mike" Vreeland had been sealed in court records. We based this on a misreading of Canadian press stories. In fact, the warning of the World Trade Center attacks, written by Vreeland on either August 11th or 12th has been introduced into open evidence in Vreeland's case in Toronto. Using court records in our possession, FTW has scanned the document and it is available for viewing in this story. We apologize for the error. Following is a revised story which we feel is the best way to present this important information in context.]

Delmart Edward "Mike" Vreeland, an American citizen whose claims to being a US Naval Lieutenant assigned to the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI) are being increasingly corroborated in open court, has been in a Canadian jail since December 6, 2000. On August 11 or 12 of 2001, the date is uncertain, after trying to verbally alert his Canadian jailers to the coming World Trade Center attacks, he wrote down key information and sealed it in an envelope which he then had placed in jailers' custody. This event is not disputed by Canadian authorities. The letter specifically listed a number of targets including The Sears Towers, The World Trade Center, The White House, The Pentagon, The World Bank, The

Canadian parliament building in Ottawa and the Royal Bank in Toronto.

A chilling sentence follows the list of targets, "Let one happen. Stop the rest!!!"

When the envelope was opened on September 14th it set off alarms in the US and Canada.

The US wants Vreeland back in the States on a Michigan warrant for credit card fraud – using his own credit card. Vreeland, convinced that a return to the US means certain death, wants to stay in Canada in a witness protection program. His lawyers Rocco Galati and Paul Slansky, two former Canadian prosecutors, agree with Vreeland's assessment. They should. Both have been the victims of harassment and threats including dead cats hung on porches and car windows smashed out in car burglaries.

The position of the United States government, as represented by Crown Solicitors in Toronto, is that all of this is nonsense. Vreeland, says the Navy, was discharged as a Seaman after a few months of service for unsatisfactory performance in 1986. He has never had anything to do with intelligence according to 1200 pages of Navy records filed in Toronto Superior Court.

"How is it," says Galati, "that the Navy says that he was only in the service a few months and then send us a 1200 page personnel file? Some of the entries are obvious forgeries or alterations and the sanitizing of his records was done so hurriedly that some dates of medical exams in the 1990s were left intact."

In a January 10, 2002 tactic worthy of Perry Mason, with the greatest possible risk to his client if it failed, attorney Slansky got the judge to agree to let him call the Pentagon from open court. Using a speaker phone, in front of at least six witnesses, Slansky first dialed directory information and got a number for the Pentagon switchboard. Then, calling that number he asked the Department of Defense operator to locate the office of Lt. Delmart Vreeland. Within moments the operator had confirmed Vreeland's posting, his rank as a Lieutenant O-3, his room number and given Slansky his direct-dial number.

All of this is a part of the court record.

On January 17, as this writer sat in the courtroom, another mind-numbing event occurred.

As Vreeland sat shackled in a corner, closely flanked by two guards,

the Crown Solicitor sought to debunk Vreeland's assertions that he had been assigned to travel to Moscow to review and retrieve highly technical and classified documents pertaining to Russian and Chinese efforts to counter the proposed US "Star Wars" missile defense system. [Ed note: FTW believes this to be a cover story.] "Why," said the Crown Solicitor, "would the US choose, in a case involving some of the most highly technical intelligence, a random seaman with training in the tool and die field." The point that someone discharged in 1986 with no special training and rank would be sent to review technical documents sounded reasonable – assuming that Vreeland's background was as the Solicitor argued.

The reasonableness vanished a few moments later as the Crown Solicitor argued that Vreeland, who has been in jail and without access to a computer for thirteen months, had somehow cracked the Pentagon's personnel records and inserted his name, an office number, and telephone extension into the Pentagon database.

No one except for Vreeland and attorney Galati seemed to notice the contradiction.

The Crown Solicitor ventured further through the looking glass by then arguing that Vreeland, having certain papers in his possession at the time of his arrest, had memorized Russian and Albanian documents and then had translated them from memory. Vreeland doesn't speak Russian or Albanian. The judge, noticing this stretch of credibility, asked the Solicitor to restate the point. The argument then became that Vreeland had an unnamed colleague go to an unspecified web site, print Russian and Albanian documents for him, and then used foreign language dictionaries to translate them.

Vreeland's extradition process could take years and his time in jail has not been easy. There have been threats, illnesses and his every move is watched. Galati and Slansky wonder how long his psyche will hold up. The history of jailhouse deaths of key witnesses leans heavily in favor of Vreeland's belief that he could be killed at any moment. His apparent strategy is to not reveal any accurate Top Secret material to either his lawyers or the press, hoping that his silence will provide him with some support from US clandestine services. This a standard approach taken in dozens of similar cases researched by FTW in the past They include the cases – well known in research circles – of William Tyree and Michael Riconosciuto. Tyree has been jailed on a questionable murder conviction since 1979 and Riconosciuto on a variety of drug-related charges since the early 1990s. Both men have been directly connected to CIA and other intelligence operations by official documents.

"We don't need to know and we don't want to know the secret details," says Galati. "They're not necessary for us to do the job of keeping our client alive and in Canada. He faces a special danger in the US because he has also been an informant against an organized crime family in Michigan where the criminal charges originate. The most he is facing there is two years but we believe he might not live for two days in that system."

Additional press reports indicate that Vreeland's intelligence work was connected to drug smuggling – a much more likely reason for his trip to Moscow. And the history of the relations between Naval Intelligence and the mafia is documented as far back as the Second World War when ONI officers made deals with convicted mafia don Lucky Luciano and his lieutenant Vito Genovese to protect New York docks and assist with the subsequent Allied invasion and occupation of Italy.

Mike Vreeland is one man who, in a rational world, could totally expose the complicity of the US government in the attacks of September 11th. No one has disputed what he wrote and stuffed into that envelope. In a rational world that would be the most pressing and public inquiry of all. The two questions remaining are whether Vreeland will live and whether or not he will ever tell what he knows. That may be a mutually exclusive proposition.

FTW has retained the services of freelance journalist Greta Knutsen in Toronto to report on developments in this critical case for our subscribers. Important updates will be posted and sent out via subscriber bulletin to our readers as they become available.

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, <http://www.copvcia.com/>

"Reprinted with permission, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, www.copvcia.com, P.O. Box 6061-350, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91413. 818-788-8791. FTW is published monthly, annual subscriptions are \$50 per year."

[The Warning Written by Mike Vreeland Before 9-11]

**Vreeland in Safe House,
All Canadian Charges Dropped,
Temporary Refugee Status Granted**

© Copyright 2002, From The Wilderness Publications,
www.copvicia.com . All Rights Reserved.
May be reposted or distributed for non-profit purposes only.

by

Michael C. Ruppert

March 15, 2002, 10:50 AM PST (FTW)

Delmart "Mike" Vreeland is out of danger for the time being.

9-11 whistleblower Delmart "Mike" Vreeland, the US Navy officer who wrote a written warning of the 9-11 attacks, a month before they occurred, was released on bail yesterday after a hearing in Toronto Superior Court in which he was ordered to reside at a Toronto apartment leased by his mother. At the time the bail release order was issued, the address was made part of the public record and announced in open court, raising immediate fears that Vreeland, who has expressed fear for his safety, would become easy prey for would-be assassins. The same pattern was followed immediately before the public execution of drug smuggler and intelligence operative Barry Seal in 1986.

Late yesterday afternoon, FTW Publisher/Editor Mike Ruppert spoke by telephone with both Vreeland and his attorney, Paul Slansky, and learned that Canadian authorities had agreed, shortly after the court hearing, to allow Vreeland to change his approved residence location to an undisclosed address in the Toronto vicinity in order to ensure his safety.

FTW has also learned that all Canadian charges connected to Vreeland's December 2000 arrest have been dropped and that Vreeland, who is seeking permanent political refugee status in Canada, has been granted temporary refugee status until February 2003, or until his extradition case has been resolved in Canadian courts. Vreeland, who has also served as an informant on

organized crime investigations in the US, allegedly while working as a Naval intelligence officer, has stated in Canadian court proceedings that he fears immediate assassination if forced to return to the US because of his ability to prove US government foreknowledge of the 9-11 attacks and because of continuing threats from Russian and American organized crime.

Canadian courts have continually refused to allow Vreeland or his attorneys to present mounting evidence validating his assertions -- in many cases corroborated by official records -- that he was a Naval lieutenant conducting secret intelligence operations for the US government in Russia just before his Canadian arrest on Michigan fraud charges in December 2000. Vreeland's claims that a Canadian diplomat, Marc Bastien, was murdered in Moscow, originally denied by Canadian officials, have since proven true as a result of autopsy findings. The Canadian government has since acknowledged that Bastien was murdered.

It was on these 2000 intelligence operations in Russia that Vreeland obtained the information which told him attacks against the World Trade Center and the Pentagon -- among other targets -- were pending, and that US intelligence was aware of them. Vreeland's warning note, sealed and placed in the sole custody of Canadian jailers on Aug. 11 or 12, also contained the ominous statement, "Let one happen. Stop the rest." That document was entered into evidence in Vreeland's extradition hearing on Oct. 7, 2001. At that time Canadian authorities acknowledged that the letter had been written a month before the attacks.

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, <http://www.copvicia.com/>

"Reprinted with permission, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, www.copvicia.com , P.O. Box 6061-350, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91413. 818-788-8791. FTW is published monthly, annual subscriptions are \$50 per year."

BREAKING NEWS

**US NAVAL OFFICER WHO WROTE ADVANCE WARNINGS OF
9-11 ORDERED FREED ON BAIL
WHILE LAWYERS NOT PRESENT, JUDGE MAKES PUBLIC
THE ADDRESS WHERE "MIKE" VREELAND WILL BE LIVING**

**AND ORDERS CURFEW HOURS – THE SAME ORDER IN
1986 LED TO THE PUBLIC EXECUTION
OF INTELLIGENCE OPERATIVE AND DRUG SMUGGLER
BARRY SEAL**

TORONTO, LOS ANGELES, March 14, 2002, 3 PM PST – (FTW) This morning, a man who claims to be a US Naval officer and who, as acknowledged by Canadian authorities, wrote an advance warning of the September 11 attacks, was ordered released on bail by Mr. Justice Hamilton, presiding over a surprise bail hearing in the case. As he ordered bail, in response to a previously filed motion filed last month by Vreeland, Hamilton entered into public record and announced in open court the address of a Toronto apartment belonging to Vreeland's mother where Vreeland is ordered to reside. Curfew hours were set between 7 P.M. and 7 A.M.

Vreeland's attorney, Paul Slansky was not present when the judge rendered his decision. FTW has learned that Vreeland's attorneys were caught completely off guard by the latest development in a case that is beginning to attract worldwide attention.

FTW Canadian correspondent Greta Knutsen was present in the courtroom and stated that the judge was stern with Vreeland and indicated that he did not have much faith that Vreeland would successfully complete the terms of bail.

The judge's opinion may have more than one meaning.

In 1986, U.S. drug dealer and acknowledged intelligence operative Barry Seal was released on bail from federal custody in Baton Rouge, Louisiana and ordered to reside at a halfway house, the address of which was made public. Within days he was gunned down in a hail of bullets outside the residence by an assassination team, members of which were subsequently linked to Iran-Contra figure Oliver North and Colombian and CIA covert drug operations.

Yesterday, the prestigious publication "The UN Observer" contacted FTW Publisher/Editor Mike Ruppert, asked for and obtained permission to reprint our earlier stories on the Vreeland case. Vreeland's assertions, based upon documentary evidence and continuing court proceedings have steadily been gaining credibility in spite of unfounded and meritless attacks by U.S. media that have failed to address any of the specific documentation in the case.

Developing...

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness

Publications, <http://www.copvicia.com/>

"Reprinted with permission, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, www.copvicia.com , P.O. Box 6061-350, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91413. 818-788-8791. FTW is published monthly, annual subscriptions are \$50 per year."

**03/01/02 NATION editor David Corn
attacks Mike Ruppert with bad information.
Read mike's reply to corn.**

Email Mr. Corn and let him know what you think: dcorn@thenation.com

Dear Mr. Corn:

I read with great amusement your ill-supported attack on my work and was surprised that, for a man of your supposed intellectual prowess, you had such a blatant disregard for facts that you so incorrectly reported. Please refer to the underlined passages of your missive below and I will show you just where you have erred.

Your criticisms focus on the case of Delmert "Mike" Vreeland, a US Navy intelligence officer imprisoned in Canada who, by admission -- in court -- of Canadian authorities, wrote an accurate warning of the September 11th attacks. That warning, which is now an official part of the court record in Canada, was placed into the sole custody of Canadian jailers on either August 11 or 12th, a month before the attacks. A copy of it (obtained directly from court records) is available on my web site at: http://www.copvicia.com/free/ww3/01_28_02_vreeland.jpg . The stamp admitting the document into evidence is clearly visible in the upper right hand corner of the document. Therefore the document itself is a bona fide source. During Vreeland's extradition hearings Canadian authorities have acknowledged, under oath, that they had sole possession of the sealed letter for one month prior to the attacks.

All of this was accurately reported by me in a From The Wilderness story dated January 25th and available on my web site at www.copvicia.com . Either you did not have the thoroughness to read the story or your investigative abilities are severely impaired. But then you state only that the CIA has used drug dealers and

avoid the full (and well documented) truth that they have dealt drugs directly for decades. I will be happy to debate you on this one too, but I doubt if you'll accept the challenge.

In addition, you attempt to discredit Vreeland by innuendo. You state that he is in jail on fraud charges. True enough, but did you also mention the fact that it was his own credit card? For a man such as yourself, with such great expertise on the CIA, who was Ted Shackley's chosen biographer (that should be enough to discredit you right there), I am surprised that you did not recall that during Iran-Contra a number of well documented intelligence sources were controlled by their respective agencies through the use of criminal charges connected to their areas of expertise. Vreeland had a per diem of \$19,000; ergo he was controlled through a fraud charge. Similar victims during Iran-Contra included Scott Weekly (weapons), Steve Carr (drugs), Jack Terrell (weapons), Bo Gritz (passport), Scott Barnes (fraud) and Al Martin (fraud). There are others. While many of these men, to this day, have questionable reputations, it is beyond doubt, as established by official records, that they were intelligence operatives.

In addition, I have hired a Toronto correspondent who sits in on every court date as "Mike" Vreeland fights extradition to the US and certain death. I am traveling (again) to Toronto next week to meet both with Vreeland and his attorneys. It will not be the first time that I have been there or sat in on his court proceedings. Oh, yes, and you also forgot to mention that, in a call placed on a speaker phone from open court, a Pentagon operator confirmed Vreeland's Rank and office assignment at the Pentagon, This is a part of the court record too.

No, the Toronto Star did not confirm Vreeland's story. The Canadian court system did. And the fact that he wrote a warning of the 9-11 attacks a month before they happened and that it was sealed away from his access by his jailers who admit that no one else had custody is a shameful and incriminating fact that not even your sophistry can gloss over. Rational people will want to know how this man knew of the attacks and why the US government and The Nation are trying so hard to kill this story.

No, you will not get \$1,000 from me. The facts are good. The story is good. My analysis is good and it is left for The Nation's readers to wonder whose interests you really serve. Your feeble attack reminds of a quote from Gandhi, "First they ignore you. Then they attack you. Then you win."

Let's see if The Nation will have the integrity to print my letter.

Michael C. Ruppert

Publisher/Editor

"From The Wilderness"

www.copvicia.com

The Loyal Opposition: The 9/11 X-Files E-Conspiracy Theories Deserve To Be Debunked

David Corn is the Washington editor of The Nation. His first novel, Deep Background, a political thriller, was published recently by St. Martin's Press.

Please stop sending me those e-mails. You know who are. And you know what e-mails I mean.... Okay, I'll spell it out -- those forwarded e-mails suggesting, or flat-out stating, the CIA and the U.S. government were somehow involved in the horrific September 11 attacks.

There are e-mails about a fellow imprisoned in Canada who claims to be a former U.S. intelligence office and who supposedly passed advance warning of the attack to jail guards in mid-August. There are e-mails, citing an Italian newspaper, reporting that last July Osama bin Laden was treated for kidney disease at the American hospital in Dubai and met with a CIA official. There are the e-mails, referring to a book published in France, that note the attacks came a month after Bush administration officials, who were negotiating an oil deal with the Taliban, told the Afghans "either you accept our offer of a carpet of gold, or we bury you under a carpet of bombs."

Get the hint? Washington either did nothing to stop the September 11 attacks or plotted the assaults so a justifiable war could then be waged against Afghanistan to benefit Big Oil. One e-mail I keep receiving is a timeline of so-called suspicious events that "establishes CIA foreknowledge of [the September 11 attacks] and strongly suggests that there was criminal complicity on the part of the U.S. government in their execution."

I won't argue that the U.S. government does not engage in brutal, murderous skulduggery from time to time. But the notion that the U.S. government either detected the attacks but allowed them to

occur, or, worse, conspired to kill thousands of Americans to launch a war-for-oil in Afghanistan is absurd. Still, each week e-mails passing on such tripe arrive. This crap is probably not worth a rational rebuttal, but I'm irritated enough to try.

It's a mug's game to refute individual pieces of conspiracy theories. Who can really know if anything that bizarre happened at a Dubai hospital? As for the man jailed in Canada, he was being held on a credit card fraud charge, and the only source for the story about his warning was his own word. The judge in his case said, "There is no independent evidence to support his colossal allegations." But a conspiracy-monger can reply, "wouldn't you expect the government and its friends in Canada to say that?"

So let's start with a broad question: would U.S. officials be capable of such a foul deed? Capable -- as in able to pull it off and willing to do so. Simply put, the spies and special agents are not good enough, evil enough, or gutsy enough to mount this operation. That conclusion is based partly on, dare I say it, common sense, but also on years spent covering national security matters. (For a book I wrote on the CIA, I interviewed over 100 CIA officials and employees.)

Anyone with the most basic understanding of how government functions realizes that the national security bureaucracies of Washington do not work well together.

Not good enough: Such a plot -- to execute the simultaneous destruction of the two towers, a piece of the Pentagon, and four airplanes and make it appear as if it all was done by another party -- is far beyond the skill level of U.S. intelligence. It would require dozens (or scores or hundreds) of individuals to attempt such a scheme. They would have to work together, and trust one another not to blow their part or reveal the conspiracy. They would hail from an assortment of agencies (CIA, FBI, INS, Customs, State, FAA, NTSB, DOD, etc.). Yet anyone with the most basic understanding of how government functions (or, does not function) realizes that the various bureaucracies of Washington -- particularly those of the national security "community" -- do not work well together.

Even covering up advance knowledge would require an extensive plot. If there truly had been intelligence reports predicting the 9/11 attacks, these reports would have circulated through intelligence and policy-making circles before the folks at the top decided to smother them for geopolitical gain. That would make for a unwieldy conspiracy of silence. And in either scenario -- planning the attacks

or permitting them to occur -- everyone who participated in the conspiracy would have to be freakin' sure that all the other plotters would stay quiet.

Not evil enough: This is as foul as it gets -- to kill thousands of Americans, including Pentagon employees, to help out oil companies. (The sacrificial lambs could have included White House staff or members of Congress, had the fourth plane not crashed in Pennsylvania.) This is a Hollywood-level of dastardliness, James Bond (or Dr. Evil) material. Are there enough people of such a bent in all those agencies? That's doubtful.

CIA officers and American officials have been evildoers. They have supported death squads and made use of drug dealers overseas. They have assisted torturers, disseminated assassination manuals, sold weapons to terrorist-friendly governments, undermined democratically-elected governments, and aided dictators who murder and maim. They have covered up reports of massacres and human rights abuses. They have plotted to kill foreign leaders. These were horrendous activities, but, in most instances, the perps justified these deeds with Cold War imperatives (perverted, as they were). And, to make the justification easier, the victims were people overseas.

Justifying the murder of thousands of Americans to help ExxonMobil would require U.S. officials to engage in a different kind of detachment and an even more profound break with decency and moral norms. I recall interviewing one former CIA official who helped manage a division that ran the sort of actions listed above, and I asked him whether the CIA had considered "permanently neutralizing" a former CIA man who had revealed operations and the identities of CIA officers. Kill an American citizen? he replied, as if I were crazy to ask. No, no, he added, we could never do that. Yes, in the spy-world some things were beyond the pale. And, he explained, it would be far too perilous, for getting caught in that type of nasty business could threaten your career. Which brings us to....

Not gutsy enough: Think of the danger -- the potential danger to the plotters. What if their plan were uncovered before or, worse, after the fact? Who's going to risk being associated with the most infamous crime in U.S. history? At the start of such a conspiracy, no one could be certain it would work and remain a secret. CIA people -- and those in other government agencies -- do care about their careers. Would George W. Bush take the chance of being branded the most evil president of all time by countenancing such wrongdoing? Oil may be in his blood, but would he place the oil

industry's interests ahead of his own? (He sure said sayonara to Kenneth Lay and Enron pretty darn fast.) And Bush and everyone else in government know that plans leak. Disinformation specialists at the Pentagon could not keep their office off the front page of The New York Times. In the aftermath of September 11, there has been much handwringing over the supposed fact that U.S. intelligence has been too risk-averse. But, thankfully, some inhibitions -- P.R. concerns, career concerns -- do provide brakes on the spy-crowd.

There is plenty to be outraged over without becoming obsessed with "X Files"-like nonsense.

By now, you're probably wondering why I have bothered to go through this exercise. Aren't these conspiracy theories too silly to address? That should be the case. But, sadly, they do attract people. A fellow named Michael Ruppert, who compiled that timeline mentioned above, has drawn large crowds to his lectures. He has offered \$1000 to anyone who can "disprove the authenticity of any of his source material." Well, his timeline includes that Canadian prisoner's claim and cites the Toronto Star as the source. But Ruppert fails to note that the Star did not confirm the man's account, that the paper reported that some observers "wonder if it isn't just the ravings of a lunatic," and that the Star subsequently reported the judge said the tale had "no air of reality." Does that disprove anything? Not 100 percent. There's still a chance that man is telling the truth, right? So I'm not expecting a check.

Conspiracy theories may seem more nuisance than problem. But they do compete with reality for attention. There is plenty to be outraged over without becoming obsessed with "X Files"-like nonsense.

Examples? There's the intelligence services' failure to protect Americans and the lack of criticism of the CIA from elected officials. Or, General Tommy Franks, the commander of military operations in Afghanistan, declaring the commando mis-assault at Hazar Qadam, which resulted in the deaths of 15 to 20 local Afghans loyal to the pro-U.S. government, was not an intelligence failure. (How can U.S. Special Forces fire at targets they wrongly believe to be Taliban or al Qaeda fighters, end up killing people they did not intend to kill, and the operation not be considered an intelligence failure?) More outrage material? A few months ago, forensic researchers found the remains of people tortured and killed at a base the CIA had established in the 1980s as a training center for the contras. The U.S. ambassador to Honduras at the time is now the U.S. ambassador to the United Nations, John Negroponte.

There are always national security misdeeds to be mad about. They may not be as cinematic in nature as a plot in which shady, unidentified U.S. officials scheme to blow up the World Trade Towers to gain control of an oil pipeline in Central Asia. But dozens of dead Hondurans or 20 or so Afghans wrongly killed ought to provoke anger and protest.

In fact, out-there conspiracy theorizing serves the interests of the powers-that-be by making their real transgressions seem tame in comparison. (What's a few dead in Central America, compared to thousands in New York City? Why worry about Negroonte, when unidentified U.S. officials are slaughtering American civilians to trigger war?) Perhaps there's a Pentagon or CIA office that churns out this material. Its mission: distract people from the real wrongdoing. Now there's a conspiracy theory worth exploring. Doesn't it make sense? Doesn't it all fit together? I challenge anyone to disprove it.

Published: Mar 01 2002

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, <http://www.copvcia.com/>

"Reprinted with permission, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, www.copvcia.com , P.O. Box 6061-350, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91413. 818-788-8791. FTW is published monthly, annual subscriptions are \$50 per year."

What The CIA Doesn't Want You To Know - Vreeland Interview By Michael C. Ruppert

mruppert@copvcia.com

4-4-2

(Ed. Note: Just prior to the publication of this article the FTW web site was hacked for the second time in a month. This hacking -- accomplished via sophisticated methods -- has been apparently intended to prevent us from publishing the following interview. As a temporary emergency measure ONLY please direct emergency e-mail correspondence to mikeruppert@earthlink.net. FTW will be back up and running in an even more secure manner in the near

future.-- MCR)

(FTW) - If all of its dark alleys were explored, the case of Delmart Edward Joseph 'Mike' Vreeland is one which is worthy of a book that would rival 'War and Peace.' It is a case that has sparked zealous attacks on FTW and me personally, and one which has seriously disturbed many officials in Washington. These attacks are an indication of the threat Vreeland poses to the credibility of the U.S. government. Only one question of any relevance exists. How was this man able to write details that described the events of Sept. 11 while locked in a jail cell, more than a month before the attacks occurred?

It matters little to a housewife in Kansas if Mike Vreeland has a very confusing criminal arrest record -- some of it very contradictory and apparently fabricated -- for a variety of petty criminal offenses including fraud. But it may be a matter of the gravest importance for the same housewife if this man knew accurate information about the attacks, tried to warn both the U.S. and Canadian governments about them, and was ignored. If a crazy man runs up to you on the street and says that a house is on fire with children trapped inside, and you smell smoke, who is the crazy one if you decide not to investigate?

The U.S. Navy says that Vreeland, arrested in Canada on Dec. 4, 2000 and currently fighting a U.S. extradition warrant, was discharged for unsatisfactory performance after only four months of service in 1986. But a growing pile of evidence, much of it filed in court records and undisputed by Canadian or U.S. authorities, establishes clearly that Vreeland was exactly what he says he was -- a spy.

In three previous stories, FTW has described how his military records, acknowledged to be in excess of 1,200 pages, have been tampered with. We have described how, in open court on a speakerphone, his lawyers obtained direct confirmation from the Pentagon that he was a Navy officer. We have also reported that, as of March 14, all Canadian charges against Vreeland were dismissed. He was released on bail and also granted temporary refugee status by the Canadian government until his battle to beat the U.S. extradition request is settled.

Something that Canadian authorities have never disputed is that Vreeland wrote his ominous and hastily scribbled warning a full month before the attacks, and that the warning was sealed away by his keepers, beyond his reach, until Sept. 14, three days after the attacks.

If he loses his extradition fight, both Vreeland and his attorneys believe that his assassination will occur within days of his return to U.S. soil.

Mike Vreeland is not a saint. Covert operatives are not made from such material, and governments do not recruit or screen candidates for saintly qualities. By his own admission in Canadian court documents and in several conversations with FTW, Vreeland says he has done bad things. He has been on probation for petty offenses, and he has behaved the way covert operatives behave in the real world -- not in Hollywood.

I have been studying, interacting with, and talking to covert operatives for more than 25 years. It is for that reason that I avoid some of the questions being raised by diletantes and neophyte journalists who take all of the threads of Vreeland's stories and run with them into a wilderness from which no professional journalist could credibly emerge. Yes, I have listened to him talk about so-called 'red mercury', baseball-sized atom bombs, and Star Wars weapons systems. Yes, I have heard him talk about a great many things, and I believe that what he told me was truthful according to his knowledge of events and the documents he brought back from Moscow in December 2000.

Even by his own statements, Vreeland, now 35, was a relatively low ranking officer and an intelligence field operative. Never in the history of covert operations has any government entrusted field operatives with total strategic knowledge because the knowledge held by those who make the plans is compartmentalized and locked away. Perhaps 80 percent of all intelligence work is disinformation, and governments know that their field operatives risk capture, interrogation and torture. Quite often field operatives are themselves fed disinformation so that if they talk, they will still spread lies that serve a larger strategic purpose. Quite often they carry documents that are deliberately inaccurate and their capture is engineered to give those documents credibility.

To the U.S. government, Vreeland is totally expendable. And those who run with every piece of information he has disclosed will themselves be proven fools in a fool's game.

But one question remains. And it is a question that now stands vindicated by time and events. He knew something chillingly accurate about the 9-11 attacks before they happened. And if he knew something, based upon documents given to him by Russian officials indicating U.S. knowledge, and if the U.S. government went

to great lengths to discredit him, rather than bring him in from the cold -- then there is real meat on the plate for journalists, the American government, and all of mankind.

I have asked him 35 questions, and now you can read Mike Vreeland's answers as he speaks for himself. The first 32 questions were jointly submitted to both Vreeland and his attorney, Paul Slansky, for review. The remaining three questions were asked after the most recent hacking of FTW's website, which we believe was perpetrated by the CIA. This made the publication of this story an emergency and also made a statement about the survival of a free press in America:

1. What part of the U.S. government did you work for? Was it the CIA?

I worked for U.S. Naval intelligence. What the CIA directs us to do is their business, so we have no way of knowing whether we're working for them or not.

2. Was your assignment primarily connected to terrorism/oil?

Yes, on both issues, in part.

3. Why were you in Moscow and Russia in the latter part of 2000?

I was sent there by the U.S. government and the ONI [Office of Naval Intelligence]. I got my orders between Sept. 4 and Sept. 7, 2000.

Marc Bastien departed for Russia on Sept. 7, 2000. I had orders to meet him. Bastien was going to work at the Canadian embassy regarding diagrams and blueprints of a weapons defense system. The U.S. government had a direct influence on his mission. The name of the defense system is SSST [Stealth Satellite System Terminator]. There are five different individual and unique defensive and strike capabilities of the system. The only portion that I have publicly spoken on is one frame regarding actual current orbiting satellites, which are not at this time owned by the US government. On advice of counsel I cannot discuss the other components.

This one component is a satellite system. Within the confines of the system there are multiple, deployable space/orbital EMP [Electromagnetic Pulse] missiles that are not aimed at the ground. They are targeted at everyone else's satellites. These would kill worldwide communications. The satellites of some countries that are shielded with titanium are protected from these weapons. The protected countries are Russia and China, but U.S. satellites are

vulnerable and Putin has told Bush that the U.S. missile defense system doesn't work, and that Bush knows it.

The reason why I went to Russia was because I needed to meet with Bastien and another individual from the Russian Ministry of Defense named Oleg. The purpose was to get the Canadian diplomat who had made contact with Oleg to get the book of designs out of the ministry's R&D. That was done. We copied the entire book. Then we took certain documents, and we changed serious portions of the defense design so the program wouldn't work. They know this now.

Additionally I was to pick up docs from other agents and bring them back.

4. You told Canadian authorities that Bastien was murdered when?

I never told them he was murdered. I wrote a letter to Bastien around June of 2000 from jail. I sent it to CSIS [Canadian Security and Intelligence Service] in Ottawa, to the director for his eyes only. I had restructured the diagram to put it back in its original state. But I never told anyone exactly how to turn it on and how to build it. CSIS already knew that Bastien was dead. He died six days after I was arrested on Dec. 6. I was discharged on Dec. 9. He was killed on Dec 12.

CSIS sent RCMP [Royal Canadian Mounted Police] (Sgt. Mabe, Corporal Kispol) to visit me in jail on Aug. 8, 2001, and they advised me that he was dead. They didn't say he was murdered. They told me he was dead. I told them that Bastien was dead, it was murder, and that they should get a toxicology report. And I would tell you how it was done, and who did it.

5. When did they finally admit that Bastien was murdered?

They admitted that I was correct in mid-January.

6. When did you first learn details of the attacks that were to happen on Sept. 11?

In the first week of December 2000.

7. How did you learn of the details?

One document was written in English by a U.S. agent, who had picked up a copy of a document that had been sent to V. Putin by K. Hussein, Saddam Hussein's son. This is what the translation of the doc indicates. The Iraqis knew in June 2000 that I was coming.

I didn't get my orders until August. The letter said that Bastien and Vreeland would be dealt with 'in a manner suitable to us.' The letter specifically stated on page two, 'Our American official guarantees this.'

8. Who put the information on the attacks into the pouch, and what would have been their motive for doing so?

I am not allowed to answer that. It would jeopardize the lives of active agents, and it would violate the National Security Act of 1947.

9. After having learned of the details of the impending attacks on the WTC and the Pentagon, how long did you wait before trying to notify Canadian and U.S. authorities of the information?

On Dec. 6, 2000 I told Canadian authorities to their face that I needed to contact the Canadian military immediately. I wrote it down. She [the Canadian official] was playing games, so I wrote down that I was a Russian spy and a weapons systems expert, and that I wanted to talk to them TODAY. I said I was a Russian because figured it would get their attention. The name they had on me was Mikhail Cristianov (Michael Christian), because I had ID that used this name.

10. What was their reaction?

The Canadians turned blue, walked away, and I never saw them again.

11. How did it make you feel?

I was pissed off. It's on video [referring to a standard jail surveillance/security video].

12. Did the U.S. and Canadian response lead you to reach any conclusions? If so, what were they?

I thought I was dealing with idiots who had no clue about what was about to happen. It's been put to me that there were certain officials who wanted the attacks to happen. No one ever had any intention of building the system I was after because it would have made the defense budget obsolete. One thing that happened after 9-11 was that the Pentagon budgets soared.

13. Your written warning contains the statement, 'Let one happen, stop the rest.' Who was going to let one happen? Who was going to stop the rest?

I can't comment on the advice of counsel.

14. Does that statement imply that the U.S. or some other intelligence agency had achieved complete penetration of the terrorist cells?

That goes without question. Sometimes certain governments design, create networks like Al Qaeda, which was really the government in Afghanistan. Those entities create specific problems at the creating government's direction.

15. Do you know who had achieved this penetration?

I cannot comment on that.

16. Is it possible that the terrorist cells were being run without knowing by whom?

Absolutely.

17. The most common excuse people use to discredit you is that you have prior arrests on fraud charges, and there are several press stories linking you to alleged criminal activity. How do you explain this?

The American Express charges are b.s., and Amex has stated on tape that the specific charges in question were approved. They admit that there was no fraud on this card. That card had been issued to Lt. Delmart Michael Vreeland. The Amex people admitted that the card was a U.S. Navy card.

People have accused me of identity theft. If anybody checked with the police departments in the U.S., they would find that there is not one police report form any individual in the U.S. who has alleged that I have stolen any identities. There is not a single identified victim anywhere. Three judges in Canada have denied my requests to have discovery and disclosure on these alleged charges.

The press stories that have circulated about my past are lies. Portions of the stories alleging fraud and ID theft are lies. I have threatened to sue these papers, and the stories have been pulled.

I m working with ONI. Certain government officials -- politicians, brass, and high ranking military -- have 11th Amendment privileges and can't be sued. Another government agency has to go investigate activities connected to weapons smuggling, organized crime and drug trafficking. They use their power to break laws, and

we re not allowed to investigate them. Thus certain parts of the U.S. government designed an entity called UID (Unofficial Intelligence Investigation Division). It was designed by Adm. Jeremy Michael Boorda, who allegedly committed suicide. Boorda put this together prior to becoming CNO [Chief of Naval Operations]. He was not a bad guy.

Intelligence officers are sometimes put into positions where they are given assignments to infiltrate specific organizations that are powerful enough to check out a newcomer's background. Page 335 of the Charter Application in Canadian Court shows a copy of orders from Southern Command. These orders are dated April 18, 2000, concerning an anti-drug operation we were mounting. At approximately the same time the media released widespread stories that I was a wanted criminal. This was a means pf providing cover and credibility for me with the people I was infiltrating.

18. How many times have you been arrested on criminal charges?

Maybe three. Some of this I did, like a DUI charge in New York. I had been at the UN, and I had definitely been drinking.

19. How many times have you been convicted?

I have never been legally convicted of any criminal, felony activity anywhere. The drunk driving charge is still pending, and I have admitted in open court that I did it.

20. The Michigan warrant for credit card fraud is based upon the use of your own credit card. How do you explain this?

It is a setup.

21. Were your credit cards authorized or facilitated by the U.S. Navy or any part of the U.S. government?

Yes.

22. Could the U.S. government or any of its intelligence agencies have 'inserted' the charges through state and local agencies?

Yes.

23. You were in custody in New York on the date the alleged Michigan offense took place. What was the charge, and what was the disposition of that case?

That was the DUI charge.

24. Was working with organized crime families a part of your duties with the Navy?

Yes.

25. Were any of the organized crime families in Michigan?

Yes.

26. For what reason were you working with organized crime?

I was under orders to do so 90 percent of the time. Organized crime supplies the weapons and drugs that go to the people we investigate.

27. Are you afraid that you will be killed if you are extradited to the U.S.? Why?

Yes. Because I have spoken out.

28. Can you explain why the Canadian courts will not allow your attorneys to introduce evidence that verifies your position with the U.S. Navy?

Yes. The Canadians are totally subservient to U.S. intelligence interests. They're afraid of Uncle Sam. It would also prove that CSIS covered up Marc Bastien's death, and that there was a cover-up involving a member of a major drug organization that had planned assassinations against prominent Canadians. In fact, one individual was found dead in a vat of acid. He was a hit man.

29. What do you want?

I want my uniform back, my back pay at \$4,210.90 a month and my honor. I want President Bush to give me a full and complete pardon and the amnesty of the U.S. government. I am owed that. I want Bush personally to know everything that I know, and what kind of threats there are against the U.S.

It's never going to happen, so I am now seeking permanent refugee status in Canada and the protection of the United Nations.

30. What do you think will happen next in your case?

I don't know. My attorney is in court seeking a postponement of the extradition case because the Canadian government will not allow me to subpoena very important U.S. witnesses from the Pentagon and other places.

31. Is the war on terrorism about something other than what the people of the world are being told?

What war on terrorism?

32. What do you think will happen next in the war on terror?

Eventually, someone's going to have to tell the truth. Once those people are dealt with according to law, there will be no more false terror spread across the globe.

33. You have recently had dealings with an American journalist named Rick Wiles. What is your opinion of Wiles and what was your experience?

My opinion of Wiles is that he is a psychopath, who will print anything that will make him money. My experience with him was that I had private conversations with him that he recorded, not telling me he was going to post them on the Internet and sell them to the world. Then once I contacted him and told him that he was not to do that, he said he would take them down right now. Instead of taking them down he placed a bigger ad. He made a bigger ad!

In my opinion he is neither honorable nor professional. He has placed my story right next to a story about someone who talked to aliens 25 years ago. Yeah, that's right where I want my story to be, right next to some bozo who talks to aliens. The idiot!

So now he's selling this phony exclusive interview with me for \$20 and he's making all the money. He never had my permission to do that.

34. You have recently had dealings with an American journalist named J.R. Nyquist. What is your opinion of Nyquist and what was your experience?

Don't even get me started. My opinion: I think he might be working for the government. I did not know that he was writing a story about me. He asked me some questions. I answered some questions. I recorded it, and then he went off on a wild tangent about psychological crap, and I didn't even read the whole story I was so mad.

He went off about the Russians, and it's all bs. He sent me this fax about you saying that Ruppert was not my friend. He was saying that the Russians had me boxed in. The truth is, the American government is boxing me in. He's full of shit.

35. Are all of these statements on-the-record?

Yes!

-- As a result of the most recent hackings FTW s sales and cash flow have been interrupted while we are spending the thousands of dollars necessary to upgrade our security and Web services. FTW supporters who wish to make donations in this emergency may do so by calling 818- 788-8791.

[Copyright 2002, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, <http://www.copvcia.com/>

"Reprinted with permission, Michael C. Ruppert and From The Wilderness Publications, www.copvcia.com , P.O. Box 6061-350, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91413. 818-788-8791. FTW is published monthly, annual subscriptions are \$50 per year."

From:

http://www.rise4news.net/Russian_Memo.html

DATE SPECIFIC, TARGET SPECIFIC:

What Happened to Vreeland's Russian Warning?

Lt. Delmart "Mike" Vreeland was featured on KPFA's "What's The Verdict?" on June 5, 2002, to talk about a document he discovered in early December, 2000.

Kéllia Ramares (R): The front page of yesterday's (June 4, 2002) USA Today bore the headline: "U.S. had agents in al-Qaeda." To those of us who read "From the Wilderness," Mike Ruppert's newsletter and online publication, this was old news: two months old to be exact. On April 4th, "From the Wilderness" published a 35-question interview conducted with Lt. Delmart "Mike" Vreeland, an operative with ONI, the Office of Naval Intelligence. While on an unrelated mission to Russia, Vreeland came into possession of

documents detailing the September 11th attacks. And while in a Toronto jail, on charges that have since been dropped, Vreeland tried to warn Canadian and American intelligence of what he had discovered. To say that he was blown off would be an understatement.

Finally, a month before the attacks, Vreeland wrote a list of terrorist targets, including the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, and the notation, "Let one happen; Stop the Rest." In the 35-question interview, Ruppert asked if the statement, "Let one happen; Stop the Rest" implied that the U.S. or some other intelligence agency had achieved complete penetration of the terrorist cells. Vreeland replied, "That goes without question."

We have brought back tonight, Lt. Delmart "Mike" Vreeland to discuss a document that utterly destroys the government's claims that they did not have information that was sufficiently specific to ward off the September 11th attacks. Lt. Vreeland, are you there?

Lt. Vreeland (V): Yes, I am, how are you?

R: I'm fine, but you had an assassination attempt last week. How are you?

V: I'm doing OK.

R: Good. A few...uh, a few weeks ago, you sent to me a document, I have in my hand now. It is in Russian. But, there is plainly here in Arabic numbers 9-11-2001. Now, I had this document translated by a Russian native, but before we get into what it says, please tell me how you acquired the document.

V: Oh, when I went over to Moscow to do what I was originally sent there for, I picked up another courier pouch to bring back. 'Cause all I was doing was...I was sent over there for one specific reason. But, I mean basically, I'm just a courier. But, I was sent over to Moscow to do something specific on a defense program to get some designs. And, I ended up acquiring those documents from another agent to bring back to the States, which were in another pouch that he could have brought back. But instead of him leaving and coming back, I brought them back; he stayed.

R: OK, and when and how did you discover the contents of this document?

V: Um... the first week of December.

R: 2000?

V: Yes. Basically it was during the very first meeting in December when I was supposed to meet someone in Toronto, and only one person showed up. When that didn't happen and I called to get information and direction on what I was supposed to do with the documents considering the, uh, meeting didn't go as planned, I was told to just hand it over to one person. I didn't like it, so I basically scanned and copied everything. I opened everything. And, then I was ordered to go back to the meeting and hand them over anyway. Which I did not. I went back to the meeting a second time. When I went back the second time, I went without anything at all. And, I guess my, uh, feelings were right because when I got there, I was arrested. I was surrounded by everybody and their brother and arrested and they found nothing. I had hid it pretty good.

R: OK, so when you...as I understand it you are told very specifically how a meeting is supposed to go and when it doesn't go right, you know something is wrong.

V: Yes, you just follow A,B,C,D,E,F,G. And if anything's out of sync you just stop. You stop and you call and you ask for directions.

R: Uh hmm. OK. I'm going to start reading parts of this memo now. Again, I had it translated by a native Russian who is not a journalist, has nothing to do with this story, knew nothing about what I was handing over. And this is what I got back. And I'm only going to read parts that either could have been, uh, could have happened in the past or are happening now, or or we know have happened. There's some stuff here that refers to the future, and we don't have it verified. Could be disinformation, so I'm not going to say anything about it right now.

Immediate radiation from the explosion will not be discussed in much details. However, there will be deadly doses of neutrons and gamma rays within the area from 4 to 7 miles. Anything living in that area will be dead from the initial explosion anyway. The initial strike or attack will be started at the WTC on 9-11-2001 by our brothers in faith. Three Mile Island and Pentagon are as well the goals that we will not miss at the initial terroristic stage of our attack, which I could add, would hit the Americans and keep them clueless about the real strike planned after some, and here the word can be translated as rest, vacation or holiday.

If everything goes as planned, the attack will work. After Americans who undoubtedly will think that Osama is to be blamed and will start a war with his group, there stands the Russian Empire to gain the first fruit of war and money promised by the Americans.

It goes further on down to say: Finally, with Canadian Diplomat and wildcard, the American Intelligent [sic] Service officer Briland Delmar Pupa, I think that's you, and Bastien Mark will have the deal or business in a way suitable for us, our American official guarantees it. Bastien will die of natural cause. Lt. Briland will become a wanted criminal. All his navy records will disappear.

OK. Let's start with that first sentence. Immediate radiation from the explosion will not be discussed in much detail. However, there will be deadly doses of neutrons and gamma rays within the area from 4 to 7 miles. I was with Mike Ruppert Saturday [June 1]. Heard his latest update on his lecture. Are we talking about Flight 93 heading for Three Mile Island?

V: Yes.

R: Yes, he said.

V: I believe we're talking about that and more.

R: Uh, I believe Flight 93 left a debris trail which is uncharacteristic of any plane that went, let's say, had engine failure and went straight down to the ground, right?

V: uuuuhhhhhh, I think it might have been shot down.

R: Uh- hmmm. OK, and so anything, if, if a plane like that did crash into Three Mile Island anything living in that area would be dead from the initial explosion anyway, would be be pretty much the case, right?

V: Uh, they'd be dead pretty much...I think the, um, radius that was spelt out in that memo or letter or how, whatever you want to call it, uh, "mish-mash" according to Solomon, whatever you want to call it would encompass the entire zone.

R: mm-Hmm

V: Would be quite accurate.

R: uh-Hmm.

V: within probably a three and a half, four mile radius.

R: Three Mile Island and the Pentagon, as well, so we know they hit the Pentagon, we know they hit the WTC. We also know that you wrote down, "Let one happen, Stop the rest. " They..yYou think they succeeded in stopping Flight 93 from reaching that goal?

V: Yes. I do.

R: Uh Hmm.

Amanda Elliott (E): So do you think that plane was shot down? Or do you think that like, as reported, some of the passengers overtook the plane and brought it down?

V: Well, my personal feeling is that the plane was brought down. I think it was shot down. I could be wrong, very wrong on that point.

E: OK

V: It could have been the passengers. But, if you look at the wreckage of it...

E: Uh-Hmm.

V: It basically looked like something that was shot down.

E: Well I actually agree with you. I think...

V: The plane...

E: It's unlikely that the passengers did that, but....

V: If you nosedive that plane that big, it's going to explode but it's going to bust into larger chunks.

E: OK

V: You shoot it, it's going to tear apart; it's not going to break, it's going to tear.

R: And it wouldn't leave a 5 to 8 mile debris trail unless there was some explosion in board in flight.

V: mmmm.

R: Would that be likely?

V: If, if the...I mean, I'm not an expert on airplane crashing, but if the plane was to hit the ground, depending on the speed, I don't think it would leave 5 miles worth of debris. If you were to shoot it...

R: Uh-hmm.

V: If you were to blow it up with anything, even aloft, anything, it

would leave a very serious area of debris. Because it's going to explode all over the place.

R: Ok, Let's go... a quick refresher on who is Mark Bastien?

V: Mark Bastien is uh...basically he works for the Department of Foreign Affairs. He worked for CSIS in Canada.

R: Canadian Intelligence.

V: Yes, he works for Canadian Intelligence. It would be the equivalent if you may, or if you will, of the US CIA. He works for them. The Department of Foreign Affairs. Um, He was a very, very, very good computer expert, analyst, uh, hacker, so on, so forth. He was good at all that. And they sent him off to Moscow, to the Canadian embassy in Moscow. He's been working with them for many years. He's been in Japan, China, Taiwan. He's been all over the Asian continent and all over Moscow, Germany, many different places.

R: But he's dead now.

V: Very dead.

R: And they claimed it was natural causes.

V: Well, they did in the beginning. Yes.

R: But now we know that it wasn't.

V: Now, we know for a fact he was murdered. There's no question in my mind about it.

[Wendell Harper breaks in to ask a question about how Lt. Col. Steve Butler and Ret. Adm. Stansfield Turner are criticizing Bush. Vreeland, among other things, says Bush stole the election from Gore and is not the legal Commander in Chief.]

R: The initial strike or attack will be started at the WTC on 9-11-2001 by our brothers in faith. That is very date specific, target specific. Did you communicate this to Canadian or American intelligence.

V: Yes I did. I...most definitely.

R: And did they...

V: Both to their face. My lawyers told them to their face what was going on. They had...They knew what was going on.

R: Did they blow you off this time?

V: Um...as far as the Canadian Government goes, as far as I know, um, the two officers, Corporal Kissbul [phonetic] and, um, his partner, basically, as far as I know, they informed the United States AND Ottawa immediately through the RCMP [Royal Canadian Mounted Police] and NSIS [National Security Intelligence Service] and CSIS.

E: Now, was this document translated for you by someone who speaks Russian, or do you speak Russian?

V: There were, um, several different forms of translations done to the document, electronic and otherwise.

E: Uh-hmm.

R: So we now can say that we have a document that is date specific, target specific with respect to the World Trade Center. You communicated this to Canadian Intelligence, and Canadian Intelligence communicated this to the American Government. Is that what we're saying?

V: Without a doubt. The Canadian, the Canadians did their job, but I think they were pressed down by the U.S. government. They did their job. There's no questioning the Canadian Government did their job. I took a long look at it and went back through the files that I have and the communications they had with the States and how the whole role played out. I know that those two individuals did their job, there's no question about it. They transmitted the information just like they should. And they did.

R: And do you know to which agency they transmitted the information?

V: um...I know definitely that the Secret Service had it, I know the FBI had it, I know the DoD [Pentagon] had it. Um, I know it all went from Ontario to Ottawa, from Ottawa to the Canadian embassy in Washington, D.C. and it was just melted everywhere.

R: Uh-hmm. And this was how long prior to the attacks, about?

V: Um, a good month, easily a month before. I mean I told them to their face a month before. I verbally said it in front of individuals who have verified it.

[The discussion then moved on to issues other than warnings.]

Latest On The Vreeland Controversy

From Michael C. Ruppert

mruppert@copvcia.com 8-7-2

The last chapter in the Vreeland story has not yet been written. I don't know that I will write it. Maybe I will. But for those who are so critical let me point out the one fact that is already established that really defines the issue:

That fact is that he wrote his "screw you" note in a desperate move that was approved of by his attorneys Paul Slansky and Rocco Galati, after they had spent eight futile months trying to get a debriefing to deliver the more detailed information that was in his possession. They knew some of it. They have given both me and Greta Knutzen several interviews in which they emphatically stated that the events of 9-11 were exactly what Vreeland was trying to warn about. Rocco Galati was emphatic about it from the first time I met him. They have so stated in their submissions to the court. His lawyers are former Canadian federal prosecutors and not sleazeball attorneys. They are well-trained, expert and prudent lawyers.

I would never have gone near Vreeland had not his attorneys expressed their conviction that he was talking about 9/11 - before 9/11 - and had they not been able to document to me the many futile attempts that THEY had made to get someone to listen. I would never have gone to visit him in jail had they not told me that THEY knew that Vreeland was writing about what happened on 9-11 when he wrote his note.

His mother has made on-the-record statements to me that he was frantically concerned about early September and was screaming at her not to go to NY, not to fly there and to stay out of tall buildings. His list of potential targets includes the Sears Tower Chicago and other possible locations. We already know that at least two additional terrorist hijackings were stopped on 9-11; one in Toronto, where Vreeland was located, and one in NY. Remember the line: "Let one happen, stop the rest,"?

He listed water supplies as a target. They have been mentioned several times recently.

Some writers would say that this is all coincidence. The same thing with the timing which is established, not by the note, but by statements Vreeland made to his lawyers, to his family and to his jailers that are undisputed and duly recorded in court documents. Nonsense! He was screaming about late August, early September as the date for the attacks and these records exist from BEFORE the attacks. They're in the lawyer's case files. Galati showed them to me.

Are all of you prepared to write this off a pure coincidence? I am not.

Has Vreeland lied to me? Yes, he's lied to everybody I know who has talked him about one thing or another. But so did Chip Tatum, Bo Gritz, Scott Weekly, Ed Wilson, Scott Barnes and many others whose cases I have been familiar with or where I have dealt with the individuals personally as I have with Tatum, Gritz, Wilson and Barnes.

And so many others have criminal records, like Al Martin, Bo Gritz, Scott Weekly, Bo Abbot, Brad Ayers, Barry Seal, Richard Taus, Steve Carr and about twenty other people from Iran-Contra. (If you don't know who these people are, use Google). Yet 20-20 hindsight gives me the ability to state that ALL of these men were deeply connected with intelligence ops and all were turned on by their former employers.

One of the first things I did was to run Vreeland's credit history. Given that the charges he's wanted on are for the alleged fraudulent use of his own credit card, I knew I would find something there. I did. His credit history shows that he has never HAD a credit card. Now, only for those of you who have seen your own credit report or tried to change any part of it, I ask how Vreeland's credit history could have been erased? Are you going to suggest that Vreeland hacked the Equifax database?

On the other hand, in the case of Al Carone and Jim Strauss I found court records of lawsuits filed by the major airlines against Strauss for hundreds of thousands of dollars in airline tickets. A former FBI agent explained that the only way those charges could have been racked up was on a GTR (government) account which is issued in an individual's name but all of the payment history and credit rests with the government. The FBI agent (James W. McCord - not the Watergate McCord) said that those kinds of accounts never showed up on the individual's credit history.

Dismiss Vreeland any way you want to but until you reconcile any pet theories with what actually happened before 9-11 that led up to the writing of the note, you have not solved anything at all.

Now I can say all of this without breaking my word to another good journalist who is at this moment finishing a major story that will confirm much of Vreeland's background. I can say this without breaking my word to his attorneys not to say anything new until after a scheduled court hearing on Sept. 9th.

But when I am free to write, a great many of you, will be eating your words. What I say here has been said before. The issue is not whether Vreeland is a good man or a bad man. The issue is not whether you can take every word he says to the bank. Having met and spoken with most of the names I have just listed I can tell you that all of them were fast talkers, slippery and quite often put out bad information. As a matter of fact, out of maybe 30 men I have met over the years that have been connected with covert operations, only two have been total straight talkers: John McCarthy and Gary Eitel.

The issue - and the question that history demands an answer to - is how did Vreeland know about 9-11 before it happened and what was the real context behind that? I don't think he's told us the whole truth on that. I don't think he's a space weapons expert. I think that the skills for which he was used are much simpler and more physical than that, although he does know his way around the Internet. And I am convinced that the real story is something that most of you aren't prepared for. I'm not saying that I know all of it. What I am saying is that I know how NOT to miss it and that is my only objective.

Mike Ruppert

[150+ 9/11 'Smoking Guns' Found in the Mainstream Media](#)

[This is 911TrueStory.com \(The LATEST important LINKS\)](#)

[FBI Hides 85 Pentagon Videos And 9/11 Truth](#)

[For All Of Our 911 Articles Click Here](#)

[Also Read: The Delmar Edward Vreeland Case](#)

[Clearing the Baffles for 911](#)

Revised: July 18, 2010 . Communication: discoverer73(at symbol)hotmail.com **Go to Home**
Page Go to Index of All Articles Pages
Read the Disclaimer

Last modified: July 18, 2010 Copyright © 1999 - 2008 All rights reserved. [Gnostic Liberation
Front]. **www.gnosticliberationfront.com**